

1 Manuscript BRFS-2019-0069.R1 accepted for publication in "Reviews in Fisheries Science &
2 Aquaculture"

3 Dear Professor Ringo:

4 Ref: Lactic Acid Bacteria in Shellfish: Possibilities and Challenges

5 Our referees have now considered your paper and have recommended publication in Reviews in Fisheries
6 Science & Aquaculture. We are pleased to accept your paper in its current form which will now be forwarded
7 to the publisher for copy editing and typesetting.

8

9 **Lactic Acid Bacteria in Shellfish: Possibilities and Challenges**

10

11 Einar Ringø^{1*}, Hien Van Doan², Soonho Lee³ and Seong Kyu Song³

12

13 ¹ Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Bioscience, Fisheries and Economics,
14 UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway.

15 ² Department of Animal and Aquatic Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai
16 University, Chiang Mai 50200 Thailand.

17 ³ School of Life Science, Handong University, Pohang 37554, Republic of Korea.

18

19 * To whom correspondence should be addressed: Einar.Ringo@uit.no

20

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 Several investigations have investigated the gut microbiota in shellfish species, but less
23 information is available on the favourable gut bacteria colonising the GI tract, the lactic acid
24 bacteria (LAB), and these studies have revealed the presence of *Carnobacterium*, *Enterococcus*,
25 *Lactobacillus*, *Lactococcus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Pediococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Vagococcus* and
26 *Weissella*. Identification of LAB in shellfish digestive tract are equally distributed between
27 culture methods and culture-independent techniques. In the majority of the studies, the LAB
28 are identified from the whole intestine or intestinal contents, while less studies have evaluated
29 the autochthonous LAB.

30 Some LAB isolated from shellfish are able to produce antibacterial substances towards different
31 potential fish pathogenic bacteria. They also play an important role in improving the feed
32 utilisation and act as effective growth promoters in shellfish, and increase diseases resistance
33 of shellfish culture against infectious bacteria and virus. In addition, enhancement of rearing
34 water quality and increase the resistance against stressful condition have been recorded in
35 shellfish fed LAB diets.

36 LAB effects on the shellfish innate immune system are mostly studied in shrimp. In addition to
37 LAB species studied in finfish or mammal systems, autochthonous strains of LAB are also used
38 for studies. Generally, LAB-treated shellfish (crustaceans, mollusc, and Echinodermata)

39 significantly improve innate immune parameters and display an increased survival rate from
 40 pathogen infections. Some of the studies indicate that the treatment of LAB mixture shows
 41 better immunomodulatory effects than that of a single strain of LAB. Studies of the underlying
 42 mechanisms of shellfish innate immune regulation are required for the identification of species-
 43 specific probiotics and the correct assessment of immunological effects.
 44 The present review paper focuses on recent findings in the field of isolation and detection of
 45 LAB in the GI tract of shellfish, some information on their presence in hepatopancreas and in
 46 muscle, their administration as probiotic, their mode of action, and their interaction with
 47 shellfish immune responses.

48 INTRODUCTION

49 Shellfish is important in aquaculture with high economic value on a global scale, and in recent
 50 years, the development of high-density zootechnology and recirculation shrimp farming
 51 systems have imposed enhanced stressors on shrimp. In this respect, evaluation of the gut
 52 microbiota is of importance, as the gut microbiota provide multitude biological functions
 53 including growth, metabolisms, development and immunity. Compared to endothermic
 54 animals, the gut microbiota of aquatic animals is less investigated, even though several
 55 comprehensive reviews and studies has been published during the last decade (e.g. Romero et
 56 al., 2014; Ringø et al., 2016; Egerton et al., 2018). Even though several investigations have
 57 evaluated the microbial community in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract of shellfish (e.g. Zhang et
 58 al., 2014; Qiao et al., 2017; Sun et al. 2018; Li et al., 2018a), the topic is in early stages, and
 59 merits investigations, especially the beneficial gut bacteria; lactic acid bacteria (LAB). The
 60 favourable properties of LAB, production of bacteriocins, hydrogen peroxide, short chain fatty
 61 acids (SCFAs), delivery system of nanobodies, and to prevent adherence and colonisation of
 62 pathogens in the GI tract have been discussed in several comprehensive reviews (e.g. De Vuyst
 63 and Leroy, 2007; Li et al., 2018b; Ringø et al., 2018; del Rio et al., 2019).

64 The first study on shrimp microbiota was investigated by Tysset et al. (1961) using culture-
 65 dependent agar plating techniques. Today it is generally accepted that one of the dominant phyla
 66 in the GI tract of shellfish is Firmicutes (e.g. Sha et al., 2016a; Lu et al., 2017; Cornejo-
 67 Granados et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018a; Gao et al., 2019a), but per se less investigations have
 68 accessed on LAB in the gut microbiota of shellfish. When discussing the importance of LAB
 69 in the GI tract of shellfish, it is important to evaluate the dietary effect, but few studies have
 70 investigated the dietary effect; for example the effect of dietary lipid and carbohydrate on the
 71 gut microbiota of shellfish (Wei et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014; Qiao et al., 2017; Sun et al.,
 72 2018, 2019; Panigrahi et al., 2019), but none of these studies revealed LAB in the GI tract.

73 Several reviews have reported that functional feed additives such as probiotics; derived from
 74 Greek and meaning *for life*, can improve growth performance, utilisation of dietary
 75 components, digestive functions, modulate the gut microbiota, enhance immunity and disease
 76 resistance of shellfish, and improve water quality (Farzanfar, 2006; Ninawe and Selvin, 2009;
 77 van Hai and Fotedar, 2010; Kumar et al., 2016; Hoseinifar et al., 2018, 2019; Li et al., 2018a).
 78 Among the probiotics used in shellfish aquaculture, LAB are one of the promising used, and
 79 the 2nd aim of the present review is to present an update on LAB as probiotics in shellfish
 80 aquaculture, and on LAB data not mention in the aforementioned reviews.

81 Innate immunity is the first line defence system against pathogens in both vertebrates and
 82 invertebrates. Innate immune cells recognize microbes via pattern recognition receptors, which
 83 leads to the induction of immune responses, and eventually eliminates pathogens. Innate

84 immune responses are directly dependant on the activated status of degradation enzymes,
 85 synthetic enzymes of reactive oxygen species, phagocytic cells, clotting proteins, and
 86 complement proteins (Tripp, 1974; Bayne, 1983; Gross et al., 1999; Sritunyalucksana et al.,
 87 2000; Kimbrell et al., 2001; Pasquier, 2001; Salzet, 2001; Tort et al., 2003; Beutler, 2004;
 88 Ausubel, 2005; Magnadottir et al., 2006; Vazquez et al., 2009; Harikrishnan et al., 2011; Ringø
 89 et al., 2012, 2018; Chiaramonte et al., 2015; Romo et al., 2015; Song et al., 2015; Sánchez-
 90 Salgado et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2018). Generally, LAB affect various species including
 91 shellfish by improving their immune status, which leads to a more robust protection against
 92 various pathogens (Ige, 2013; Maeda et al., 2014; Merrifield et al., 2014; Vasama et al., 2014;
 93 Sha et al., 2016b; Ringø et al., 2018). Additionally, LAB act as probiotics by demonstrating
 94 weight gain effects, modulating specific immune tone status, and inhibiting colonization of
 95 pathogens (Balcázar et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2013, 2016; Vasama et al., 2014; Yeh et al., 2014;
 96 Beck et al., 2015, 2016, 2017; Ringø et al., 2018).

97 As the GI tract of aquatic organisms is one of the most important interfaces with the
 98 environment exposed to potential pathogens, and the fact that the GI tract is one of the major
 99 infection route (Birkbeck and Ringø 2015; Børgwald and Dalmo 2014); the first aim of the
 100 present study address to evaluate the presence of LAB in the GI tract of shellfish. Furthermore,
 101 as LAB has the potential as probiotics and influence gut health, the current review aimed to
 102 present an updated overview of recently published data on health benefits of LAB as probiotics,
 103 their effect on the immune system.

104 As the present review do not discuss the pathogenicity of LAB, we recommend that readers
 105 with interest on this topic and disease control in shrimp aquaculture to have a closer look at the
 106 recent reviews of Xiong (2018) and Flegel (2019).

107 **LACTIC ACID BACTERIA (LAB) IN THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT OF** 108 **SHELLFISH**

109 The GI tract microbiota in shellfish is divided into; the GI lumen microbiota (the
 110 allochthonous), and those that adhere to the mucosal surface (the autochthonous microbiota).
 111 In most shellfish studies, showed in **Table 1**, have characterized combination of allochthonous
 112 and autochthonous gut microbiota, isolated from the whole intestine with content, while few
 113 studies have focus on the autochthonous gut microbiota, which may be of importance in
 114 specialized physiological functions and by prevention adherence and colonisation of pathogens
 115 in the GI tract.

116 According to Merrifield et al. (2014) members belonging to *Lactobacillus*, *Lactococcus*,
 117 *Leuconostoc*, *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Carnobacterium*, *Pediococcus* and *Weissella*
 118 genera are indigenous species in shellfish. In order to avoid duplication with that presented
 119 by Merrifield *et al.* (2014), lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from the GI tract of Chinese
 120 shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus chinensis*), European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*), mud crab (*Scylla*
 121 *paramamosain*), swimming crab (*Callinectes* sp.), blue swimming crab (*Portunus pelagicus*),
 122 abalone (*Haliotis asinina*), oyster (*Crassostrea corteziensis*) and giant lion's paw scallop
 123 (*Nodipecten subnodosus*) are not thoroughly discussed, only briefly presented. This subsection
 124 present investigations published post 2014 and papers not presented in the aforementioned
 125 review. Readers with special interest in studies only briefly presented in the text and in **Table**
 126 **1** are recommend to have a closer look at the review of Merrifield et al. (2014) or the original
 127 papers.

128 Even though there is a paucity of studies which have investigated the indigenous gut bacteria
 129 in shellfish species compared to finfish, LAB have been reported in the GI tract of several
 130 shellfish species including shrimp, prawns, swimming crab (*Callinectes* and *Portunus* spp.)
 131 mud crab (*Scylla paramamosain*), scallop and abalone (**Table 1**).

132 The first study revealing LAB in the intestine of shellfish were displayed in giant freshwater
 133 shrimp (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*) by Cai et al. (1999), where three isolates were identified
 134 to species level; *Lactococcus garvieae*, *Pediococcus acidilactici* and *Enterococcus faecium* by
 135 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

136

137 **Shrimp**

138 In a study focus on exopolysaccharides (EPSs), long-chain polysaccharides, secreted by marine
 139 bacteria, Hongpattarakere et al. (2012) reported that *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from
 140 shrimp gut microbiota revealed high production of EPSs. Recently, Zhou et al. (2019) reviewed
 141 exopolysaccharides of LAB, and revealed that EPSs are widely produced by LAB. The
 142 importance to isolate EPSs producing bacteria are; EPSs are suggested to play a protective role
 143 against, desiccation, toxic compounds, bacteriophages, osmotic stress, and to permit adhesion
 144 to solid surfaces and biofilm formation (De Vuyst and Degeest, 1999).

145

146 **Giant freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*)**

147 The first study reporting LAB in the GI tract of giant freshwater shrimp was carried out by Cai
 148 et al. (1999). Later, Lalitha and Surendran (2004) reported that *Enterococcus* spp. accounted
 149 for 8.3% of the identified gut bacteria in adult giant freshwater shrimp, while Kennedy et al.
 150 (2006) revealed a smaller proportion, 4.5% of the culturable microbiota belonged to genus
 151 *Lactobacillus* in larval gut. In a probiotic study of giant freshwater shrimp, *Lb. plantarum*
 152 obtained from the culture collection of Chandigarh, India was used as probiotics (Dash et al.,
 153 2014, 2016), but in control fed prawn, only a small proportion (1.19 CFU g⁻¹ intestinal tissue)
 154 of *Lactobacillus* sp. was displayed, vs. total viable counts; 6.84 CFU g⁻¹ intestinal tissue.

155

156 **Oriental river prawn (*Macrobrachium nipponense*)**

157 Tzeng et al. (2015) investigated the bacterial community in the gut of oriental river prawn, and
 158 revealed that sequences assigned to genus *Lactobacillus* were frequently (1.2-8.9 %) in all six
 159 libraries investigated, while sequences assigned to *Streptococcus* were low (0.02-0.38%) in the
 160 libraries. In addition, *Leuconostoc* sp. was frequently revealed. Chen et al. (2017a) investigated
 161 the gut microbiomes using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing on the Illumina MiSeq platform
 162 and revealed Latobacillales and Enterococcaceae. More recently, Zhao et al. (2018) explored
 163 the diversity and abundance of LAB in gut contents, allochthonous LAB, in oriental river
 164 prawn, and displayed that LAB constituted up to approximately 56.5 %, and belonged to
 165 Streptococcaceae (4.64 ± 1.32 %), Carnobacteriaceae (3.62 ± 0.98 %), Aerococcaceae (0.14 ±
 166 0.83 %), Lactobacillaceae (0.01 ± 1.15 %), Enterococaceae (0.10 ± 0.93 %), and
 167 Leuconostocaceae (0.01 ± 0.13 %). Among the genera, were *Lactobacillus* and *Lactococcus*
 168 reported as the major LAB in the shrimp intestine. When the authors compared the LAB
 169 community in the GI tract of different shrimp species, they suggested higher abundance of LAB
 170 in freshwater shrimp vs. seawater shrimp. This notification is of importance, and merits further
 171 investigations.

172

173 **Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*)**

174 Pacific white shrimp is an important aquaculture species with a high economic value on a global
 175 scale, and is the most investigated shellfish species with regard to LAB in the GI tract. In an

176 early study, Vieira et al. (2007) isolated two LAB strains from the GI tract of juvenile Pacific
 177 white shrimp, and one of the strains later identified as *Lb. plantarum*, was used as probiotics
 178 (Vieira et al., 2008). In this study, total LAB counts in the intestine were low and not
 179 significantly different from control shrimps.

180 A previous study analyzing the bacterial community of Pacific white shrimp GI tract, revealed
 181 low population levels of *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Streptococcus faecalis* of both control and
 182 short-chain fructooligosaccharides (scFOS) fed shrimp (Zhou et al., 2007). Later, Vieira et al.
 183 (2010) identified LAB in the digestive tract of Pacific white shrimp, while Kosin and Rakshit
 184 (2010) identified *Lb. plantarum* and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *mesenteroides*/
 185 *dextranicum* as autochthonous in the GI tract of Pacific white shrimp.

186 In the study of Kongnum and Hongpattarakere (2012), *Lb. plantarum* isolated from the
 187 intestinal tract of shrimp, species not specified, was used in a probiotic study, and cultivation
 188 analysis of the intestinal tract of Pacific white shrimp revealed LAB; coccoid shape and
 189 accounted for approximately 79 % of total LAB isolated.

190 It is generally accepted that one of the most promising gut bacteria, is genus *Bifidobacterium*
 191 (Gibson et al., 2017). Boonanuntanasarn et al. (2016) investigated the gut microbiota of dietary
 192 supplementation of β -glucan and microencapsulated probiotics (*Bacillus subtilis* and
 193 *Pediococcus acidilactici*) in *L. vannamei*, and detected LAB and *Bifidobacterium* sp. by
 194 cultivation. Genus *Bifidobacterium* is seldom isolated from shellfish intestine, and the study of
 195 Boonanuntanasarn and co-authors was the first one isolating *Bifidobacterium* sp. in shellfish,
 196 and revealed approximately $\log 5.6$ CFU g^{-1} intestine in the control fed group, but the
 197 population level did not varied by dietary treatment. Huang et al. (2016) analyzed the intestinal
 198 bacterial community at four stages, 14 days postlarvae and 1-, 2- and 3-months old Pacific white
 199 shrimp and reported Lactobacillaceae in 1 month old juvenile and Streptococcaceae in 3 month
 200 old juvenile by 454 pyrosequencing techniques. LAB was not detected in the other stages.

201 In a probiotic study with Pacific white shrimp, *Lactobacillus* and *Enterococcus* were not
 202 detected in the intestine, even though *Lactobacillus pentosus* and *E. faecium* were supplemented
 203 (Sha et al., 2016c). The authors suggested that this observation may be due to low abundance;
 204 too low to be detected or to low adhesion ability. The latter suggestion is possibly true, as the
 205 probiotic bacteria used were originally isolated from the gut of Hazekuchi (*Acanthogobius*
 206 *hasta*), and not from Pacific white shrimp. To confirm this suggestion further studies are
 207 needed. When discussing the adhesion ability, it is of importance to remember that the adhesion
 208 ability to mucin can greatly varied among *Lb. plantarum* depending on their isolation habitats
 209 (Buntin et al., 2017).

210 In two studies, Adel et al. (2017a, 2017b) reported LAB in *L. vannamei* intestine. In a probiotic
 211 study using *Pediococcus pentosaceus*, previously isolated from healthy Pacific white shrimp
 212 intestine, Adel et al. (2017a) revealed $0.87 \pm 0.16 \times 10^5$ CFU g^{-1} intestine of *Lactobacillus* spp.
 213 in the control group, while $1.76 \pm 0.32 \times 10^5$ CFU g^{-1} intestine was detected in shrimp fed 10^8
 214 *P. pentosaceus*. These population levels are lower compared to the dominant one; *Vibrio* sp.,
 215 $12.16 \pm 1.63 \times 10^5$ CFU g^{-1} intestine in the control group, and $11.58 \pm 1.4 \times 10^5$ CFU g^{-1} intestine
 216 of *Micrococcus* spp. by feeding *L. vannamei* 10^6 *P. pentosaceus*. Adel et al. (2017b) identified
 217 a *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* by biochemical analysis and 16S rRNA from intestine of *L.*
 218 *vannamei*, later used in a probiotic study. In the control group, not fed probiotics, the authors
 219 identified only a small proportion of *Lactobacillus*, 0.84 ± 0.13 CFU g^{-1} intestine.

220 In a study evaluating the intestinal microbiome in a Pacific white shrimp grow-out pond with
 221 possible outbreak of acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease, Chen et al. (2017b) revealed 11
 222 order taxa of which one was Latobacillales (*Weissella*).

223 The study of Cornejo-Granados et al. (2017) was the 2nd study isolating *Bifidobacterium* from
 224 intestine of shellfish; healthy Pacific white shrimp, unique for cultured samples.

225 In a probiotic study, Duan et al. (2017) used *Clostridium butyricum* and revealed that probiotic
 226 supplementation enriched *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Lactococcus* sp. in the intestine of Pacific white
 227 shrimp. The authors put forward the controversial hypothesis that enrichment of Firmicutes,
 228 including LAB, might contribute to the expression of host digestive – and immune-related
 229 genes, but to fully conclude, further studies are needed. In an eight-week feeding trial, He et al.
 230 (2017) evaluated the gut bacterial community of Pacific white shrimp fed AviPlus® (AP), a
 231 blend of organic acids [citric acid, 25%; sorbic acid, 16.7%, and essential oils (thymol, 1.7%;
 232 vanillin, 1.0%)], and revealed that dietary inclusion of 1.2 g kg⁻¹ AP led to a significant increase
 233 in the abundance of *Lactobacillus* in shrimp gut vs. control. In a study evaluating sulfide
 234 exposure on gut health and gut microbiota of Pacific white shrimp, Suo et al. (2017) reported
 235 genera belonging to *Carnobacterium*, *Lactococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Leuconostoc*, and
 236 *Streptococcus* in the GI tract. Generally, the relative abundance of the LAB strains were higher
 237 in the control group vs. group exposed to sulfide. It is also worth mention, that the relative
 238 abundance of *Lactococcus* was highest among the major bacteria in both treatment groups.

239 Among the 26 families detected from the intestine of Pacific white shrimp, Lactobacillaceae
 240 was revealed (Xiong et al., 2017), but only a small indicator value (0.54) was noticed as
 241 Lactobacillaceae was only detected in the retarded and normal groups. Zeng et al. (2017)
 242 identified *Lactobacillus* sp. from the microbiota of the Pacific white shrimp intestine, but the
 243 abundance was low, 0.04 %, compared to the dominant taxa, *Candidatus Xiphinematobacter*
 244 (3.4 %) and *Propionigenium* (3.4 %). Zheng and Wang (2017) isolated 18 presumptive LAB
 245 strains, via culture-dependent techniques on MRS agar medium from GI tract of Pacific white
 246 shrimp, and tested them for extracellular protease, cellulase and lipase activities. One of the
 247 most promising isolate, strain AS13 was further identified by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis
 248 and identified as *Lb. pentosus*, and further used in a probiotic study.

249 Chomwong et al. (2018) identified *Lb. plantarum* and *Lac. lactis* from the intestinal microbiota
 250 of the Pacific white shrimp in a study evaluating the LAB activating effect on the proPO
 251 system, and revealed that LAB increase resistance of an acute hepatopancreatic necrosis
 252 disease of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Scanning electron microscopy analysis revealed adherence
 253 of the shrimp gut, and antibacterial activity against the Gram-positive bacteria, *Staphylococcus*
 254 *aureus*, *Aerococcus viridans*, *Bacillus megaterium* and *Bacillus subtilis*, and the Gram-negative
 255 bacteria, *V. parahaemolyticus*, *Vibrio harveyi* and *Escherichia coli*. A general finding was; *Lac.*
 256 *lactis* revealed higher antibacterial activities than *Lb. plantarum*.

257 Duan et al. (2018) explored the effect dietary poly-β-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) on the bacterial
 258 community of *L. vannamei*, and revealed that PHB increased the abundance of *Lactobacillus*
 259 sp. and *Lactococcus* sp., an effect that might improve shrimp intestinal health and disease
 260 resistance. In a comparative study analyzing the bacterial community in Pacific white shrimp
 261 intestine, rearing water and sediment, *Lactobacillus* sp. was one of the highly prevalent genus
 262 in the intestine (Hou et al., 2018). In addition, *Streptococcus* sp. was displayed. Synbiotic,
 263 combination of pro- and prebiotic, feeding using *Lb. plantarum* and galactooligosaccharide
 264 (GOS), revealed modulation of the microbiota in *L. vannamei* intestine; improved colonization
 265 of *Lb. plantarum* and reduced abundance of *Photobacterium damsela* and *V. harveyi* (Huynh
 266 et al., 2018).

267 In a probiotic study, Pinoargote et al. (2018) displayed relative low abundance of
 268 Lactobacillaceae in the gut when Pacific white shrimp were fed the control diets; 0.009 ± 0.003
 269 and 0.006 ± 0.005 in negative and positive control, respectively. The families,
 270 Rhodobacteraceae, Vibrionaceae and Lactobacillaceae in the Pacific white shrimp gut varied

271 by supplementation of probiotics, but the relative abundance of Lactobacillaceae was
 272 significantly highest in shrimp fed *Lb. casei* or the commercial product, 0.089 ± 0.018 and 0.148
 273 ± 0.027 , respectively.

274 Xue et al. (2018) investigated the gut bacterial community in Pacific white shrimp gut at four
 275 larval stages, and revealed Leuconostocaceae and Streptococcaceae at stage Z2 (zoea 2) and
 276 M1 (mysis 1), but only Leuconostocaceae at stage P1 (postlarvae 1). It is worth mention, that
 277 Streptococcaceae was one of the most abundant groups at stage Z2 and M1. Fan et al. (2019)
 278 evaluated the gut bacterial community of Pacific white shrimp, and revealed genus
 279 *Lactobacillus* in shrimp gut. Gao et al. (2019b) reported genera *Lactobacillus* and *Streptococcus*
 280 in *L. vannamei* intestine; the highest abundance was noticed in postlarvae fed *Artemia* nauplii
 281 enriched with *Halomonas*-PHB particles. In a study evaluating biological water purification
 282 grid (BWPG) on bacterial community of Pacific white shrimp intestine, Pei et al. (2019)
 283 revealed that *Lactococcus* was enriched in the water of the test pond treated with BWPG, but
 284 the genus was not detected in the intestine; dominated by unclassified bacteria, which may
 285 indicate that the environmental *Lactococcus* was not able to colonise the intestine.

286

287 **White shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*)**

288 By culture-dependent techniques, Kongnum and Hongpattarakere (2012) isolated *Lb.*
 289 *plantarum* MRO3.12 from the GI tract of white shrimp, and the strain possessed high
 290 antibacterial activity towards *V. harveyi*. In addition, co-cultivation of *Lb. plantarum* and *V.*
 291 *harveyi*, revealed complete reduction of the pathogen after 24 h, under aerobic and anaerobic
 292 conditions, in contrast to an increase of strain MR03.12 from log 5.3 to 9.5 CFU mL⁻¹. *Lb.*
 293 *plantarum* MRO3.12 was further used in a probiotic feeding trial with white shrimp. Sun et al.
 294 (2016) identified LAB from *P. vannamei* intestine, and these LAB were identified as
 295 *Lactococcus* sp. and *Lactobacillus* sp., but they accounted for a small proportion, 1.01 and 0.49
 296 % of the intestinal bacterial community, respectively, compared to the dominant genus;
 297 *Pseudomonas*, 14.57 %. In a recent study, Gainza et al. (2018) explored the gut microbiota of
 298 *P. vannamei* in intensive ponds, harvest and nursery, and identified *Lac. garvieae* and
 299 *Lactococcus* sp. from harvest pond, while Lactobacillaceae was revealed in intestine of shrimp
 300 from the nurse pond.

301

302 **Brown shrimp (*Farfantepenaeus californiensis*)**

303 Only one study has revealed LAB in the intestine of brown shrimp (Leyva-Madrigal et al.
 304 2011), and the authors addressed to isolate probiotic LAB to be used in Pacific white shrimp
 305 naturally infected with WSSV and IHHNV. Twenty presumptive LAB were isolated, and
 306 further analysis; haemolysis, growth, hydrophobicity, antibacterial activity against presumptive
 307 vibrios, and enzyme production revealed that the most promising isolates were identified as *P.*
 308 *pentosaceus*.

309

310 **Indian white shrimp (*Penaeus indicus*)**

311 Gopalakannan (2006) isolated 32 LAB, using culture-dependent methods, in the digestive tract
 312 of Indian white shrimp, and among them, LAB PI80 revealed high *in vitro* growth inhibition
 313 against *Aeromonas hydrophila*, and promising activity against *Aeromonas salmonicida*, *Vibrio*
 314 *anguillarum*, *Vibrio fischeri*, *Vibrio vulnificus* and *V. parahaemolyticus*. Kanmani et al. (2010)
 315 isolated *Streptococcus phocae* from the GI tract of Indian white shrimp, and tested the isolate
 316 for adherence, acid stability, antibiotic susceptibility, hemolytic properties and bacteriocins,

317 and was further used in a challenge study with *P. monodon* (Pattukumar et al., 2014). In a later
 318 study, the strain was tested for exopolysaccharide production and antibiofilm activity (Kanmani
 319 et al., 2011).

320

321 **Kuruma shrimp (*Marsupenaeus japonicus*)**

322 Maeda et al. (2014) isolated 51 LAB strains from the digestive tract of kuruma shrimp and
 323 identified them as *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterococcus*, *Enterococcus pseudovium*,
 324 *Enterococcus raffinosus*, *Lactobacillus* sp. *Lb. plantarum*, *Lactobacillus nagelii*, *Lac. garvieae*,
 325 *Lac. lactis*, *Pediococcus pentosaceus*, *Vagococcus campiphilus*, *Vagococcus* sp. and *Vc.*
 326 *fluvialis* by 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. The 51 strains were tested for cellular
 327 immunomodulatory function by measuring the level of interferon (IFN)- γ induction in mouse
 328 spleen cell culture, and the most promising strain *Lac. lactis* D1813 was selected as probiotic
 329 in a *in vivo* study of kuruma shrimp.

330 **Giant tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*)**

331 In a previous study, Gopalakannan (2006) isolated 18 LAB, using culture-dependent methods,
 332 in the digestive tract of giant tiger prawn, but none of them displayed promising *in vitro* growth
 333 inhibition against *A. hydrophila*. Nimrat et al. (2013) isolated an *Enterococcus* sp. S2 from the
 334 intestine of giant tiger prawn and tested its hemolytic activity, *in vitro* growth inhibition towards
 335 *V. harveyi* and extracellular enzyme activity. Based on its promising properties, the strain was
 336 used in a probiotic study with giant tiger prawn. Rungrasamee et al. (2014) revealed
 337 *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Lactococcus* sp. in the GI tract of wild caught giant tiger prawn.

338

339 **Yellow shrimp (*Metapenaeus brevicornis*)**

340 Only one study have isolated and identified presumptive LAB strains, via culture-dependent
 341 techniques, in the GI tract of yellow shrimp (Kongnum and Hongpattarakere 2012). The isolates
 342 were further tested for antibacterial effects against *V. harveyi*, and the general finding was that
 343 the lactobacilli possessed the highest antibacterial activity.

344

345 **Chinese shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus chinensis*)**

346 The first study revealing LAB, *E. faecalis* in the GI tract of the Chinese shrimp was carried out
 347 by DGGE (Liu et al. (2011). In a later study, Sha et al. (2016b) displayed that presumptive LAB
 348 from the intestine of Chinese shrimp revealed probiotic potential in a study using Pacific white
 349 shrimp

350

351 **Banana shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus merguensis*)**

352 In a culture-dependent study, Kongnum and Hongpattarakere (2012) isolated presumptive LAB
 353 in the GI tract of banana shrimp, but the strains were not further identified, and further use was
 354 not given.

355

356 **European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*)**

357 Two studies by Daniels et al. (2010, 2013) revealed *Weissella confusa* and *Weissella cibaria* in
 358 the GI tract of post-larval European lobster.

359

360 **Narrow clawed crayfish (*Astacus leptodactylus*)**

361 In a recent study, presumptive LAB was revealed in the intestine of narrow clawed crayfish fed
 362 diets supplemented 2 and 3 % GOS by cultivation (Nedaei et al., 2019). The population level
 363 of LAB after 97 days of feeding was log CFU g⁻¹, 4.52 \pm 0.34 and 4.23 \pm 0.26 by feeding 2 and

364 3 % GOS, respectively, but 14 days after switch to the basal diet, LAB counts was significantly
 365 reduced to approximately 2.6.

366

367 **Mud crab (*Scylla paramamosain*)**

368 A study assessing the GI tract of mud crabs identified *Weissella fabaria*, *Streptococcus mutans*
 369 and Latobacillales 1247 (Li et al., 2012).

370

371 **Swimming crab (*Callinectes* sp.)**

372 Uaboi-Egbenni et al. (2010) identified the well-known pathogen *Streptococcus agalactiae* in
 373 the GI tract of wild swimming crab.

374

375 **Blue swimming crab (*Portunus pelagicus*) and swimming crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*)**

376 One previous study reported LAB in the GI tract of blue swimming carp (Talpur et al., 2012).
 377 More recently, Kim et al. (2017) evaluated the intestinal microbial community in wild caught
 378 swimming crab in spring and autumn, and revealed higher microbial diversity in autumn than
 379 in spring. The dominant genera in spring were, *Psychrobacter*, *Vagococcus*, *Carnobacterium*,
 380 *Lactococcus* and *Streptococcus*. In addition, detection of potential pathogens differed among
 381 sampling sites, site 2 and 6, in spring, especially the proportion of *Lac. garvieae*, 33.5 % and
 382 27.8 %, respectively.

383

384 **Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*)**

385 Chinese mitten crab is an important species in South East Asia, and due to its high economic
 386 value it is widely farmed in China. Five studies assessing the GI tract of Chinese mitten crab
 387 identified the presence of LAB (Li et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016; Ding et
 388 al., 2017; Dong et al., 2018). Li et al. (2007) revealed uncultured *Lactococcus* sp. in the intestine
 389 of healthy and 1-year old wild Chinese mitten crab. Chen et al. (2015) explored the intestinal
 390 bacterial community of Chinese mitten crab farmed in Lake Tai, China, and displayed
 391 Latobacillales and Streptococcaceae by DGGE. Later, Zhang et al. (2016) evaluated the
 392 bacterial communities in water, gills and gut of wild caught *E. sinensis*, and showed that
 393 Tenericutes and Proteobacteria were the predominant gut phyla, but two OTUs showed high
 394 similarity to *Lactococcus*.

395 As white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) is an emerging problem in shellfish aquaculture
 396 industry, Ding et al. (2017) investigated the effect of WSSV infection on gut microbiota of
 397 Chinese mitten crab. Microbial DNA from 30 gut samples and revealed that the abundance of
 398 Latobacillales significantly decreased in WSSV infected Chinese mitten crab. In a study
 399 investigated the intestinal microbiota and expression of gut immunity genes, Dong et al. (2018)
 400 revealed that in fore-, mid- and hindgut, genus *Lactococcus* was one of the predominant genera,
 401 while the species was less abundant in mid- and hindgut, indicating that *Lactococcus* mostly
 402 colonize the foregut (FG). In addition to *Lactococcus* was *Lactobacillus* detected, the
 403 abundance was not specified.

404

405 **Abalone (*Haliotis asinina*)**

406 Sarkono et al. (2010) isolated four culturable presumptive LAB strains, identified as genus
 407 *Lactobacillus*, from the fluid of the digestive tract of abalone.

408

409

410 **Giant lion`s paw scallop (*Nodipecten subnodosus*)**

411 Nava-Hernández (2008) identified LAB strain NS61 from the gut microbiota of the giant lion`s
412 paw scallop by using cultivation, but no further information was presented. Later, Campa-
413 Córdova et al. (2011), tested the strain as a probiotic for the oyster (*Crassostrea corteziensis*).
414

415 **LAB isolated from hepatopancreas**

416 In a study analyzing the effect of synbiotic (GOS and *Ent. faecalis* and *P. acidilactici*) feeding,
417 Safari and Paolucci (2017) revealed low population levels of presumptive LAB in the
418 hepatopancreas of control and GOS fed narrow-clawed crayfish.
419

420 **LAB isolated from shellfish muscle**

421 In their study evaluating six shellfish species, Japanese littleneck (*Venerupis philippinarum*),
422 turbo (*Batillus cornutus*), Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*), Chinese venus (*Cyclina sinensis*),
423 blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) and surf clam (*Mactra veneriformis*), Kang et al. (2016) revealed
424 LAB from meat in all species, but no pathogens were detected. After testing for antibacterial
425 activity towards several pathogens were four stains selected out of 65 presumptive
426 *Lactobacillus* spp. isolated. 16S rRNA analysis revealed high similarity to *Lb. plantarum*.
427 These LAB were further tested for bile salt- and acid tolerance and adhesion ability, and the
428 authors suggested them as potential probiotics in shellfish aquaculture, but as no probiotic
429 studies were carried out, further studies are needed.

430 In addition to the fact that several LAB strains have probiotic potential is has been revealed that
431 certain species of LAB isolated from shellfish have the potential being causative agents of
432 disease. In the early study of Cheng and Chen (1998), they isolated *Enterococcus seriolicida*
433 from the muscle of diseased giant freshwater prawn, while Wang et al. (2008) isolated *Lac.*
434 *lactis* subsp. *lactis* from diseased giant freshwater prawn muscle; a disease resulted in 100%
435 mortality in two days.

436 Braïek et al. (2018) isolated *E. lactis* from raw white shrimp, and tested the isolate for
437 antibacterial activities against several Gram-positive strains including *Enterococcus*,
438 *Lactococcus*, *Micrococcus*, *Carnobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Listeria* and
439 *Bacillus*, five Gram-negative species and 12 fungi species, and revealed production of
440 enterocins A, B and or P, proteolytic activity, tolerance to bile and good autoaggregation and
441 coaggregation capacities.

442 **PROBIOTIC LAB IN SHELLFISH**

443 **LAB as feed utilisation improvement**

444 Dietary inclusion of probiotics in aquatic animals is known to enhance feed digestion and
445 absorption because of their abilities to release several digestive enzymes (etc. proteases,
446 amylases, and lipases) and nutrients (etc. vitamins, amino acids, and fatty acids). These
447 substances may take part in digestive process and feed utilization, as well as on the assimilation
448 of diet elements resulted in improvement of host`s health and growth (e.g. Irianto and Austin,
449 2002a; Bolasina et al., 2006; Ray et al., 2012; Hoseinifar et al., 2018, 2019; Ringø et al., 2018).
450 Several studies have revealed altered enzyme patterns due to the intake of LAB in shellfish
451 (**Table 2**).

452 Protease and amylase secretion have been elevated in Pacific white shrimp after feeding the
453 shrimp *Lactobacillus* sp. at different dietary levels; 5%, 10%, and 15% of basal diet (Wang et
454 al., 2010). Dietary inclusion of *Lac. lactis* subsp. *lactis* isolated from shrimp`s intestine
455 significantly increased cellulose, lipase, amylase, and protease compared to the control (Adel

et al., 2017). The significant increase in these enzyme activities may improve digestion and nutrient absorption, which in turn contributes to increase growth performance (Wang et al., 2012). It is well-established that the stimulation of digestive enzyme activities in fish and shellfish fed LAB may be attributable to the improvement of gut maturation (Tovar et al., 2002), prevention of intestinal disorders, and pre-digestion of antinutrient factors displayed in the feedstuffs (Verschuere et al., 2000). Similarly, significant improvement of protease and amylase activities were observed in *L. vannamei* fed *P. pentosaceus* at dose of 10^7 and 10^8 CFU/g. The authors also mentioned that the increase in shrimp's growth parameter and feed utilisation may be due to increase in digestive enzyme activity induced by the probiotics. When discussing the mode of action, probiotics might have the highest effects on the shrimp's digestive system in the early stage of life cycle, such as the larval and early post-larval stages (Kamarudin et al., 1994; Lovett and Felder, 1990; Vine et al., 2006), and particularly LAB as they could release a broad range of exoenzymes (Moriarty, 1998). Furthermore, the presence of probiotics in shrimp's intestinal tract may induce the production of endogenous enzymes or contribute to the total enzyme activity of the gut (Saeed Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006). The higher level of enzyme activities as a result of probiotics consumption could enhance the digestion and absorption of protein, starch, fat, and cellulose, which might increase growth of shrimp fed the probiotic supplemented diets vs. the control. Dietary inclusion of commercial probiotic, *Lb. plantarum* at 10^9 CFU mL⁻¹ for 15 days significantly improved amylase, lipase, and pepsin activity of Pacific white shrimp. Recently, Du et al. (2019) revealed that dietary inclusion of *Lb. pentosus* significantly increased trypsin, lipase, and α -amylase in *L. vannamei*, while Zuo et al. (2019) indicated that administration of *Lactobacillus* significantly improved protease, lipase, and amylase of Pacific white shrimp. The enzymes mentioned above are important shrimp digestive enzymes which play an important role in the assimilation of nutrition in shrimp's intestine (Muhlia-Almazán et al., 2003). The concentrations of digestive enzymes were usually used as an indicator for evaluating the shrimp's food conversion efficiency and growth performance, and many studies have demonstrated that dietary inclusion of probiotics could increase activity of trypsin, lipase, and α -amylase enzymes (e.g. Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006; Arena et al., 2007; Anand et al., 2014). Zuo et al. (2019) suggested enhanced enzyme activities by supplementation of *Lactobacillus* might be due to enzyme secretions of *Lactobacillus* or by strengthened secretion from cells stimulated by the probiotic, or by the combinations of the two factors.

487

488 **LAB as effective growth promoters in shellfish**

489 The most important goal of commercial aquaculture is to achieve fastest growth and low
 490 feeding input. To obtain the goal, the scientific community has developed different technologies
 491 that can boost growth performance of farmed animals by functional-additives and natural
 492 growth promoters (Katya et al., 2014; Hernández et al., 2016). In this respect, probiotics are of
 493 importance to obtain enhanced growth, improved health, and well-being aquatic animals,
 494 because they serve as a nutrient source, vitamins and digestive enzymes, which in turn play an
 495 important role on feed utilization, nutrient absorption, and growth performance (Lauriano et
 496 al., 2016; Nath et al., 2018), and dietary inclusion of probiotic has been hypothesized to enhance
 497 the appetite or stimulate organisms' digestibility (Irianto and Austin, 2002b). Probiotics can
 498 enhance feed efficiency of fish and shellfish by stimulating the release of digestive enzymes
 499 and maintaining the balance or improving the intestinal bacterial community, which led to the
 500 improvement of nutrient absorption and utilization, as well as the survival and growth of the
 501 host (Irianto and Austin, 2002b; Ibrahim, 2015).

502 Several studies evaluating the effects of dietary inclusion of probiotics have revealed possible
 503 involvement of probiotics on the improvement of the intestinal microbiota balance as well as

504 involved in the production of extracellular enzymes which by turns enhance the feed utilization
 505 and growth of the cultured species as they act as growth promoters (Giri et al., 2013; Ringø et
 506 al., 2018). Most studies using LAB in shellfish focus on growth performance and survival rate,
 507 for example; supplementation of *E. faecium* and *Lac. garvieae* at 10^7 CFU/mL significantly
 508 enhance specific growth rate of *P. monodon* (Swain et al., 2009). Similar results were revealed
 509 by Vieira et al. (2010) in a study with *L. vannamei* administered by *Lb. plantarum* isolated
 510 from Pacific white shrimp intestine. Kongnum and Hongpattarakere (2012) indicated
 511 significant higher relative growth rate and survival rate, and lower FCR in *L. vannamei* fed 2--
 512 4×10^8 CFU g^{-1} feed *Lb. plantarum* for 6 weeks. Similarly, giant freshwater shrimp fed dietary
 513 inclusion of *Lb. plantarum* showed significant increase in weight gain, specific growth rate,
 514 feed conversion efficiency, protein efficiency ratio, and carcass protein content; whereas feed
 515 conversion ratio (FCR) significant decreased (Dash et al., 2014, 2015, 2016). Significantly
 516 improved growth performance, total protein, total free amino acid, total carbohydrate, and total
 517 lipid content; as well as feeding rate, absorption rate, conversion rate, and excretory rate was
 518 observed in *M. rosenbergii* fed *Lactobacillus sporogenes* for 90 days (Seenivasan et al., 2014).
 519 Wang et al. (2010) revealed in a study using *Lactobacillus* sp. supplemented in *L. vannamei*
 520 diet; significant improved weight gain and specific growth rate, while FCR was reduced
 521 compared to the control treatment. Likely, significant increase larval survival rate was observed
 522 in Cortez oyster larvae (*Crassostrea corteziensis*) larvae fed dietary inclusion of LAB strain
 523 NS61 isolated from giant lion's paw scallop at concentration of 10^4 and 10^5 CFU/mL (Campa-
 524 Córdova et al., 2011), but no significant different in larval final size was revealed. Recently,
 525 dietary administration *Lac. lactis* subsp. *lactis* and *P. pentosaceus* significantly enhanced
 526 growth performance and FCR of *L. vannamei* (Adel et al., 2017a, 2017b). Similarly, *Lb.*
 527 *pentosus* and *Lb. plantarum* inclusion in *L. vannamei* diets significantly improved growth
 528 performance and feed utilisation (Zheng and Wang, 2017; Zheng et al., 2017, 2018; Correa et
 529 al., 2018; Gao et al., 2018). It is known that LAB possesses high protein value, with a wide
 530 range of amino acids and trace elements. They are not only directly absorbed by the host
 531 as nutrients, but also secretes some SCFAs, vitamins, and other nutrients in order to maintain
 532 the host's gut ecological balance and enhance growth (e.g. Prieur et al., 1990; Verschuere et al.,
 533 2000; Irianto and Austin, 2002a). In addition, once the LAB adhere and colonized the intestine,
 534 they will release some digestive enzymes, such as cellulase, protease, and lipase into the host's
 535 intestinal tracts, and help the host digest residual food, which promotes the absorption of
 536 nutrients (Gallagher et al., 2001; Vine et al., 2006). Recently, Zuo et al. (2019) indicated that
 537 supplementation of *Lactobacillus* at 10^7 CFU g^{-1} for 27 days significant increased the body
 538 weight of *L. vannamei*. Combination of several probiotics have shown to improve growth
 539 performance in shellfish; for example, Wang et al. (2019) revealed that combination of *Lb.*
 540 *pentosus*, *Laccoccus fermentum*, *B. subtilis*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* significantly
 541 improved growth performance and survival rate of *L. vannamei*, but no significant different in
 542 carcass composition was observed.

543

544 **LAB improve disease resistance in shellfish**

545 Probiotics have been proven as an effective tool for disease prevention in aquaculture
 546 (Hoseinifar et al., 2018; Ringø et al., 2018). Previously, antibiotics and chemotherapeutics were
 547 commonly applied for diseases prevention and treatment in aquaculture (Miranda et al., 2018),
 548 but the intensive applications of these substances have caused many adverse effects, such as the
 549 development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, the residue of them in the aquaculture products,
 550 and the transferring of resistance genes from animals to human (Fair and Tor, 2014; Watts et
 551 al., 2017a; Santos and Ramos, 2018). As an alternative to antibiotics and chemotherapeutics,

552 functional feed-additives, such as probiotics, prebiotics, and medicinal plants have gained
 553 attention in aquaculture (e.g. Akhter et al., 2015; Reverter et al., 2017). Probiotics can interact
 554 with or antagonize other enteric bacteria by resisting colonization or by directly inhibiting and
 555 reducing adherence and colonisation of opportunistic pathogens (Chiu et al., 2017). They can
 556 also improve host's health and well-being via physiological or immune modulation (Butt and
 557 Volkoff, 2019). In addition, they can produce a wide range of efficient molecules, which
 558 possess bactericidal activity. These molecules can inhibit pathogenic bacteria in the host's
 559 intestinal tract and provide a barrier against the proliferation of opportunistic pathogens (e.g.
 560 Martínez Cruz et al., 2012; Seghouani et al., 2017; Hoseinifar et al., 2018; Ringø et al., 2018).
 561 The bioactive molecules produced during the bactericidal activity are antibiotics, bacteriocins,
 562 siderophores, enzymes (lysozymes, proteases), and/or hydrogen peroxide as well as organic
 563 acids (Verschuere et al., 2000; Hoseinifar et al., 2018; Ringø et al., 2018). The inhibition of
 564 intestinal related diseases has been demonstrated in several aquaculture species via dietary
 565 inclusion of probiotics in aquafeeds (Ringø et al., 2018; Wanka et al., 2018; Serra et al., 2019).
 566 Thus, it can be concluded that probiotics consumption can protect aquatic animals from
 567 infectious disease via the stimulation of immune systems. Dietary inclusion of *Lb. plantarum*
 568 significantly increased disease resistance of Pacific white shrimp and giant freshwater shrimp
 569 against *Vibrio alginolyticus*, *V. harveyi*, and *A. hydrophila*, respectively (Chiu et al., 2007;
 570 Vieira et al., 2010; Kongnum and Hongpattarakere, 2012; Dash et al., 2015; Pacheco-Vega et
 571 al., 2018). In case of *Lb. pentosus*, dietary inclusion significantly increased disease resistance
 572 of *L. vannamei* and *Haliotis discus hannai* against *V. vulnificus*, *V. rotiferianus*, *V. campbellii*,
 573 and *V. parahaemolyticus*, respectively (Zheng and Wang, 2017; Gao et al., 2018; Du et al.,
 574 2019). Similarly, administration of *Lb. acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus* significantly enhanced
 575 disease resistance of *L. vannamei* against *V. alginolyticus* and white spot syndrome virus,
 576 respectively (Sivakumar et al., 2012; Zuo et al., 2019). Resistance against *Vibrio penaeicida*
 577 and *V. anguillarum* was observed in *Marsupenaeus japonicus* and *L. vannamei* fed diet
 578 supplemented with *Lac. lactis* and *Lac. lactis* subsp. *lactis*, respectively (Maeda et al., 2014;
 579 Adel et al., 2017a). Supplementation of LAB strains from National Collection, Pune, India, was
 580 reported to improved disease resistance of *P. indicus* against *V. parahaemolyticus*; injected with
 581 0.1 mL of 3×10^9 cells mL⁻¹ (Ajitha et al., 2004). The probiotic bacterium, *P. acidilactici*
 582 supplemented in *Litopenaeus stylirostris* diets significantly enhanced disease resistance against
 583 *V. nigripulchritudo* (Castex et al., 2010). Combination of several probiotics in Pacific white
 584 shrimp diets, such as *E. faecium*, and *Lb. pentosus* or the combination of *Lb. pentosus*, *Lac.*
 585 *fermentum*, *B. subtilis*, and *S. cerevisiae* significantly improved disease resistance against *V.*
 586 *parahaemolyticus* (Sha et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019), while the combination of *E. faecalis*
 587 and *E. faecium* showed significantly increased disease resistance of *L. vannamei* against *A.*
 588 *hydrophila* and *V. vulnificus* (Cui et al., 2017).

589

590 **LAB effects on rearing water quality**

591 The main obstacles in using antibiotics and chemotherapeutics to improve the rearing water
 592 quality in aquaculture is the emergence of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria (Akinbowale et al.,
 593 2006; Watts et al., 2017b), and as an alternative strategy; application of probiotics has been
 594 suggested. It has been reported that adding probiotics into water environment provided more
 595 favourable organisms than diet incorporation (Fuller, 1989). The interaction between water
 596 environment and aquacultured species have been considered as sustainable for aquaculture (e.g.
 597 Verschuere et al., 2000; Kesarcodi-Watson et al., 2008). The use of probiotics as a
 598 bioremediation tool to modulate the beneficial microorganism community and to inhibit
 599 pathogenic bacteria in the aquaculture environment led to the improvement aquatic animals'

600 health status and performance (Rao, 2007; Martínez Cruz et al., 2012). For this purpose,
 601 probiotics have been produced commercially in several reasonable and specific preparations for
 602 fish, shrimp, and molluscs farming operations (Wang et al., 2005), but few studies have been
 603 conducted using LAB in shellfish aquaculture. Adding *Lb. plantarum* directly into culture tank
 604 of *M. rosenbergii* revealed no effect on water quality (Dash et al., 2016). The synergistic
 605 elimination of pathogens with simultaneous reduce ammonia, nitrite and nitrate concentration
 606 have been demonstrated in an *in vitro* assay using *Lb. plantarum* and *Lb. hilgardii* as potential
 607 probiotic (Ma et al., 2009). Nonetheless, water quality parameters were not improved compared
 608 to the control treatment. This finding may be due to that the experiment was conducted in small
 609 low density indoor system where the uneaten feed and faeces were removed and rearing water
 610 was exchanged frequently. Furthermore, the water quality was maintained in optimum range
 611 for *M. rosenbergii* culture. So, good management practice might masked the possible effect of
 612 *Lb. plantarum* on the water quality (Silva et al., 2012). Similarly, Correa et al. (2018) revealed
 613 that dietary inclusion of *Lb. plantarum* had no effects on water quality and pathogens removal
 614 in *L. vannamei* culture under biofloc system. In contrast, dietary inclusion of *Lb. plantarum*
 615 significantly improved water quality and reduced shrimp diseases, as well as environmental
 616 impact (Pacheco-Vega et al., 2018).

617

618 **LAB against stressful conditions**

619 Intensification aquaculture with high density, normally caused stress for fish and shellfish
 620 (Guardiola et al., 2018), as stress will weaken the immune system of the host, and increase their
 621 susceptibility to infectious diseases (Kennedy et al., 2016). Stress is determined as ‘*physical or*
 622 *chemical factors that cause bodily reactions that may contribute to disease or death*’ (Rottmann
 623 et al., 1992). In addition to the physical and chemical stressors, the biological stress is defined
 624 as a ‘*nonspecific response of the body to any challenge*’ (Selye, 1982). According to the above
 625 definitions, there are many different stressors that aquatic animals faced during cultivation, such
 626 as transportation, malnutrition, stocking density, rearing temperature, anoxia, hypoxia,
 627 hyperoxia, chemicals, pesticides, and water salinity (e.g. Akhtar et al., 2011, 2013; Lushchak,
 628 2011; Dawood et al., 2015a, 2015b).

629 Besides pathogen pressure, farmed finfish and shellfish are normally subjected to
 630 environmental disruption that can seriously affect their physiological condition and increase the
 631 oxidative stress (Lesser, 2006; Balasch and Tort, 2019). Therefore, probiotics are incorporated
 632 in aquafeed diets to ameliorate the effect of these oxidative stress factors. Supplementation of
 633 *P. acidilactici* at 10^7 CFU g^{-1} feed for one month showed significantly improved antioxidant
 634 condition of *Litopenaeus stylirostris* (Castex et al., 2010). Hence, it is believed that probiotic
 635 consumption may promote the diet utilisation (Castex et al., 2008), and help to increase the
 636 absorption of dietary antioxidants from the feed. In addition, they play a vital role in antioxidant
 637 activity, as demonstrated for LAB (Kullisaar et al., 2002). Castex et al. (2010) assumed that
 638 anti-oxidative characteristics of a *Lactobacillus fermentum* strain may function as protective
 639 mechanisms in the intestinal microbial ecosystem and thus contribute to overcoming exo- and
 640 endogenous oxidative stress. Recently, dietary inclusion of *Lb. plantarum* significantly
 641 increased the resistance against stress, when shrimp were exposed to acute low salinity (Zheng
 642 et al., 2017). Probiotics have been used as effective tool to enhance shrimp’s ability against
 643 environmental stress (Yeh et al., 2010; Dong et al., 2013). It is known that there is a strictly
 644 order set of events occurring in order to help an organism response to the environmental and
 645 physiological stressors. The most common mechanism is rapid changes in gene expression
 646 followed by the synthesis of proteins involved in adaptation (Zhou et al., 2010). Up-regulation
 647 of ProPO mRNA level was recorded in shrimp challenged by pathogens or environmental

648 stress. It can be inferred that ProPO plays a critical role in shrimp immunity (Gao et al., 2009).
 649 Likely, dietary inclusion of *Lb. pentosus* at different concentrations not only improves the
 650 antioxidant capacity of abalones, but also significantly decreases the MDA content.
 651 Furthermore, this inclusion can increase environmental adaptability, remain redox balance, and
 652 stimulate the immune function of abalone (Gao et al., 2018).

653 SHELLFISH IMMUNE SYSTEM

654 Various species of crustaceans, molluscs, and Echinodermata rely solely on innate immunity to
 655 fight against pathogens (Söderhäll et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2004; McFall-Ngai et al., 2007;
 656 Loker et al., 2017). While further verification is required, some studies suggested that shellfish
 657 may also have an adaptive-like immune system (Arala-Chaves et al., 2000; Flajnik et al., 2004;
 658 Hibino et al., 2006; Kurtz et al., 2006; Vazquez et al., 2009; Chiaramonte et al., 2015; Song et
 659 al., 2015). Due to economic reasons, the immune systems of crustaceans, especially shrimp, are
 660 more heavily studied compared to molluscs or *Echinodermata*.

661 Shellfish contain phagocytic cells including dendritic cells, macrophages, and neutrophils.
 662 Dendritic cells and macrophages recognize microbe-derived molecules (microbe-associated
 663 molecular patterns, MAMPs) through pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) expressed on the
 664 cell surface or inside the cells. MAMPs include lipopolysaccharides, peptidoglycans, β -1, 3-
 665 glucans, lectins, and nucleic acids (Kaisho et al., 2004; Cerenius et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2010,
 666 2018; Song et al., 2010; Sánchez-Salgado et al., 2017). Including Toll-like receptors (TRLs),
 667 11 types of PRRs have been identified in shrimp (Wang et al., 2013). The presence of other
 668 types of PRRs, such as NOD-like receptors (NLRs) and RIG-like receptors (RLRs) need to be
 669 identified in shrimp and other shellfish species. The interaction between PRRs and MAMPs
 670 activates receptor-dependent signalling pathways, which results in innate immune responses:
 671 cytokine production and stimulation of phagocytosis, clotting proteins, apoptosis, antimicrobial
 672 proteins (AMPs), and the complement system (Kaisho et al., 2004; Cerenius et al., 2010; Smith
 673 et al., 2010, 2018; Song et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Sánchez-Salgado et al., 2017). C-type (Ca^{2+}
 674 dependent) lectins are most common in shellfish among the lectin groups. The carbohydrate
 675 recognition domain of lectins recognizes microbes determining the specificity (Rast et al., 2006;
 676 Vazquez et al., 2009; Sánchez-Salgado et al., 2017). Enzymatic defence systems, such as
 677 lysozymes, prophenoloxidase, and antioxidant enzymes are also crucial to combating numerous
 678 microbial infections. Activated defence enzymes cleave the peptidoglycan linkage between *N*-
 679 acetylmuramic acid and *N*-acetylglucosamine resulting in the elimination of microbes.
 680 Additionally, the enzymes inhibit melanin formation which is essential for microbe survival
 681 and reduce oxidative stress (Sritunyalucksana et al., 2000; Vazquez et al., 2009; Hauton, 2012;
 682 Chiaramonte et al., 2015). Phagocytic cells such as dendritic cells, monocytes, macrophages,
 683 and neutrophils engulf the microbes. Phagocytosis of microbes results in the direct killing inside
 684 phagocytes by lysosomal enzymes, reactive oxygen species, and nitric oxide (Battistella et al.,
 685 1996; Salzet et al., 2001; Cerenius et al., 2010; Hauton, 2012; Chiaramonte et al., 2015;
 686 Bouallegui, 2019). Clotting is a critical and rapid response required for sealing tissue injury,
 687 preventing pathogen infection via the damaged sites (Sritunyalucksana et al., 2000; Lee et al.,
 688 2002; Cerenius et al., 2011). Apoptosis is an essential cellular response to eliminate
 689 opportunistic harmful cells in shellfish, and apoptosis is highly regulated by numerous factors
 690 (Sokolova, 2009; Kiss, 2010; Menze et al., 2010). Antimicrobial peptides secreted from
 691 epithelial cells kill a broad range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative microbes (Vazquez et
 692 al., 2009; Hauton, 2012; Song et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2018). The complement system is also

693 an essential innate defense component. Although the presence of the complement system in
 694 shellfish has been reported, further investigation is required (Gross et al., 1999; Nonaka and
 695 Yoshizaki, 2004; Song et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2018; Bouallegui, 2019).

696 LAB EFFECTS ON CRUSTACEANS IMMUNE SYSTEM

697 Most of the studies of LAB effects have mainly focused on shrimp. The effects of LAB
 698 administration on the innate immune systems of crab, shrimp, and crayfish are summarized in
 699 **Table 3.**

700 Mud crab

701 The LAB, *Lb. plantarum* 7-40 originally isolated from fermented cabbage, kimchi, was fed to
 702 juvenile mud crab (0.97 g) as a powder-mixed diet (10^9 CFU/kg) for 28 days (Yeh et al., 2014),
 703 and the *Lb. plantarum*-fed crabs showed higher growth performance than control fed crab.
 704 When challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* (10^5 CFU/crab), the crabs treated with *Lb.*
 705 *plantarum* revealed 17 % increase in survival compared to the control group. In addition, the
 706 *Lb. plantarum*-treated group showed slightly elevated levels of total hemocyte count,
 707 phagocytic activity, and phenoloxidase activity. In contrast, the levels of respiratory burst,
 708 superoxide dismutase, and glutathione peroxidase were not significantly different between the
 709 experimental and control group.

710 Blue swimming crab

711 Talpur et al. (2013) treated swimming crab larvae for 14 days with indigenous *Lb. plantarum*
 712 PPG-2-10-Talpur at three different concentrations: 1×10^6 , 5×10^6 , and 1×10^7 CFU/mL. The
 713 *Lb. plantarum*-treated group displayed increased survival, 9.5%, 10.8%, and 8.3%, respectively
 714 compared to the control group (~ 2.3%). Of note, there appears to be an ideal dose of *Lb.*
 715 *plantarum* for beneficial survival effects, as feeding a high concentration (1×10^7 CFU/mL) of
 716 *Lb. plantarum* PPG-2-10-Talpur caused a somewhat adverse effect on the larvae.

717 Pacific white shrimp

718 White shrimp were fed a diet containing *Lb. plantarum* 7-40 at two different concentrations:
 719 10^7 CFU/kg and 10^{10} CFU/kg diet (Chiu et al., 2007). Immunological parameters were
 720 measured at different feeding periods: 24, 48, and 168 hours. After 24 hours of feeding, total
 721 hemocyte count, phenoloxidase activity, and respiratory burst were not significantly different
 722 between the *Lb. plantarum* diet groups and the control group. After feeding for 48 hours and
 723 168 hours, the shrimp were challenged with *V. alginolyticus* ($2\sim 6 \times 10^6$ CFU/shrimp). The
 724 cumulative mortality of the shrimp was significantly reduced in the groups fed *Lb. plantarum*
 725 7-40 (33.3% and 23.3%, respectively) compared to the control group (43.3%). At 48 hours of
 726 feeding, the *Lb. plantarum*-fed group showed significantly decreased total hemocyte count and
 727 phenoloxidase activity although these parameters enhanced after 168 hours of feeding. In
 728 contrast, the respiratory burst, clearance efficiency, and superoxide dismutase increased after
 729 48 and 168 hours feeding. The gene expression levels of *prophenoloxidase* and *peroxinectin*
 730 were also significantly higher in the 168 hour-feeding groups.

731 Sha et al. (2016b) fed white shrimp for 2 or 3 weeks on diets containing a mixture of *Lb.*
 732 *pentosus* HC-2 and *E. faecium* NRW-2 (10^7 CFU/g); originally isolated from the gut of Chinese
 733 white shrimp. The probiotics-treated groups highly expressed *Penaeidins-3 α* (*PEN-3 α*) and
 734 *proPO* genes in the midgut. When challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* ATCC17802, the
 735 probiotics-fed shrimp significantly increased survival rates (55.56%) compared to the control
 736 (31.11%).

737 Wang et al. (2019) isolated *Lb. pentosus* BD6 from pigeon faces, *Lb. fermentum* LW2 from
 738 Jingsi Lake water, and *S. cerevisiae* P13 from fermented peaches. The authors tested the
 739 immunological effects of these probiotics by feeding Pacific white shrimp with diets containing
 740 single or a mixture of the three bacteria in different concentrations (10^7 , 10^8 and 10^9 CFU/kg)
 741 for 56 days. All test groups showed no significant differences in total hemocyte numbers, but
 742 the *Lb. pentosus* BD6 group and *S. cerevisiae* P13 group significantly increased phenoloxidase
 743 activity like the mixture-fed group. The respiratory burst activity was enhanced in all groups
 744 except the *Lb. fermentum* LW2 and the *S. cerevisiae* group. All test groups increased lysozyme
 745 activity except the *S. cerevisiae* P13 group. Superoxide dismutase activity and phagocytic
 746 activity were slightly increased in all test groups compared to the control group. When
 747 challenged with *V. alginolyticus* infection, cumulative mortalities were significantly decreased
 748 in all the probiotic-treated groups (*Lb. pentosus* BD6: 40.7%, *Lb. fermentum* LW2: 40%, and
 749 *S. cerevisiae* P13: 53.3%) in comparison to the control group (73.3%). Strain mixture did not
 750 seem to have an effect on the mortality rates, as the shrimp fed with the mixture showed a
 751 similar mortality rate to those of the single strain-fed groups.

752 A commercially available product, PrimaLac® which included *Lb. acidophilus*, *Lb. casei*, *E.*
 753 *faecium*, and *B. bifidum* was tested on white shrimp for 8 weeks at different doses: 0.25 g, 0.5,
 754 and 1.0 g/kg (Miandare et al., 2016). The genes of prophenoloxidase, lysozyme and
 755 antimicrobial peptides (*penaidian* and *crustin*) were expressed significantly higher in a dose-
 756 dependent manner.

757 Vieira et al. (2010) tested innate immune activities of autochthonous *Lb. plantarum* by feeding
 758 the bacteria (1.5×10^8 CFU/g) to Pacific white shrimp 4 times a day for 60 days. Although no
 759 difference was observed in the final body weight and natural death, total LAB numbers in the
 760 shrimp gut were highly increased after 20 days of feeding. When challenged with *V. harveyi*,
 761 the *Lb. plantarum*-supplemented group showed a significantly higher survival rate (65.7%)
 762 compared to that of the control group (39.9%).

763 **Kuruma shrimp**

764 Immunomodulatory role of autochthonous *Lb. lactis* D1813 was investigated by feeding
 765 Kuruma shrimp probiotic diets once a day for 14 days (Maeda et al., 2014). Both *Lb. lactis*
 766 D1813 groups (10^5 and 10^7 CFU/g) increased prophenoloxidase gene expression in the gut at
 767 7 days of feeding. In contrast, the gene expressions of Anti-LPS factor, superoxide dismutase,
 768 and prophenoloxidase were marginally increased. When challenged by *V. penaeicida* (10^8
 769 CFU/mL) at 14 days of feeding, the *Lb. lactis* D1813-fed group (10^5 CFU/g) exhibited an
 770 increased survival rate (61.75%) compared to the control group (28.3%).

771

772 **Giant freshwater prawn**

773 Immune modulatory effects of *Lb. plantarum* MTCC1407 were tested by feeding giant
 774 freshwater prawn at three different concentrations (10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 CFU/g) (Dash et al., 2014).
 775 After 90 days of feeding, the shrimp significantly improved the innate immune parameters in a
 776 dose-dependent manner: total hemocytes, phenoloxidase activity, respiratory burst, and
 777 hemolymph clearance efficiency. The *Lb. plantarum*-feeding groups showed significantly
 778 reduced cumulative mortalities (10^7 CFU/g: 60%, 10^8 CFU/g: 40%, and 10^9 CFU/g: 31.11%)
 779 compared to the control group (82.23%) when infected with *A. hydrophila* ATCC35654 (10^6
 780 CFU/prawn). The same research group performed a similar study after heat-killing the same
 781 bacteria; at 60°C for 30 min (Dash et al., 2015). Giant freshwater prawns were fed heat-killed
 782 *Lb. plantarum* (10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 CFU/g) for 90 days. LAB administration significantly
 783 increased the innate immune parameters in a dose-dependent manner, and mortality rates
 784 decreased significantly (10^7 CFU/g group - 71%, 10^8 CFU/g - 46%, 10^9 CFU/g - 38%)
 785 compared to the control group (84%) when challenged with *A. hydrophila* ATCC35654 (10^6
 786 CFU/prawn). Furthermore, the same research group tested the water additive effect of *Lb.*
 787 *plantarum* MTCC1407 by cultivating the shrimp in water supplemented the live bacteria for 90
 788 days at three doses, 10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 CFU/L (Dash et al., 2016). When challenged with *A.*
 789 *hydrophila* ATCC35654 (10^6 CFU/prawn), the cumulative mortality rates of the LAB-treated
 790 group were reduced in a dose-dependent manner (10^7 CFU/L: 80%, 10^8 CFU/L: 73.33%, 10^9
 791 CFU/L: 62.23%, and the control: 82.23%). In addition the immune parameters were enhanced
 792 in a dose-dependent manner as well.

793 **Narrow-clawed crayfish**

794 Innate immune activities of *P. acidilactici* and *E. faecalis* were investigated on narrow-clawed
 795 crayfish (Safari et al., 2017). Juvenile crayfish were fed diets containing *P. acidilactici* ($3.4 \times$
 796 10^7 CFU/g), *E. faecalis* (3.4×10^7 CFU/g), *P. acidilactici* + GOS (10g/kg), or *E. faecalis* +
 797 GOS (10g/kg) for 126 days. Crayfish fed the *E. faecalis* + GOS diet revealed highest activities
 798 of phenoloxidase, superoxide dismutase, lysozyme, and nitric oxide synthase. When infected
 799 by *A. hydrophila* ATCC49040 (1×10^8 CFU/mL), the mean survival rate of the crayfish fed
 800 with the *E. faecalis* + GOS diet was higher (77.67%) than that of the control group (8.33 %)
 801 and the other groups (58.33 ~ 72.33%).

802 **LAB EFFECTS ON MOLLUSCA IMMUNE SYSTEM**

803 The studies of LAB effects on mollusca are limited and are summarized in **Table 3**.

804 **Kumamoto oyster (*Crassostrea sikamea*)**

805 Abasolo-Pacheco et al. (2016) isolated *Lb. plantarum* C from winged pearl oyster and *L.*
 806 *graminis* RL5 from lion's paw scallop. The probiotic effects of these two LAB strains were
 807 tested by cultivating juvenile oysters in water containing LAB (1×10^6 CFU/mL) for 35 days.
 808 The oysters treated with the mixture of the isolates showed significantly higher growth rates
 809 compared to the control group, but it is hard to fully conclude as the growth rate was assessed
 810 with small size oysters (tens mg of body weights).

811 **Catarina scallop (*Argopecten ventricosus*)**

812 The LAB strains isolated from oyster (Abasolo-Pacheco et al., 2016) were tested for their
 813 probiotics effects on catarina scallop (Abasolo-Pacheco et al., 2017). Larvae and juveniles were
 814 treated every 48 hours with a single or combined strain of probiotics (1×10^6 CFU/mL) for nine
 815 days (larvae) and 21 days (juveniles). Early veliger larvae treated with *Lb. graminis* RL5 and
 816 antibiotics or *Lb. plantarum* C3 alone significantly improved survival and growth rates. The
 817 mixture of *Bacillus* (*B. cereus* PB1-1, *B. flexus* PB1-5, and *B. firmus* PB1-6 in 1:1:1 ratio)
 818 significantly enhanced survival of juveniles from *V. alginolyticus* CAIM57 challenge (1×10^7
 819 CFU/mL) (60 %) compared to the control (0%), while juveniles treated with the LAB showed
 820 only 15% survival.

821 **New Zealand abalone (*Haliotis iris*)**

822 Autochthonous strains of probiotics (*Exiguobacterium* spp. JHEb1, *Vibrio* spp. JH1 and
 823 *Enterococcus* spp. JHLDc) were administered to farmed New Zealand abalone (Hadi et al.,
 824 2014). Juvenile abalones were fed for 60 days with diets containing the mix of two strains (2-
 825 p: *Exiguobacterium* spp. JHEb1 and *Vibrio* spp. JH1, 2×10^8 CFU/g) or the mixture of the three
 826 strains (3-P, 3×10^9 CFU/g). The probiotics-fed abalones significantly increased maximum
 827 shell length (3-P: 20.9%, 2-P: 15.4%) and wet weight (3-P: 19.8%, 2-P: 9.5%). In addition, both
 828 the 2-P and 3-P group displayed significantly lower mortalities (3.33%) than the control group
 829 (16.67%). These autochthonous strains were further investigated over a four-month period by
 830 feeding juvenile abalones (1% body weight per day) with the mixture of the three strains ($2 \times$
 831 10^9 CFU/g) (Grandiosa et al., 2018). The probiotics-fed group significantly improved in growth
 832 compared to that of the control: length (32.3% vs. 22.3%, width (31.9% vs. 20.9%) and wet
 833 weight (109.6% vs. 72.8%), respectively. Until 8 weeks of feeding, no significant differences
 834 in total hemocyte count and hemocyte viability were observed between the probiotics and the
 835 control group, but after 16 weeks of feeding, the probiotics-feeding group revealed significantly
 836 enhanced total hemocyte count and hemocyte viability. Furthermore, the probiotics group
 837 showed higher viability (90.8% vs. 75.6%) and a higher percentage of ROS-positive cells (19.4%
 838 vs. 0.5%) compared to the control.

839 **LAB EFFECTS ON ECHINODERMATA IMMUNE SYSTEM**

840 Echinodermata has a sophisticated immune system including coelomocytes, clot formation
 841 factors, Toll-like receptors, NOD-like receptors, other lectins, complement factors, and
 842 antimicrobial peptides (Smith et al., 2018), but studies evaluating LAB effects on the
 843 *Echinodermata* immune system are limited.

844 **Sea cucumber (*Actinopyga echinites*)**

845 Juvenile sea cucumbers were fed diets including three probiotic strains of similar ratio
 846 (*Lactobacillus*, *Sphingomonas*, and *Acetobacter*) at two different concentrations (6×10^7 and 9
 847 $\times 10^7$ CFU/g) for 90 days (Bao et al., 2017). The probiotics-fed sea cucumbers significantly
 848 enhanced growth performance in a dose-dependent manner (control group: 10.6 g, 6×10^7
 849 CFU/g group: 14.9 g, 9×10^7 CFU/g group: 15.4 g). Immune parameters, such as superoxide
 850 dismutase, catalase, acid phosphatase, alkaline phosphatase, and lysozyme activity were also

851 enhanced in a dose-dependent manner, but administration of 6×10^7 CFU/g did not significantly
 852 altered in lysozyme activity compared to the control.

853 CONCLUSIONS

854 When investigating the GI tract microbiota, one major concern occurs; most studies evaluating
 855 the shellfish gut microbiota have focus to characterize the communities in the GI lumen (the
 856 allochthonous microbiota), while those bacteria that adhere to the mucosal surface (the
 857 autochthonous microbiota); which may be important in specialized physiological functions,
 858 remain less investigated. We therefore recommend more focus on the autochthonous gut
 859 microbiota of shellfish in future studies.

860 Compared to finfish studies where the gut microbiota have been investigated in different (FG,
 861 MG and hindgut) segments (Ringø et al., 2016; 2018), as differences may occur between the
 862 different segments. As limited numbers of studies have evaluated the bacterial community in
 863 the different intestinal segments of shellfish (Cheung et al., 2015; Ooi et al., 2017; Dong et al.,
 864 2018; Mongkol et al., 2018); scientists have to investigate the shellfish microbiota in different
 865 gut regions.

866 In shellfish GI tract the dominant LAB genera are *Lactobacillus*, *Lactococcus* and
 867 *Enterococcus*, while *Leuconostoc*, *Pediococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Vagococcus*, *Weissella*, and
 868 *Carnobacterium* are generally seldom isolated.

869 In the comprehensive review of Ringø et al. (2016) the dietary effect of finfish on gut microbiota
 870 was investigated, but less information is available on this topic in shellfish, as only few studies
 871 have investigated the dietary effect; lipid and carbohydrate on the gut microbiota of shellfish
 872 (Zhang et al., 2014; Qiao et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2018, 2019). In addition, insight into the
 873 function of the shellfish intestinal microbiota are needed as few studies have focus on this topic
 874 (Cornejo-Granados et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2019a).

875 This review reveal that *Lactobacillus* sp., *Lactococcus* sp., *Pediococcus* sp., *Enterococcus*
 876 *faecalis*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and other LAB strains have a potential in
 877 contributing to the successful and sustainable of shellfish farming by remaining the health and
 878 well-being of cultured animals ranging from improvement of growth, feed utilization,
 879 protection against infectious diseases, as well as decreasing stresses and the environmental
 880 impact induced by aquaculture industry. In conclusion, further investigations are needed to
 881 elucidate the effects of LAB on gut microbiota, nutrition utilization, and molecular responses
 882 to help in understanding the exact mode of action of LAB in mentioned parameters.

883 It is essential to understand the shellfish immune system and its regulatory mechanism in order
 884 to identify the proper probiotic candidates and accurate assessments of immunomodulatory
 885 effects in specific shellfish. While there is relatively active research being done involving the
 886 shrimp immune system, the current status of shrimp immunology is still far immature in
 887 comparison to those of mammals. Most of the studies focused on the identification of pattern
 888 recognition receptors and the downstream signaling pathways. Information about innate
 889 immune regulatory mechanisms, cytokine-producing cells, and target cells of cytokines,
 890 regulatory mechanisms of cytokines, and their effects on innate immunity needs to be further
 891 explored. Another critical aspect to consider is in regards to the changes in gut microbiomes of
 892 shellfish due to probiotic feedings and its effect on the health of the host shellfish. It is likely
 893 that microbe-associated molecular patterns of the altered gut microbiome affect the shellfish
 894 immune system, which may influence the status of the health status of the host. In addition,
 895 SCFAs released from the gut microbiome, such as butyric acids, may also contribute to the
 896 immune regulation of shellfish.

897

898 **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS**

899 ER: introduction, LAB in shellfish GI tract, editorial. HD: LAB as probiotics. SL and SS:
900 immunology of LAB. All authors have approved the manuscript for publication.

901

902 **REFERENCES**

903 Abasolo-Pacheco, F., Campa-Córdova, A. I., Mazón-Suástegui, J. M., Tovar-Ramírez, D.,
904 Araya, R. and Saucedo, P. E. (2017). Enhancing growth and resistance to *Vibrio*
905 *alginolyticus* disease in catarina scallop (*Argopecten ventricosus*) with *Bacillus* and
906 *Lactobacillus* probiotic strains during early development. *Aquaculture Research* 48, 4597-
907 4607. doi: 10.1111/are.13283

908 Abasolo-Pacheco, F., Saucedo, P. E., Mazón-Suástegui, J. M., Tovar-Ramírez, D., Araya, R.,
909 Ramírez-Orozco, J. M., et al. (2016). Isolation and use of beneficial microbiota from the
910 digestive tract of lions-paw scallop *Nodipecten subnodosus* and winged pearl oyster *Pteria*
911 *sterna* in oyster aquaculture. *Aquaculture Research* 47, 3042-3051. doi: 10.1111/are.12754

912 Adel, M., El-Sayed, A-F, M., Yeganeh, S., Dadar, M. and Giri, S.S. (2017a). Effect of probiotic
913 *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* on growth performance, intestinal microbiota, digestive
914 enzyme activities, and disease resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Probiotics &*
915 *Antimicrobial Proteins* 9, 150-156. doi: 10.1007/s12602-016-9235-9

916 Adel, M., Yeganeh, S., Dawood, M.A.O., Safari, R. and Radhakrishnan, S. (2017b). Effects of
917 *Pediococcus pentosaceus* supplementation on growth performance, intestinal microflora
918 and disease resistance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 23,
919 1401-1409. doi: 10.1111/anu.12515

920 Ajitha, S., Sridhar, M., Sridhas, N., Singh, I.S.B. and Varghese, V. (2004). Probiotic effects of
921 lactic acid bacteria against *Vibrio alginolyticus* in *Penaeus (Fenneropenaeus)* indicus (H.
922 Milne Edwards). *Asian Fisheries Science* 17, 71-80.

923 Akhtar, M.S., Pal, A.K., Sahu, N.P., Alexander, C., and Meena, D.K. (2011). Dietary
924 pyridoxine enhances thermal tolerance of *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton) fingerlings reared
925 under endosulfan stress. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 36, 84-88. doi:
926 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2010.10.00

927 Akhtar, M.S., Pal, A.K., Sahu, N.P., Ciji, A., Meena, D.K., and Das, P. (2013). Physiological
928 responses of dietary tryptophan fed *Labeo rohita* to temperature and salinity stress.
929 *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition* 97, 1075-1083. doi:
930 10.1111/jpn.12017 10.1111/jpn.12017

931 Akhter, N., Wu, B., Memon, A.M., and Mohsin, M. (2015). Probiotics and prebiotics associated
932 with aquaculture: A review. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 45, 733-741. doi:
933 10.1016/j.fsi.2015.05.038

934 Akinbowale, O.L., Peng, H., and Barton, M.D. (2006). Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria
935 isolated from aquaculture sources in Australia. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 100,
936 1103-1113. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.02812.x

937 Anand, P.S.S., Kohli, M.P.S., Kumar, S., Sundaray, J.K., Roy, S.D., Venkateshwarlu, G., Sinha,
938 A., and Pailan, G.H. (2014). Effect of dietary supplementation of biofloc on growth
939 performance and digestive enzyme activities in *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture* 418-
940 419, 108-115. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.09.051

- 941 Arala-Chaves, M. and Sequeira, T. (2000). Is there any kind of adaptive immunity in
942 invertebrates? *Aquaculture* 191, 247-258. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(00)00430-0
- 943 Arena, L., García, F., Regalado, I., Sosa, V., Cuzon, G., Gaxiola, G., and Rosas, C. (2007).
944 Effect of diets with different carbohydrate concentrations in the growth, the phenotypic
945 expression and specific activity of alpha-amylase in shrimp *Penaeus (Litopenaeus)*
946 *setiferus*. *Aquaculture* 272, S241-S241. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.07.024
- 947 Ausubel, F. M. (2005). Are innate immune signalling pathways in plants and animals conserved?
948 *Nature Immunology* 6, 937-979. doi: 10.1038/ni1253
- 949 Balcázar, J. L., Decamp, O., Vendrell, D., Blas, I. D. and Ruiz-Zarzuola, I. (2006). Health and
950 nutritional properties of probiotics in fish and shellfish. *Microbial Ecology in Health and*
951 *Disease* 18, 65-70. doi: 10.1080/08910600600799497
- 952 Balasch, J.C., and Tort, L. (2019). Netting the stress responses in fish. *Frontiers in*
953 *Endocrinology* 10, 62. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00062
- 954 Bao, N., Ren, T., Han, Y., Wang, F., Chen, F. and Jiang, Z. (2017). Alteration of growth,
955 intestinal *Lactobacillus*, selected immune and digestive enzyme activities in juvenile sea
956 cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus*, fed dietary multiple probiotics. *Aquaculture*
957 *International* 25, 1721-1731. doi: 10.1007/s10499-017-0148-8
- 958 Battistella, S., Bonivento, P. and Amirante, G. A. (1996). Hemocytes and immunological
959 reactions in crustaceans. *Italian Journal of Zoology* 63, 337-343. doi:
960 10.1080/11250009609356156
- 961 Bayne, C. J. (1983). Molluscan immunobiology. Academic Press, 407-486. doi: 10.1016/B978-
962 0-12-751405-5.50016-6
- 963 Beck, B. R., Kim, D., Jeon J. S., Lee, S. M., Kim, H. K., Kim, O. J., et al. (2015). The effects
964 of combined dietary probiotics *Lactococcus lactis* BFE920 and *Lactobacillus plantarum*
965 FGL0001 on innate immunity and disease resistance in olive flounder (*Paralichthys*
966 *olivaceus*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 42, 177-183. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2014.10.035
- 967 Beck, B. R., Lee, S. H., Kim, D., Park, J. H., Lee, H. K., Kwon, S. S., et al. (2017). A
968 *Lactococcus lactis* BFE920 feed vaccine expressing a fusion protein composed of the
969 OmpA and FlgD antigens from *Edwardsiella tarda* was significantly better at protecting
970 olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) from edwardsiellosis than single antigen vaccines.
971 *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 68, 19-28. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2017.07.004
- 972 Beck, B. R., Song, J. H., Park, B. S., Kim, D., Kwak, J. H., Do, H. K., et al. (2016). Distinct
973 immune tones are established by *Lactococcus lactis* BFE920 and *Lactobacillus plantarum*
974 FGL0001 in the gut of olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). *Fish & Shellfish*
975 *Immunology* 55, 434-443. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2016.06.022

- 976 Beutler, B. (2004). Innate immunity: an overview. *Molecular Immunology* 40, 845-859. doi:
977 10.1016/j.molimm.2003.10.005
- 978 Birkbeck, T.H. and Ringø, E. (2005). “Pathogenesis and the gastrointestinal tract of growing
979 fish” in *Microbial Ecology in Growing Animals*, eds W. Holzapfel and P. Naughton
980 (Edinburgh, UK: Elsevier), 208-234.
- 981 Bolasina, S., Pérez, A., and Yamashita, Y. (2006). Digestive enzymes activity during
982 ontogenetic development and effect of starvation in Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys*
983 *olivaceus*. *Aquaculture* 252, 503-515. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.07.015
- 984 Boonanuntanasarn, S., Wongsasak, U., Pitaksong, T. and Chaijamrus, S. (2016). Effects of
985 dietary supplementation with β -glucan and synbiotics on growth, haemolymph chemistry,
986 and intestinal microbiota and morphology in the Pacific white shrimp. *Aquaculture*
987 *Nutrition* 22, 837-845. doi: 10.1111/anu.12302
- 988 Bouallegui, Y. (2019). Immunity in mussels: an overview of molecular components and
989 mechanisms with a focus on the functional defenses. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 89, 158-
990 169. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.03.057
- 991 Braïek, O.B., Morandi, S., Cremonesi, P., Smaoui, S. and Hani, K. (2018). Safety, potential
992 biotechnological and probiotic properties of bacteriocinogenic *Enterococcus lactis* strains
993 isolated from raw shrimps. *Microbial Pathogenesis* 117, 109-117. doi:
994 10.1016/j.micpath.2018.02.021
- 995 Buntin, N., de Vos, W.M. and Hongpattarakere, T. (2017). Variation of mucin adhesion, cell
996 surface characteristics, and molecular mechanisms among *Lactobacillus plantarum*
997 isolated from different habitats. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 101, 7663-7674.
998 doi: 10.1007/s00253-017-8482-3
- 999 Butt, R.L., and Volkoff, H. (2019). Gut microbiota and energy homeostasis in fish. *Frontiers*
1000 *in Endocrinology* 10. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00009
- 1001 Bøgwald, J. and Dalmo, R.A. (2014). “Gastrointestinal pathogenesis in aquatic animals” in
1002 *Aquaculture Nutrition: Gut Health, Probiotics and Prebiotics*, eds D. Merrifield and E.
1003 Ringø (Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing), 53-74. doi:
1004 10.1002/9781118897263.ch3
- 1005 Cai, Y., Suyanandana, P., Saman, P. and Benno, Y. (1999). Classification and characterization
1006 of lactic acid bacteria isolated from the intestines of common carp and freshwater prawns.
1007 *Journal of General and Applied Microbiology* 45, 177–184. doi: 10.2323/jgam.45.177
- 1008 Campa-Córdova, A.I., Luna-González, A., Mazón-Suastegui, J.M., Aguirre-Guzmán, G.,
1009 Ascencio, F. and González-Ocampo, H.A. (2011). Effect of probiotic bacteria on survival
1010 and growth of Cortez oyster larvae, *Crassostrea corteziensis* (Bivalvia: Ostreidae). *Revista*
1011 *de Biología Tropical* 59, 183–191.
- 1012 Castex, M., Chim, L., Pham, D., Lemaire, P., Wabete, N., Nicolas, J.-L., Schmidely, P., and
1013 Mariojous, C. (2008). Probiotic *P. acidilactici* application in shrimp *Litopenaeus*
1014 *stylirostris* culture subject to vibriosis in New Caledonia. *Aquaculture* 275, 182-193.
1015 doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.01.011
- 1016 Castex, M., Lemaire, P., Wabete, N., and Chim, L. (2010). Effect of probiotic *Pediococcus*
1017 *acidilactici* on antioxidant defences and oxidative stress of *Litopenaeus stylirostris*
1018 under *Vibrio nigripulchritudo* challenge. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 28, 622-631. doi:
1019 10.1016/j.fsi.2009.12.024

- 1020 Cerenius, L. and Söderhäll, K. (2011). Coagulation in invertebrates. *Journal of Innate Immunity*
1021 3, 3-8. doi: 10.1159/000322066
- 1022 Cerenius, L., Jiravanichpaisal, P., Liu, H. P. and Söderhäll, I. (2010). Crustacean immunity.
1023 *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, 708. 239-259. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-
1024 8059-5_13
- 1025 Chen, X., Di, P., Wang, H., Li, B., Pan, Y., Yan, S., et al. (2015). Bacterial community
1026 associated with the intestinal tract of Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) farmed in
1027 lake Tai, China. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0123990. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0123990
- 1028 Chen, C-Y., Chen, P-C., Cheng-Hsuan Weng, F., Shaw, G.T-W. and Wang, D. (2017a). Habitat
1029 and indigenous gut microbes contribute to the plasticity of gut microbiome in oriental river
1030 prawn during rapid environmental change. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0181427. doi:
1031 10.1371/journal.pone.0181427
- 1032 Chen, W-Y., Ng, T.H., Wu, J-H., Chen, J-W. and Wang, H-C. (2017b). Microbiome dynamics
1033 in a shrimp grow-out pond with possible outbreak of acute hepatopancreatic necrosis
1034 disease. *Scientific Reports* 7, 9395. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09923-6
- 1035 Cheng, W.T. and Chen, J.C. (1998). Isolation and characterization of an *Enterococcus*-like
1036 bacterium causing muscle necrosis and mortality in *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* in
1037 Taiwan. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 34, 93-101. doi: 10.3354/dao34093
- 1038 Cheung, M.K., Yip, H.Y., Nong, W., Wan Law, P.T., Chu, K.H., Kwan, H.S., et al. (2015).
1039 Rapid change of microbiota diversity in the gut but not in hepatopancreas during gonadal
1040 development of the new shrimp model *Neocaridina denticulata*. *Marine Biotechnology*
1041 17, 811-819- doi: 10.1007/s10126-015-9662-8
- 1042 Chiaramonte, M. and Russo, R. (2015). The echinoderm innate humoral immune response.
1043 *Italian Journal of Zoology* 82, 300-308. doi: 10.1080/11250003.2015.1061615
- 1044 Chiu, C. H., Guu, Y. K., Liu, C. H., Pan, T. M. and Cheng, W. (2007). Immune responses and
1045 gene expression in white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, induced by *Lactobacillus*
1046 *plantarum*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 23, 364-377. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2006.11.010
- 1047 Chiu, L., Bazin, T., Truchetet, M.-E., Schaefferbeke, T., Delhaes, L., and Pradeu, T. (2017).
1048 Protective microbiota: From localized to long-reaching co-immunity. *Frontiers in*
1049 *Immunology* 8, 1678. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01678
- 1050 Chomwong, S., Charoensapsi, W., Amparyup, P. and Tassanakajon, A. (2018). Two host gut-
1051 derived lactic acid bacteria activate the proPO system and increase resistance to an
1052 AHPND-causing strain of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in the shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*.
1053 *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 89, 54-66. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2018.08.002
- 1054 Correa, N.M., Bolivar Ramirez, N.C., Legarda, E.C., Rocha, J.S., Seiffert, W.Q., and Vieira,
1055 F.D.N. (2018). Dietary supplementation with probiotic and butyrate in the shrimp
1056 nursery in Biofloc. *Boletim Do Instituto De Pesca* 44, e348. doi: 10.20950/1678-
1057 2305.2018.348
- 1058 Cornejo-Granados, F., Lopez-Zavala, A.A., Gallardo-Becerra, L., Mendoza-Vargas, A.,
1059 Sánchez, F., Vichido, R., et al. (2017). Microbiome of Pacific whiteleg shrimp reveals
1060 differential bacterial community composition between wild, aquacultured and

- 1061 AHPND/EMS outbreak conditions. *Scientific Reports* 7, 11783. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-
1062 11805-w
- 1063 Cornejo-Granados, F., Gallardo-Becerra, L., Leonardo-Reza, M., Ochoa-Romo, J.P. and
1064 Ochoa-Leyva, A. (2018). A meta-analysis reveals the environmental and host factors
1065 shaping the structure and function of shrimp microbiota. *PeerJ* 6, e5382. doi:
1066 10.7717/peerj.5382
- 1067 Corrêa, N.M., Ramírez, N.C.B., Legarda, E.C., Rocha, J.S., Seiffert, W.Q. and Vieira, F. doN.
1068 (2018) Dietary supplementation with probiotic and butyrate in the shrimp nursery in
1069 biofloc. *Boletim do Instituto de Pesca* 44, e348. doi: 10.20950/1678-2305.348.
- 1070 Cui, J., Xiao, M., Liu, M., Wang, Z., Liu, F., Guo, L., et al. (2017). Coupling metagenomics
1071 with cultivation to select host-specific probiotic micro-organisms for subtropical
1072 aquaculture. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 123, 1274-1285. doi: 10.1111/jam.13555
- 1073 Daniels, C.L., Merrifield, D.L., Boothroyd, D.P., Davies, S.J., Factor, J.R. and Arnold, K.E.
1074 (2010). Effect of dietary *Bacillus* spp. and mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) on European
1075 lobster (*Homarus gammarus* L.) larvae growth performance, gut morphology and gut
1076 microbiota. *Aquaculture* 304, 49–57. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.03.018
- 1077 Daniels, C.L., Merrifield, D.L., Ringø, E. and Davies, S.J. (2013). Bacterial manipulation in the
1078 gastrointestinal tract of larval stage, European lobster, *Homarus gammarus*: using biotic
1079 dietary supplements to improve culture success. *Aquaculture* 416–417, 396–406. doi:
1080 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.08.001
- 1081 Dash, G., Raman, R.P., Prasad, K.P., Makesh, M., Pradeep, M.A. and Sen, S. (2014). Evaluation
1082 of *Lactobacillus plantarum* as feed supplement on host associated microflora, growth, feed
1083 efficiency, carcass biochemical composition and immune response of giant freshwater
1084 prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man, 1879). *Aquaculture* 432, 225-236. doi:
1085 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.05.011
- 1086 Dash, G., Raman, R.P., Prasad, K.P., Makesh, M., Pradeep, M.A. and Sen, S. (2015). Evaluation
1087 of paraprobiotic applicability of *Lactobacillus plantarum* in improving the immune
1088 response and disease protection in giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de
1089 Man, 1879). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 43, 167-174. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2014.12.007
- 1090 Dash, G., Raman, R.P., Prasad, K.P., Makesh, M., Pradeep, M.A. and Sen, S. (2016). Evaluation
1091 of *Lactobacillus plantarum* as a water additive on host associated microflora, growth, feed
1092 efficiency and immune response of giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*
1093 (de Man, 1879). *Aquaculture Research* 47, 804-818. doi: 10.11111/are.12539
- 1094 Dawood, M.A.O., Koshio, S., Ishikawa, M., and Yokoyama, S. (2015a). Effects of heat killed
1095 *Lactobacillus plantarum* (LP20) supplemental diets on growth performance, stress
1096 resistance and immune response of red sea bream, *Pagrus major*. *Aquaculture* 442, 29-36.
1097 doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.02.005
- 1098 Dawood, M.A.O., Koshio, S., Ishikawa, M., and Yokoyama, S. (2015b). Effects of partial
1099 substitution of fish meal by soybean meal with or without heat-killed *Lactobacillus*
1100 *plantarum* (LP20) on growth performance, digestibility, and immune response of
1101 amberjack, *Seriola dumerili* Juveniles. *BioMed Research International* 514196. doi:
1102 10.1155/2015/514196
- 1103 del Rio, B., Redruello, B., Fernandez, M., Cruz, M.M., Ladero, V., et al. (2019) Lactic acid
1104 bacteria as a live delivery system for the in situ production of nanobodies in the human
1105 gastrointestinal tract. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9, 3179. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03179
- 1106 De Vuyst, L. and Degeest, B. (1999). Heteropolysaccharides from lactic acid bacteria. *FEMS*
1107 *Microbiology Reviews* 23, 153-177. doi: 10.1016/S0168-6445(98)00042-4

- 1108 De Vuyst, L. and Leroy, F. (2007). Bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria: Production,
1109 purification and food applications. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology*
1110 13, 194–199. doi: 10.1159/000104752
- 1111 Ding, Z.F., Cao, M.J., Zhu, X.S., Xu, G.H. and Wang, R.L. (2017). Changes in the gut
1112 microbiome of the Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) in response to white spot
1113 syndrome virus (WSSV) infection. *Journal of Fish Diseases* 40, 1561-1571. doi:
1114 10.1111/jdf.12624
- 1115 Dong, Y., Wang, C., and Pan, Q. (2013). "Dynamic evaluation of wind turbine health condition
1116 based on multi-source information fusion", in: *Advanced Materials Research*, eds Y. Li,
1117 Y. Li and W. P, 607-610. doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.608-609.607
- 1118 Dong, J., Li, X., Zhang, R., Zhao, Y., Wu, G., Liu, J., et al. (2018). Comparative analysis of the
1119 intestinal bacterial community and expression of gut immunity genes in the Chinese mitten
1120 crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*). *AMB Express* 8, 192. doi: 10.1186/s13568-018-0722-0
- 1121 Du, Y., Wang, B., Jiang, K., Wang, M., Zhou, S., Liu, M., and Wang, L. (2019). Exploring the
1122 influence of the surface proteins on probiotic effects performed by *Lactobacillus pentosus*
1123 HC-2 using transcriptome analysis in *Litopenaeus vannamei* midgut. *Fish & Shellfish*
1124 *Immunology* 87, 853-870. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.02.027
- 1125 Duan, Y., Zhang, Y., Dong, H., Wang, Y. and Zhang, J. (2017). Effects of poly-β-
1126 hydroxybutyrate (PHB) on microbiota composition and the mTOR signaling pathway in
1127 the intestine of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of Microbiology* 55, 946-954. doi:
1128 10.1007/12275-017-7273 y
- 1129 Duan, Y., Wang, Y., Dong, H., Liu, Q., Li, H., Zhang, J., et al. (2018). Changes in the intestine
1130 microbial, digestive, and immune-related genes of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in response to
1131 dietary probiotic *Clostridium butyricum* supplementation. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9,
1132 2191. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02191
- 1133 Egerton, S., Culloty, S., Whooley, J., Stanton, C. and Ross, R.P. (2018). The gut microbiota of
1134 marine fish. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9, 873. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00873
- 1135 Fair, R.J. and Tor, Y. (2014). Antibiotics and bacterial resistance in the 21st century.
1136 *Perspectives in Medicinal Chemistry* 6, 25-64. doi:10.4137/PMC.S14459
- 1137 Fan, J., Chen, L.M., Mai, G.Q., Zhang, H.R., Yang, J., Deng, D., et al. (2019). Dynamics of the
1138 gut microbiota in developmental stages of *Litopenaeus vannamei* reveal its association with
1139 body weight. *Scientific Reports* 9, 734- doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-37042-3
- 1140 Farzanfar, A. (2006) The use of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture. *FEMS Immunology and*
1141 *Medical Microbiology* 48, 149-158- doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2006.00116.x
- 1142 Flajnik, M. F. and Pasquier, L. D. (2004). Evolution of innate and adaptive immunity: can we
1143 draw a line? *Trends in Immunology* 25, 640-644. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2004.10.001
- 1144 Flegel, T.W. (2019). A future vision for disease control in shrimp aquaculture. *Journal of the*
1145 *World Aquaculture Society*, doi: 10.1111/jwas.12589
- 1146 Fuller, R. (1989). Probiotics in man and animals. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 66, 365-378.
1147 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.1989.tb05105.x
- 1148 Gainza, O., Ramírez, C., Ramos, A.S. and Romero, J. (2018). Intestinal microbiota of white
1149 shrimp *Penaeus vannamei* under intensive cultivation conditions in Ecuador. *Microbial*
1150 *Ecology* 75, 562-568. doi: 10.1007/s00248-017-1066-z

- 1151 Gallagher, M.L., Luczkovich, J.J., and Stellwag, E.J. (2001). Characterization of the
1152 ultrastructure of the gastrointestinal tract mucosa, stomach contents and liver enzyme
1153 activity of the pinfish during development. *Journal of Fish Biology* 58, 1704-1713. doi:
1154 10.1006/jfbi.2001.1581
- 1155 Gao, H., Li, F., Dong, B., Zhang, Q., and Xiang, J. (2009). Molecular cloning and
1156 characterisation of prophenoloxidase (ProPO) cDNA from *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* and
1157 its transcription injected by *Vibrio anguillarum*. *Molecular Biology Reports* 36, 1159-1166.
1158 doi: 10.1007/s11033-008-9292-6
- 1159 Gao, X., Zhang, M., Li, X., Han, Y., Wu, F., and Liu, Y. (2018). The effects of feeding
1160 *Lactobacillus pentosus* on growth, immunity, and disease resistance in *Haliotis discus*
1161 *hannai* Ino. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 78, 42-51. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.04.010
- 1162 Gao, S., Pan, L., Huang, F., Song, M., Tian, C. and Zhang, M. (2019a). Metagenomic insight
1163 into the structure and function of intestinal microbiota of the farmed Pacific white shrimp
1164 (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture* 499, 109-118. doi:
1165 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.09.026
- 1166 Gao, M., Du, D., Bo, Z. and Sui, L. (2019b) Poly- β -hydroxybutyrate (PHB)-accumulating
1167 *Halomonas* improves the survival, growth and modifies the gut microbial composition of
1168 *Litopenaeus vannamei* postlarvae. *Aquaculture* 500, 607-612. doi:
1169 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.032
- 1170 Gibson, R., Hutkins, R., Sanders, M.E., Prescott, S., Reimer, R.A., Salminen, S., et al. (2017).
1171 The international scientific association for probiotics and prebiotics (ISAAPP) consensus
1172 statement on the definition and scope of prebiotics. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology &*
1173 *Hepatology* 14, 491-502. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.75
- 1174 Giri, S.S., Sukumaran, V., and Oviya, M. (2013). Potential probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum*
1175 VSG3 improves the growth, immunity, and disease resistance of tropical freshwater fish,
1176 *Labeo rohita*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 34, 660-666. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2012.12.008
- 1177 Grandiosa, R., Mérien, F., Young, T., Nguyen, T. V., Gutierrez, N., Kitundu, E., et al. (2018).
1178 Multi-strain probiotics enhance immune responsiveness and alters metabolic profiles in the
1179 New Zealand black-footed abalone (*Haliotis iris*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 82, 330-
1180 338. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.08.034
- 1181 Gopalakannan, A. (2006). Studies on the control of *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in
1182 *Cyprinus carpio* and tilapia *Mossambicus* by immunostimulants and probiotics. Ph.D.
1183 thesis, Department of Biotechnology, School of Life Sciences, Pondicherry University,
1184 India.
- 1185 Gross, P. S., Al-Sharif, W. Z., Clow, L. A. and Smith, L. C. (1999). Echinoderm immunity and
1186 the evolution of the complement system. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 23,
1187 429-442. doi: 10.1016/S0145-305X(99)00022-1
- 1188 Guardiola, F. A., Saraiva-Fraga, M., Cuesta, A. and Esteban, M. A. (2018). Changes in natural
1189 haemolytic complement activity induced by stress in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*
1190 L.). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 78, 317-321. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.04.056
- 1191 Hadi, J. A., Gutierrez, N., Alfaro, A. C. and Roberts, R. D. (2014). Use of probiotic bacteria to
1192 improve growth and survivability of farmed New Zealand abalone (*Haliotis iris*). *New*

- 1193 *Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 48, 405-415. doi:
1194 10.1080/00288330.2014.909857
- 1195 Harikrishnan, R., Balasundaram, C. and Heo, M. S. (2011). Impact of plant products on innate
1196 and adaptive immune system of cultured finfish and shellfish. *Aquaculture* 317, 1-15. doi:
1197 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.03.039
- 1198 Hauton, C. (2012). The scope of the crustacean immune system for disease control. *Journal of*
1199 *Invertebrate Pathology* 110, 251-260. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2012.03.005
- 1200 He, W., Rahimnejad, S., Wang, L., Song, K., Lu, K. and Zhang, C. (2017). Effects of organic
1201 acids and essential oils blend on growth, gut microbiota, immune response and disease
1202 resistance of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) against *Vibrio*
1203 *parahaemolyticus*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 70, 164-173. doi:
1204 10.1016/j.fsi.2017.09.007
- 1205 Hernández, A.J., Romero, A., Gonzalez-Stegmaier, R., and Dantagnan, P. (2016). The effects
1206 of supplemented diets with a phytopharmaceutical preparation from herbal and macroalgal
1207 origin on disease resistance in rainbow trout against *Piscirickettsia salmonis*. *Aquaculture*
1208 454, 109-117. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.12.016
- 1209 Hibino, T., Loza-Coll, M., Messier, C., Majeske, A. J., Cohen, A. H., Terwilliger, D. P., et al.
1210 (2006). The immune gene repertoire encoded in the purple sea urchin genome.
1211 *Developmental Biology* 300, 349-365. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.08.065
- 1212 Hongpattarakere, T., Cherntong, N., Wichienchot, S., Kolida, S. and Rastall, R.A. (2012). *In*
1213 *vitro* prebiotic evaluation of exopolysaccharides produced by marine isolated bacteria.
1214 *Carbohydrate Polymers* 87, 846-852. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.08.085
- 1215 Hoseinifar, S.H., Sun, Y-Z., Wang, A. and Zhou, Z. (2018). Probiotic as means of diseases
1216 control in aquaculture, a review of current knowledge and future perspectives. *Frontiers in*
1217 *Microbiology* 9, 2429. doi: 10.3389/fmib.2018.02429
- 1218 Hoseinifar, S.H., Dadar, M., van Doan, H. and Harikrishnan, R. (2019). “Feed additives impacts
1219 on shellfish microbiota, health, and development” in *Microbial Communities in*
1220 *Aquaculture Ecosystems*, ed N. Derome (Switzerland: Springer Nature), 143-163.
1221 doi:10.1007/978-3-030-16190-3_7
- 1222 Hou, D., Huang, Z., Zeng, S., Liu, J., Weng, S. and He, J (2018). Comparative analysis of the
1223 bacterial community compositions of the shrimp intestine, surrounding water and
1224 sediments. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 125, 792-799. doi: 10.1111/jam.13919
- 1225 Huang, Z., Li, X., Wang, L. and Shao, Z. (2016). Changes in the intestinal bacterial community
1226 during the growth of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Research* 47,
1227 1737-1746. doi: 10.1111/are.12628
- 1228 Huynh, T-G., Hu, S-Y., Chiu, C-S., Truong, Q-P. and Liu, C-H (2019). Bacterial population in
1229 intestines of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed a synbiotic containing *Lactobacillus*
1230 *plantarum* and galactooligosaccharide. *Aquaculture Research* doi: 10.1111/are.13951
- 1231 Ibrahem, M.D. (2015). Evolution of probiotics in aquatic world: Potential effects, the current
1232 status in Egypt and recent prospectives. *Journal of Advanced Research* 6, 765-791. doi:
1233 10.1016/j.jare.2013.12.004

- 1234 Ige, M. A. (2013). Probiotics use in intensive fish farming. *African Journal of Microbiology*
1235 *Research* 7, 2701-2711. doi: 10.5897/AJMRx12.021
- 1236 Irianto, A., and Austin, B. (2002a). Probiotics in aquaculture. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 25,
1237 633-642. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2761.2002.00422.x
- 1238 Irianto, A., and Austin, B. (2002b). Use of probiotics to control furunculosis in rainbow trout,
1239 *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Journal of Fish Diseases* 25, 333-342. doi:
1240 10.1046/j.1365-2761.2002.00375.x
- 1241 Kaisho, T. and Akira, S. (2004). Pleiotropic function of toll-like receptors. *Microbes and*
1242 *Infection* 6, 1388-1394. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2004.08.019
- 1243 Kamarudin, M.S., Jones, D.A., Le Vay, L., and Abidin, A.Z. (1994). Ontogenetic change in
1244 digestive enzyme activity during larval development of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*.
1245 *Aquaculture* 123, 323-333. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(94)90068-X
- 1246 Kanmani, P., Kumar, R.S., Yuvaraj, N., Paari, K.A., Puttukumar, V. and Arul, V. (2010). First
1247 identification of a novel probiotic bacterium *Streptococcus phocae* PI80 and its beneficial
1248 role in diseases control. *Journal of International Dental and Medical Research* 3, 45-51.
- 1249 Kanmani, P., Kumar, R.S., Yuvaraj, N., Paari, K.A., Puttukumar, V. and Arul, V. (2011).
1250 Production and purification of a novel exopolysaccharide from lactic acid bacterium
1251 *Streptococcus phocae* PI80 and its functional characteristics activity *in vitro*. *Bioresource*
1252 *Technology* 102, 4827-4833. doi: 10.1016/j.biotech.2010.12.118
- 1253 Kang, C-H., Shin, Y.J., Kim, Y.G. and So, J-S. (2016). Isolation of *Lactobacillus* strains from
1254 shellfish and their potential use as probiotics. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*
1255 21, 46-52. doi: 10.1007/s12257-015-0518-x
- 1256 Katya, K., Yun, Y.-H., Park, G., Lee, J.-Y., Yoo, G., and Bai, S.C. (2014). Evaluation of the
1257 efficacy of fermented by-product of mushroom, *Pleurotus ostreatus*, as a fish meal replacer
1258 in juvenile amur catfish, *Silurus asotus*: Effects on growth, serological characteristics and
1259 immune responses. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 27, 1478-1486. doi:
1260 10.5713/ajas.2014.14038
- 1261 Kennedy, B., Venugopal, M.N., Karunasagar, I. and Karunasagar, I. (2006). Bacterial flora
1262 associated with the giant freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, in the hatchery
1263 system. *Aquaculture* 261, 1156–1167. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2006.09.015
- 1264 Kennedy, D.A., Kurath, G., Brito, I.L., Purcell, M.K., Read, A.F., Winton, J.R., et al. (2016).
1265 Potential drivers of virulence evolution in aquaculture. *Evolutionary Applications* 9, 344-
1266 354. doi: 10.1111/eva.12342
- 1267 Kesarcodi-Watson, A., Kaspar, H., Lategan, M.J., and Gibson, L. (2008). Probiotics in
1268 aquaculture: The need, principles and mechanisms of action and screening processes.
1269 *Aquaculture* 274, 1-14. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.11.019
- 1270 Kim, D., Beck, B. R., Heo, S. B., Kim, J. J., Kim, H. D., Lee, S. M., et al. (2013). *Lactococcus*
1271 *lactis* BFE920 activates the innate immune system of olive flounder (*Paralichthys*
1272 *olivaceus*), resulting in protection against *Streptococcus iniae* infection and enhancing feed
1273 efficiency and weight gain in large-scale field studies. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 35,
1274 1585-1590. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2013.09.008

- 1275 Kim, D., Beck, B. R., Lee, S. M., Jeon, J. S., Lee, D. W., Lee, J. I., et al. (2016). Pellet feed
 1276 adsorbed with the recombinant *Lactococcus lactis* BFE920 expressing SiMA antigen
 1277 induced strong recall vaccine effects against *Streptococcus iniae* infection in olive flounder
 1278 (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 55, 374-383. doi:
 1279 10.1016/j.fsi.2016.06.010
- 1280 Kim, S., Lee, M.-J., Lee, J.-J., Choi, S.H. and Kim, B.-S. (2017) Analysis of microbiota of the
 1281 swimming crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*) in South Korea to identify risk markers for
 1282 foodborne illness. *LWT – Food Science and Technology* 86, 483-491. doi:
 1283 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.08.043
- 1284 Kimbrell, D. A. and Beutler, B. (2001). The evolution and genetics of innate immunity. *Nature*
 1285 *Reviews Genetics* 2, 256-267. doi: 10.1038/35066006
- 1286 Kiss, T. (2010). Apoptosis and its functional significance in molluscs. *Apoptosis* 15, 313-321.
 1287 doi: 10.1007/s10495-009-0446-3
- 1288 Kongnum, K. and Hongpattarakere, T. (2012). Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from
 1289 digestive tract of wild shrimp on growth and survival of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus*
 1290 *vannamei*) challenged with *Vibrio harveyi*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 32, 170-177. doi:
 1291 10.1016/j.fsi.2011.11.008
- 1292 Kosin, B. and Rakshit, S.K. (2010). Induction of heat tolerance in autochthonous and
 1293 allochthonous probiotics for application to white shrimp feed. *Aquaculture* 306, 302–309.
 1294 doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.04.017
- 1295 Kullisaar, T., Zilmer, M., Mikelsaar, M., Vihalemm, T., Annuk, H., Kairane, C., and Kilk, A.
 1296 (2002). Two antioxidative *Lactobacilli* strains as promising probiotics. *International*
 1297 *Journal of Food Microbiology* 72, 215-224. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(01)00674-2
- 1298 Kumar, V., Roy, S., Meena, D.K. and Sarkar, U.K. (2016). Application of probiotics in shrimp
 1299 aquaculture: Importance, mechanisms of action, and methods of administration. *Reviews*
 1300 *in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture* 24, 342-368. doi: 10.1080/23308249.2016.1193481
- 1301 Kurtz, J. and Armitag, S. A. O. (2006). Alternative adaptive immunity in invertebrates. *Trends*
 1302 *in Immunology* 27, 493-496. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2006.09.001
- 1303 Lalitha, K.V. and Surendran, P.K. (2004). Bacterial microflora associated with farmed
 1304 freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man) and the aquaculture environment.
 1305 *Aquaculture Research* 35, 629–635. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2004.01039
- 1306 Lauriano, E.R., Pergolizzi, S., Capillo, G., Kuciel, M., Alesci, A., and Faggio, C. (2016).
 1307 Immunohistochemical characterization of Toll-like receptor 2 in gut epithelial cells and
 1308 macrophages of goldfish *Carassius auratus* fed with a high-cholesterol diet. *Fish &*
 1309 *Shellfish Immunology* 59, 250-255. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2016.11.003
- 1310 Lee, S. Y. and Söderhäll, K. (2002). Early events in crustacean innate immunity. *Fish &*
 1311 *Shellfish Immunology* 12, 421-437. doi: 10.1006/fsim.2002.0420

- 1312 Lesser, M.P. (2006). Oxidative stress in marine environments: Biochemistry and physiological
1313 ecology. *Annual Review of Physiology* 68, 253-278. doi:
1314 10.1146/annurev.physiol.68.040104.110001
- 1315 Leyva-Madrigal, K.Y., Luna-Gonzalez, A., Escobedo-Bonilla, C.M., Fierro-Coronado, J.A.
1316 and Maldonado-Mendoza, I.E. (2011). Screening for potential probiotic bacteria to reduce
1317 prevalence of WSSV and IHHNV in whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) under
1318 experimental conditions. *Aquaculture* 322–323, 16–22. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.
1319 2011.09.033
- 1320 Li, K., Guan, W., Wei, G., Liu, B., Xu, J., Zhao, L., et al. (2007). Phylogenetic analysis of
1321 intestinal bacteria in the Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*). *Journal of Applied*
1322 *Microbiology* 103, 675-682. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03295.x
- 1323 Li, S., Sun, L., Wu, H., Hu, Z., Liu, W., Li, Y. and Wen, X. (2012). The intestinal microbial
1324 diversity in mud crab (*Scylla paramamosain*) as determined by PCR-DGGE and clone
1325 library analysis. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 113, 1341–1351. doi:1111/jam.12008
- 1326 Li, F. and Xiang, J. (2013). Signalling pathways regulating innate immune responses in shrimp.
1327 *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 34, 973-980. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2012.08.023
- 1328 Li, E., Xu, C., Wang, X., Wang, S., Zhao, Q., Zhang, M., et al. (2018a) Gut microbiota and its
1329 modulation for healthy farming of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Reviews in*
1330 *Fisheries Science & Aquaculture* 26, 381-399. doi: 10.1080/23308249.2018.1440530
- 1331 Li, X., Ringø, E., Hoseinifar, S.H., Lauzon, H., Birkbeck, H., and Yang, D. (2018b). Adherence
1332 and colonisation of microorganisms in the fish gastrointestinal tract. *Rev Aquacult.* doi:
1333 10.1111/raq.12248
- 1334 Liu, H., Wang, L., Liu, M., Wang, B., Jiang, K., Ma, S. and Li, Q. (2011). The intestinal
1335 microbial diversity in Chinese shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus chinensis*) as determined by PCR-
1336 DGGE and clone library analyses. *Aquaculture* 317, 32–36. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.
1337 2011.04.008
- 1338 Loker, E. S., Adema, C. M., Zhang, S. M. and Kepler, T. B. (2004). Invertebrate immune
1339 systems – not homogeneous, not simple, not well understood. *Immunological Reviews* 198,
1340 10-24. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2004.0117.x
- 1341 Lovett, D.L., and Felder, D.L. (1990). Ontogenetic change in digestive enzyme activity of larval
1342 and postlarval white shrimp *Penaeus setiferus* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Penaeidae). *The*
1343 *Biological Bulletin* 178, 144-159. doi: 10.2307/1541973
- 1344 Lu, G., Wang, F., Yu, Z., Lu, M., Wang, Y., Liu, C., et al. (2017) Bacterial communities in gills
1345 and intestines of yesso scallop (*Patinopecten yessoensis*) and its habitat waters in Changhai
1346 (Dalian, China). *ISJ* 14, 340-351.
- 1347 Lushchak, V.I. (2011). Environmentally induced oxidative stress in aquatic animals. *Aquatic*
1348 *Toxicology* 101, 13-30. doi: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.10.006
- 1349 Ma, C.-W., Cho, Y.-S., and Oh, K.-H. (2009). Removal of pathogenic bacteria and nitrogens
1350 by *Lactobacillus* spp. JK-8 and JK-11. *Aquaculture* 287, 266-270. doi:
1351 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.10.061
- 1352 Maeda, M., Shibata, A., Biswas, G., Korenga, H., Kono, T., Itami, T., et al. (2014). Isolation of
1353 lactic acid bacteria from Kuruma shrimp (*Marsupenaeus japonicus*) intestine and
1354 assessment of immunomodulatory role of a selected strain as probiotic. *Marine*
1355 *Biotechnology* 16, 181-192. doi: 10.1007/s10126-013-95352-1

- 1356 Magnadóttir, B. (2006). Innate immunity of fish (overview). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 20,
1357 137-151. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2004.09.006
- 1358 Martínez Cruz, P., Ibáñez, A.L., Monroy Herмосillo, O.A., and Ramírez Saad, H.C. (2012).
1359 Use of probiotics in aquaculture. *ISRN Microbiology* 2012, 916845. doi:
1360 10.5402/2012/916845
- 1361 McFall-Ngai, M. (2007). Care for the community. *Nature* 445, 153. doi: 10.1038/445153a
- 1362 Menze, M. A., Fortner, G., Nag, S. and Hand, S. C. (2010). Mechanisms of apoptosis in
1363 crustacean: what conditions induce versus suppress cell death? *Apoptosis* 15, 293-312. doi:
1364 10.1007/s10495-009-0443-6
- 1365 Merrifield, D. L., Balcázar J. L., Daniels C., Zhou Z., Carnevali O., Sun Y. Z., et al. (2014).
1366 “Indigenous lactic acid bacteria in fish and crustaceans” in *Aquaculture Nutrition: Gut*
1367 *Health, Probiotics and Prebiotics*, eds D. Merrifield and E. Ringø (Oxford, UK: Wiley-
1368 Blackwell Publishing), 128-168. doi: 10.1002/9781118897263.ch6
- 1369 Meziti, A., Ramette, A., Mente, E. and Kormas, K.A. (2010). Temporal shifts of the Norway
1370 lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) gut bacterial communities. *FEMS Microbial Ecology* 74,
1371 472–484. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00964.x
- 1372 Miranda, C.D., Godoy, F.A., and Lee, M.R. (2018). Current status of the use of antibiotics and
1373 the antimicrobial resistance in the Chilean salmon farms. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9,
1374 1284. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01284
- 1375 Miandare, H.K., Yarahmadi, P. and Abbasian, M. (2016). Immune related transcriptional
1376 responses and performance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* post-larvae fed on dietary probiotic
1377 PrimaLac®. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 55, 671-678. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2016.06.053
- 1378 Mongkol, P., Bunphimpapha, P., Rungrassamee, W., Arayamethakorn, S., Klinbunga, S.,
1379 Menasveta, P., et al. (2018) Bacterial community composition and distribution in different
1380 segments of the gastrointestinal tract of wild-caught adult *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture*
1381 *Research* 49, 378-392- doi: 10.1111/are.13468
- 1382 Moriarty, D.J.W. (1998). Control of luminous *Vibrio* species in penaeid aquaculture ponds.
1383 *Aquaculture* 164, 351-358. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00199-9
- 1384 Muhlia-Almazán, A., García-Carreño, F.L., Sánchez-Paz, J.A., Yepiz-Plascencia, G., and
1385 Peregrino-Uriarte, A.B. (2003). Effects of dietary protein on the activity and mRNA level
1386 of trypsin in the midgut gland of the white shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*. *Comparative*
1387 *Biochemistry and Physiology - B Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 135, 373-383. doi:
1388 10.1016/S1096-4959(03)00091-5
- 1389 Nath, S., Matozzo, V., Bhandari, D., and Faggio, C. (2018). Growth and liver histology of
1390 *Channa punctatus* exposed to a common biofertilizer. *Natural Product Research* 33, 1591-
1391 1598. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2018.1428586
- 1392 Nava-Hernández, J.C. (2008). Efecto de lactobacilos y bacilos con potencial probiótico, en el
1393 crecimiento y supervivencia de larvas y semillas de *Nodipecten subnodosus* (Sowerby,

- 1394 1985) y *Crassostrea corteziensis* (Hertlein, 1951), cultivados en el laboratorio. Master
 1395 thesis, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur, La Paz, México.
- 1396 Nedaei, S., Noori, A., Valipour, A., Khanipoor, A.A. and Hoseinifar, S.H. (2019). Effects of
 1397 dietary galactooligosaccharide enriched commercial prebiotic on growth performance,
 1398 innate immune response, stress resistance, intestinal microbiota and digestive enzyme
 1399 activity in Narrow clawed crayfish (*Astacus leptodactylus Eschscholtz*, 1823). *Aquaculture*
 1400 499, 80-89. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.08.076
- 1401 Nimrat, S., Tanutpongpalin, P., Sritunyaluksana, K., Boonthai, T. and Vuthiphandchai, V.
 1402 (2013). Enhancement of growth performance, digestive enzyme activities and disease
 1403 resistance in black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) postlarvae by potential probiotics.
 1404 *Aquaculture International* 21, 655-666. doi: 10.1007/s10499-012-9600-y
- 1405 Ninawe, A.S. and Selvin, J. (2009). Probiotics in shrimp aquaculture: Avenues and challenges.
 1406 *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 35, 43-66. doi: 10.1080/10408410802667202
- 1407 Nonaka, M. and Yoshizaki, F. (2004). Primitive complement system of invertebrates.
 1408 *Immunological Reviews* 198, 203-215. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2004.00118.x
- 1409 Ooi, M.C., Goulden, E.F., Smith, G.G., Nowak, B.F. and Bridle, A.R. (2017). Developmental
 1410 and gut-related changes to microbiomes of cultured juvenile spiny lobster *Panulirus*
 1411 *ornatus*. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 93, fix159. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fix159.
- 1412 Pacheco-Vega, J.M., Cadena-Roa, M.A., Leyva-Flores, J.A., Zavala-Leal, O.I., Pérez-Bravo,
 1413 E., and Ruiz-Velazco, J.M.J. (2018). Effect of isolated bacteria and microalgae on the
 1414 biofloc characteristics in the Pacific white shrimp culture. *Aquaculture Reports* 11, 24-30.
 1415 doi: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2018.05.003
- 1416 Panigrahi, A., Sundaram, M., Saranya, C., Swain, S., Dash, R.R. and Dayal, J.S. (2019).
 1417 Carbohydrate sources differentially influence growth performances, microbial dynamics
 1418 and immunomodulation in Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) under biofloc
 1419 system. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 86, 1207-1216. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.12.040
- 1420 Pasquier, L. D. (2001). The immune system of invertebrates and vertebrates. *Comparative*
 1421 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part B* 129, 1-15. doi: 10.1016/S1096-4959(01)00306-2
- 1422 Pattukumar, V., Kanmani, P., Satish Kumar, R., Yuvaraj, N., Paari, A. and Arul, V. (2014)
 1423 Enhancement of innate immune system, survival and yield in *Penaeus monodon* reared in
 1424 ponds using *Streptococcus phocae* PI80. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 20, 505-513. doi:
 1425 10.1111/anu.12103
- 1426 Pei, P., Liu, X., Chen, Y., Wu, J., Zhong, M., Lin, Q., et al. (2019) Effects of biological water
 1427 purification grid on microbial community of culture environment and intestine of the
 1428 shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Research* doi: 10.1111/are.14006
- 1429 Pinoargote, G., Flores, G., Cooper, K. and Ravishankar, S. (2018). Effects on survival and
 1430 bacterial community composition of the aquaculture water and gastrointestinal tract of
 1431 shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) exposed to probiotic treatments after an induced infection

- 1432 of acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease. *Aquaculture Research* 49, 3270-3288. doi:
1433 10.1111/are.13791
- 1434 Prieur, D., Mevel, G., Nicolas, J.L., Plusquellec, A., and Vigneulle, M. (1990). Interactions
1435 between bivalve molluscs and bacteria in the marine environment. *Oceanography and*
1436 *Marine Biology* 28, 277-352.
- 1437 Qiao, F., Liu, Y.K., Sun, Y.H., Wang, X.D., Chen, K., Li, T.Y., et al. (2017). Influence of
1438 different dietary carbohydrate sources on the growth and intestinal microbiota of
1439 *Litopenaeus vannamei* at low salinity. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 23, 444-452. doi:
1440 10.1111/anu.12412
- 1441 Rao, V. (2007). Bioremediation to restore the health of aquaculture pond ecosystem. *Advances*
1442 *in Aquatic Ecology* 1, 85-94.
- 1443 Rast, J., Smith, L. C., Loza-Co, M., Hibino, T. and Litman, G. W. (2006). Genomic insights
1444 into the immune system of the sea urchin. *Science* 314, 952-956. doi:
1445 10.1126/science.1134301
- 1446 Ray, A.K., Ghosh, K., and Ringø, E. (2012). Enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from fish gut:
1447 a review. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 18, 465-492. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2095.2012.00943.x
- 1448 Reverter, M., Tapissier-Bontemps, N., Sasal, P., and Saulnier, D. (2017). "Use of medicinal
1449 plants in aquaculture," in *Diagnosis and Control of Diseases of Fish and Shellfish*, eds. B.
1450 Austin and A. Newaj-Fyzul (Wiley Online Library), 221-261.
- 1451 Ringø, E., Olsen, R. E., Vecino J. L. G., Wadsworth, S. and Song, S. K. (2012). Use of
1452 immunostimulants and nucleotides in aquaculture: a review. *Journal of Marine Science:*
1453 *Research and Development* 2, 1-22. doi: 10.4172/2155-9910.1000104
- 1454 Ringø, E., Zhou, Z., Gonzalez Vecino, J.L., Wadsworth, S., Romero, J., Krogdahl, Å., et al.
1455 (2016). Effects of dietary components on the gut microbiota of aquatic animals: a never-
1456 ending story? *Aquaculture Nutrition* 22, 219-282. doi: 10.1111/anu12346.
- 1457 Ringø, E., Hoseinifar, S.H., Ghosh, K., Van Doan, H., Beck, B.R. and Song, S.K. (2018). Lactic
1458 acid bacteria in finfish – an update. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9, 1818. doi:
1459 10.3389/fmib.2018.01818
- 1460 Romero, J., Ringø, E. and Merrifield, D.L. (2014). "The gut microbiota of fish" in *Aquaculture*
1461 *Nutrition: Gut Health, Probiotics and Prebiotics*, eds D. Merrifield and E. Ringø (Oxford,
1462 UK: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing), 75-100. doi: 10.1002/9781118897263.ch4
- 1463 Romo, M. R., Pérez-Martínez, D. and Ferrer, C. C. (2015). Innate immunity in vertebrates: an
1464 overview. *Immunology* 148, 125-139. doi: 10.1111/imm.12597
- 1465 Roomiani, L., Ahmadi, S. and Ghaeni, M. (2018). Immune response and disease resistance in
1466 the white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* induced by potential probiotic *Lactobacillus*
1467 *bulgaricus*. *Ankara Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi* 65, 323-329.
- 1468 Rottmann, R., Francis-Floyd, R., and Durborow, R. (1992). *The role of stress in fish disease*.
1469 Southern Regional Aquaculture Center Stoneville, MS.

- 1470 Rungrassamee, W., Klanchui, A., Maibunkaew, S., Chaiyapechara, S., Jiravanichpaisal, P. and
 1471 Karoonuthaisiri, N. (2014). Characterization of intestinal bacteria in wild and domesticated
 1472 adult black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). PLoS ONE 9, e91853. doi:
 1473 10.1371/journal.pone.0091853
- 1474 Safari, O. and Paolucci, M. (2017) Modulation of growth performance, immunity, and disease
 1475 resistance in narrow-clawed crayfish, *Astacus leptodactylus leptodactylus* (Eschscholtz,
 1476 1823) upon synbiotic feeding. *Aquaculture* 479, 333-341. doi.
 1477 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.05.049
- 1478 Salzet, M. (2001). Vertebrate innate immunity resembles a mosaic of invertebrate immune
 1479 responses. *Trends in Immunology* 22, 285-288. doi: 10.1016/S1471-4906(01)01895-6
- 1480 Sánchez-Salgado, J. L., Pereyra, M. A., Agundis, C., Vivanco-Rojas, O., Sierra-Castillo, C.,
 1481 Alpuche-Osorno, J. J., et al. (2017). Participation of lectin in crustacean immune system.
 1482 *Aquaculture Research* 48, 4001-4011. doi: 10.1111/are.13394
- 1483 Sarkono, S., Rahman, F. and Sofyan, Y. (2010) Isolation and identification of lactic acid
 1484 bacteria from abalone (*Haliotis asinina*) as potential candidate of probiotic. *Bioscience* 2,
 1485 38–42.
- 1486 Santos, L., and Ramos, F. (2018). Antimicrobial resistance in aquaculture: Current knowledge
 1487 and alternatives to tackle the problem. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 52,
 1488 135-143. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.03.010
- 1489 Selye, H. (1982). Stress: Eustress, distress, and human perspectives. *Life stress* 3.
- 1490 Seenivasan, C., Radhakrishnan, S., Shanthi, R., Muralisankar, T. and Saravana Bhavan, P.
 1491 (2014). Effect of *Lactobacillus sporogenes* on survival, growth, biochemical constituents
 1492 and energy utilization of freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* post larvae. *The*
 1493 *Journal of Basic & Applied Zoology* 67, 19-24. doi: 10.1016/j.jobaz.2013.12.002
- 1494 Seghouani, H., Garcia-Rangel, C.-E., Füller, J., Gauthier, J., and Derome, N. (2017). Walleye
 1495 autochthonous bacteria as promising probiotic candidates against *Flavobacterium*
 1496 *columnare*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8, 1349. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01349
- 1497 Serra, C.R., Almeida, E.M., Guerreiro, I., Santos, R., Merrifield, D.L., Tavares, F., et al. (2019).
 1498 Selection of carbohydrate-active probiotics from the gut of carnivorous fish fed plant-based
 1499 diets. *Scientific Reports* 9, 6384. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-42716-7
- 1500 Sha, Y., Wang, B., Liu, M., Jiang, K. and Wang, B. (2016a). Interaction between *Lactobacillus*
 1501 *pentosus* HC-2 and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* E1 in *Litopenaeus vannamei* *in vivo* and *in*
 1502 *vitro*. *Aquaculture* 465, 117-123. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.09.007
- 1503 Sha, Y., Wang, L., Liu, M., Jiang, K., Xin, F. and Wang, B. (2016b). Effects of lactic acid
 1504 bacteria and the corresponding supernatant on the survival, growth performance, immune
 1505 response and disease resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture* 452, 28-36. doi:
 1506 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.10.014
- 1507 Sha, Y., Liu, M., Wang, B., Jiang, K., Qi, C. and Wang, L. (2016c). Bacterial population in
 1508 intestine of *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed different probiotics or probiotic supernatant. *Journal*
 1509 *of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 26, 1739-1745. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1603.03078
- 1510 Silva, E.F., Soares, M.A., Calazans, N.F., Vogeley, J.L., Do Valle, B.C., Soares, R., and
 1511 Peixoto, S. (2012). Effect of probiotic (*Bacillus* spp.) addition during larvae and postlarvae
 1512 culture of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Research* 44, 13-21. doi:
 1513 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.03001.x

- 1514 Sivakumar, N., Sundararaman, M., and Selvakumar, G. (2012). Probiotic effect of
 1515 *Lactobacillus acidophilus* against vibriosis in juvenile shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). *African*
 1516 *Journal of Biotechnology* 11, 15811-15818. doi: 10.5897/AJB12.1328
- 1517 Smith, L. C., Arriza, V., Hudgell, M. A. B., Barone, G., Bodnar, A. G., Buckley, K. M., et al.
 1518 (2018). “Echinodermata: the complex immune system in echinoderms” in *Advances in*
 1519 *Comparative Immunology*, ed E.L. Cooper (Cham, Switzerland), 409-501. doi:
 1520 10.1007/978-3-319-76768-0_13
- 1521 Smith, L. C., Ghosh, J., Buckley, K. M., Clow, L. A., Dheilly, N. M., Haug, T., et al. (2010).
 1522 Echinoderm immunity. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, 708, 260-301.
 1523 doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-8059-5_14
- 1524 Sokolova, I. M. (2009). Apoptosis in molluscan immune defense. *Invertebrate Survival Journal*
 1525 6, 49-58.
- 1526 Song, L., Wang, L., Qiu, L. and Zhang, H. (2010). Bivalve immunity. *Advances in Experimental*
 1527 *Medicine and Biology* 708, 44-65. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-8059-5_3
- 1528 Song, L., Wang, L., Zhang, H. and Wang, M. (2015). The immune system and its modulation
 1529 mechanism in scallop. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 46, 65-78. doi:
 1530 10.1016/j.fsi.2015.03.013
- 1531 Sritunyalucksana, K. and Söderhäll, K. (2000). The proPO and clotting system in crustaceans.
 1532 *Aquaculture* 191, 53-69. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(00)00411-7
- 1533 Söderhäll, K. and Cerenius, L. (1998). Role of the prophenoloxidase-activating system in
 1534 invertebrate immunity. *Current Opinion in Immunology* 10, 23-28. doi: 10.1016/S0952-
 1535 7915(98)80026-5
- 1536 Sun, Z., Xuan, Y., Zhang, H., Jiang, M., Pan, Y., Zhang, Y., et al. (2016). Bacterial diversity in
 1537 the *Penaeus vannamei* Boone intestine and aquaculture environment. *Journal of Fishery*
 1538 *Sciences of China* 23, 594-605. In Chinese with English abstract. doi:
 1539 10.3724/SP.J.1118.2016.15255
- 1540 Suo, Y., Li, E., Li, T., Jia, Y., Qin, J.G., Gu, Z., et al. (2017). Response of gut health and
 1541 microbiota to sulfide exposure in Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish &*
 1542 *Shellfish Immunology* 63, 87-96. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2017.02.008.
- 1543 Sun, P., Jin, M., Ding, L., Lu, Y., Ma, H., Yuan, Y., et al. (2018). Dietary lipid levels could
 1544 improve growth and intestinal microbiota of juvenile swimming crab, *Portunus*
 1545 *trituberculatus*. *Aquaculture* 490, 208-216. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.02.018
- 1546 Swain, S.M., Singh, C., and Arul, V. (2009). Inhibitory activity of probiotics *Streptococcus*
 1547 *phocae* PI80 and *Enterococcus faecium* MC13 against vibriosis in shrimp *Penaeus*
 1548 *monodon*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 25, 697-703. doi:
 1549 10.1007/s11274-008-9939-4
- 1550 Talpur, A.D., Memon, A.J., Khan, M.I., Ikhwanuddin, M., Danish Daniel, M.M. and Abol-
 1551 Munafi, A.B. (2012). Isolation and screening of lactic acid bacteria from the gut of blue
 1552 swimming crab, *P. pelagicus*, and *in vitro* inhibition assay and small scale *in vivo* model

- 1553 for validation of isolates as probiotics. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 7, 1–28.
1554 doi: 10.3923/jfas.2012.1.28
- 1555 Talpur, A. D., Ikhwanuddin, M., Abdullah, M. D. D. and Bolong, A. M. A. (2013). Indigenous
1556 *Lactobacillus plantarum* as probiotic for larviculture of blue swimming crab, *Portunus*
1557 *pelagicus* (Linnaeus, 1758): Effects on survival, digestive enzyme activities and water
1558 quality. *Aquaculture* 416-417, 173-178. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.09.018
- 1559 Tort, L., Balasch, J. C. and Mackenzie, S. (2003). Fish immune system. A crossroads between
1560 innate and adaptive responses. *Immunologia* 22, 277-286.
- 1561 Tovar, D., Zambonino, J., Cahu, C., Gatesoupe, F., Vázquez-Juárez, R., and Lésel, R. (2002).
1562 Effect of live yeast incorporation in compound diet on digestive enzyme activity in sea bass
1563 (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae. *Aquaculture* 204, 113-123. doi: 10.1016/S0044-
1564 8486(01)00650-0
- 1565 Tripp, M. R. (1974). Molluscan immunity. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 234,
1566 23-27. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1974.tb53016.x
- 1567 Tysset, C., Mailloux, M. and Brisou, J. (1961) La microflore commensale de la crevette rouge
1568 des côtes Algériennes. *Archives Dellnstitut Pasteur DAlgeriere* 39, 287-301.
- 1569 Tzeng, T-D., Pao, Y-Y., Chen, P-C., Weng, FC-H., Jean, W.D, and Wang, D. (2015). Effects
1570 of host phylogeny and habitats on gut microbiomes of Oriental river prawn
1571 (*Macrobrachium nipponense*). *PLoS ONE* 10: e0132860. doi:
1572 10.1371/journal.pone.0132860
- 1573 Uaboi-Egbenni, P.O., Okolie, P.N., Famuyiwa, O. and Teniola, O. (2010). The significance of
1574 pathogenic bacteria in the gut of swimming crab, *Callinectes* sp. obtained from Lagos
1575 lagoon and market samples stored at freezer temperature (0°C). *Pakistan Journal of*
1576 *Nutrition* 9, 398–403.
- 1577 van Hai, N. and Fotedar, R. (2010). A review of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture. *Journal of*
1578 *Applied Aquaculture* 22, 251-266. doi: 10.1980/10454438.2010.500597
- 1579 Vasama, M., Kumar, H., Salminen, S. and Haskard, C. A. (2014). Removal of paralytic shellfish
1580 toxins by probiotic lactic acid bacteria. *Toxins* 6, 2127-2136. doi: 10.3390/toxins6072127
- 1581 Vazquez, L., Alpuche, J., Maldonado, G., Agundis C., Pereyra-Morales, A. and Zenteno, E.
1582 (2009). Immunity mechanisms in crustaceans. *Innate Immunity* 15, 179-188. doi:
1583 10.1177/1753425909102876
- 1584 Verschuere, L., Rombaut, G., Sorgeloos, P., and Verstraete, W. (2000). Probiotic bacteria as
1585 biological control agents in aquaculture. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 64,
1586 655-671. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.64.4.655-671.2000
- 1587 Vieira, F.N., Pedrotti, F.S., Neto, C.C.B., Mouriño, J.L.P., Beltrame, E., Martins, M.L., et al.
1588 (2007). Lactic-acid bacteria increase the survival of marine shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*,
1589 after infection with *Vibrio harveyi*. *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography* 55, 251–255.
- 1590 Vieira, F.N., Neto, C.C.B., Mouriño, J.L.P., Jatobá, A., Ramirez, C., Martins, M.L., et al.
1591 (2008). Time-related action of *Lactobacillus plantarum* in the bacterial microbiota of

- 1592 shrimp digestive tract and its action as immunostimulants. *Pesquisa Agropecuária*
 1593 *Brasileira* 43, 763–767. doi: 10.1590/S0100-204X2008000600013
- 1594 Vieira, F.N., Buglione, C.C., Mouriño, J.L.P., Jatobá, A., Martins, M.L., Schleder, D.D., et al.
 1595 (2010). Effect of probiotic supplemented diet on marine shrimp survival after challenge
 1596 with *Vibrio harveyi*. *Arquiva Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia* 62, 631–638.
 1597 doi: 10.1590/S0102-09352010000300019
- 1598 Vijayabaskar, P. and Somasundaram, S.T. (2008). Isolation of bacteriocin producing lactic acid
 1599 bacteria from fish gut and probiotic activity against common fresh water fish pathogen
 1600 *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Biotechnology* 7, 124–128. doi: 10.3923/biotech.2008.124.128
- 1601 Vine, N.G., Leukes, W.D., and Kaiser, H. (2006). Probiotics in marine larviculture. *FEMS*
 1602 *Microbiology Reviews* 30, 404–427. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2006.00017.x
- 1603 Wang, X. W. and Wang, J. X. (2013). Pattern recognition receptors acting in innate immune
 1604 system of shrimp against pathogen infections. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 34, 981–989.
 1605 doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2012.08.008
- 1606 Wang, Y.-B., Xu, Z.-R., and Xia, M.-S. (2005). The effectiveness of commercial probiotics in
 1607 northern white shrimp *Penaeus vannamei* ponds. *Fisheries Science* 71, 1036–1041. doi:
 1608 10.1111/j.1444-2906.2005.01061.x
- 1609 Wang, P.C., Lin, Y.D., Liaw, L.L., Chern, R.S. and Chen, S.C. (2008) *Lactococcus lactis* subsp.
 1610 *lactis* causes white muscle disease in farmed giant freshwater prawns *Macrobrachium*
 1611 *rosenbergii*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 79, 9–17. doi: 10.3354/dao01868
- 1612 Wang, G., Huang, Y., Zhou, Y., Dong, S., Huang, W., and Yan, Q. (2010). Effects of
 1613 *Lactobacillus* on growth performance, digestive enzyme activities and non-specific
 1614 immunity of *Litopenaeus vannamei* [J]. *Chinese Journal of Animal Nutrition* 22, 228–234.
- 1615 Wang, Y., Fu, L., and Lin, J. (2012). Probiotic (*Bacillus coagulans*) cells in the diet benefit the
 1616 white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 31, 855–860. doi:
 1617 10.2983/035.031.0333
- 1618 Wang, Y. C., Hu, S. Y., Chiu, C. S. and Liu, C. H. (2019). Multiple-strain probiotics appear to
 1619 be more effective in improving the growth performance and health status of white shrimp,
 1620 *Litopenaeus vannamei*, than single probiotic strains. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 84,
 1621 1050–1058. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.11.017
- 1622 Wanka, K.M., Damerau, T., Costas, B., Krueger, A., Schulz, C., and Wuertz, S. (2018).
 1623 Isolation and characterization of native probiotics for fish farming. *BMC Microbiology* 18,
 1624 119. doi: 10.1186/s12866-018-1260-2
- 1625 Watts, J.E.M., Schreier, H.J., Lanska, L., and Hale, M.S. (2017a). The rising tide of
 1626 antimicrobial resistance in aquaculture: Sources, sinks and solutions. *Marine Drugs* 15,
 1627 158. doi: 10.3390/md15060158
- 1628 Watts, J. E. M., Schreier, H. J., Lanska, L., & Hale, M. S. (2017b). The rising tide of
 1629 antimicrobial resistance in aquaculture: sources, sinks and solutions. *Marine Drugs* 15
 1630 (6)(158), 16. doi: 10.3390/md15060158
- 1631 Wei, Y.F., Liao, S-A. and Wang, A-l. (2016). The effect of different carbon sources on the
 1632 nutritional composition, microbial community and structures of bioflocs. *Aquaculture* 465,
 1633 88–93. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.08.040

- 1634 Xiong, J. (2018). Progress in the gut microbiota in exploring shrimp disease pathogenesis and
 1635 incidence. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 102: 7343-7350. doi:
 1636 10.1007/s00253-018-9199-7
- 1637 Xiong, J., Dai, W., Zhu, J., Liu, K., Dong, C. and Qiu, Q. (2017). The underlying ecological
 1638 processes of gut microbiota among cohabitating retarded, overgrown and normal shrimp.
 1639 *Microbial Ecology* 73, 988-999. doi: 10.1007/s00248-016-0910-x
- 1640 Xue, M., Wu, L., He, Y., Liang, H. and Wen, C. (2018). Biases during DNA extraction affect
 1641 characterization of the microbiota associated with larvae of the pacific white shrimp,
 1642 *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *PeerJ* 6, e5257. doi: 10.7717/peerj.5257
- 1643 Yeh, S.-T., Lin, Y.-C., Huang, C.-L., and Chen, J.-C. (2010). White shrimp *Litopenaeus*
 1644 *vannamei* that received the hot-water extract of *Gracilaria tenuistipitata* showed protective
 1645 innate immunity and up-regulation of gene expressions after low-salinity stress. *Fish &*
 1646 *Shellfish Immunology* 28, 887-894. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2010.02.005
- 1647 Yeh, S. P., Chiu, C. H., Shiu, Y. L., Huang, Z. L. and Liu, C. H. (2014). Effects of diets
 1648 supplemented with either individual or combined probiotics, *Bacillus subtilis* E20 and
 1649 *Lactobacillus plantarum* 7-40, on the immune response and disease resistance of the mud
 1650 crab, *Scylla paramamosain* (Estampador). *Aquaculture Research* 45, 1164-1175. doi:
 1651 10.1111/are.12061
- 1652 Zhang, S. M., Adema, C. M., Kepler, T. B. and Loker, E. S. (2004). Diversification of Ig
 1653 superfamily genes in an invertebrate. *Science* 305, 251-254. doi: 10.1126/science.1088069
- 1654 Zhang, M., Sun, Y., Chen, K., Yu, N., Zhou, Z., Chen, L., et al. (2014). Characterization of the
 1655 intestinal microbiota in Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, fed diets with
 1656 different lipid sources. *Aquaculture* 434, 449-455. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.09.008
- 1657 Zhang, M., Sun, Y., Chen, L., Cai, C., Qiao, F., Du, Z., et al. (2016). Symbiotic bacteria in gills
 1658 and guts of Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) differ from the free-living bacteria in
 1659 water. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0148135. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148135
- 1660 Zhao, Y., Duan C., Zhang, X-x., Chen, H., Ren, H., Yin, T., et al. (2017). Insight into the gut
 1661 microbiota of freshwater shrimp and its associations with the surrounding microbiota and
 1662 environmental factors. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 28, 946-956. doi:
 1663 10.4014/jmb.1710.09070
- 1664 Zeng, S., Huang, Z., Hou, D., Liu, J., Weng, S. and He, J. (2017). Composition, diversity and
 1665 function of intestinal microbiota in pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) at
 1666 different culture stages. *PeerJ* 5, e3986. doi: 10.7717/peerj.3986
- 1667 Zheng, C.N. and Wang, W. (2017). Effects of *Lactobacillus pentosus* on the growth
 1668 performance, digestive enzyme and disease resistance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus*
 1669 *vannamei* (Boone, 1931). *Aquaculture Research* 48, 2767-2777. doi: 10.1111/are.13110
- 1670 Zheng, X., Duan, Y., Dong, H., and Zhang, J. (2017). Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus*
 1671 *plantarum* in different treatments on growth performance and immune gene expression of
 1672 white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* under normal condition and stress of acute low
 1673 salinity. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 62, 195-201. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2017.01.015
- 1674 Zheng, X., Duan, Y., Dong, H. and Zhang, J. (2018). Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus plantarum*
 1675 on growth performance, digestive enzymes and gut morphology of *Litopenaeus vannamei*.
 1676 *Probiotics & Antimicrobial Proteins* 10, 504-510. doi: 10.1007/s12602-017-9300-z
- 1677 Zhou, Z., Ding, Z. and Huiyuan, L.V. (2007). Effects of dietary short-chain
 1678 fructooligosaccharides on intestinal microflora, survival, and growth performance of

- 1679 juvenile white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*
1680 38, 296–301. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-7345.2007.00099.x
- 1681 Zhou, J., Wang, L., Xin, Y., Wang, W.N., He, W.Y., Wang, A.L., et al. (2010). Effect of
1682 temperature on antioxidant enzyme gene expression and stress protein response in white
1683 shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 35, 284-289. doi:
1684 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2010.06.004
- 1685 Zhou, Y., Cui, Y. and Qu, X. (2019). Exopolysaccharides of lactic acid bacteria: Structure,
1686 bioactivity and associations: A review. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 201, 317-332. doi:
1687 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.11.093
- 1688 Ziaei-Nejad, S., Rezaei, M.H., Takami, G.A., Lovett, D.L., Mirvaghefi, A.-R., and Shakouri,
1689 M. (2006). The effect of *Bacillus* spp. bacteria used as probiotics on digestive enzyme
1690 activity, survival and growth in the Indian white shrimp *Fenneropenaeus indicus*.
1691 *Aquaculture* 252, 516-524. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.07.021
- 1692 Zuo, Z.-H., Shang, B.-J., Shao, Y.-C., Li, W.-Y., and Sun, J.-S. (2019). Screening of intestinal
1693 probiotics and the effects of feeding probiotics on the growth, immune, digestive
1694 enzyme activity and intestinal flora of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish*
1695 *Immunology* 86, 160-168. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.11.003

1697 Table 1. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, hepatopancreas and in muscle of shellfish, detected by culture based or culture-independent
 1698 methods (C-IM).

Species	Source	Isolated from	Methodology	Allo or auto	LAB identified	References
Shrimp*	Natural/wild	GI tract	Cultivation	ni	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> ²	Hongpattarakere et al., 2012
Giant freshwater prawn (<i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i>)	Natural/wild	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Lac. garvieae</i> , <i>P. acidilactici</i> and <i>E. faecium</i>	Cai et al., 1999 ¹
	Natural/wild	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	Lalitha and Surendran, 2004
	Natural/wild	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.	Kennedy et al., 2006 ¹
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	Dash et al., 2014, 2016
Oriental river prawn (<i>Macrobrachium nipponense</i>)	Natural/wild	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp., <i>Leuconostoc</i> sp. and <i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	Tzeng et al., 2015
	Natural/wild	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	Latobacillales* and Enterococcaceae*	Chen et al., 2017a
	Natural/wild	GI tract content	C-IM	Allo	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp., <i>Lactococcus</i> sp., <i>Leuconostoc</i> sp., Carnobacteriaceae*, Aerococcaceae* and Enterococcaceae*	Zhao et al., 2018
Pacific white shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	LAB*	Viera et al., 2007 ¹

	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. and <i>Str. faecalis</i>	Zhou et al., 2007 ¹
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	ni	LAB*	Viera et al., 2008 ¹
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	LAB*	Viera et al., 2010 ¹
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Auto	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> , <i>Leu. mesenteroides</i> subsp. <i>mesenteroides</i> / <i>dextranicum</i>	Kosin and Rakshit, 2010 ¹
	Natural	GI tract content	Cultivation	Allo	LAB*	Kongnum and Hongpattarakere, 2012
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	LAB* and <i>Bifidobacterium</i> sp.	Boonanuntanasarn et al., 2016
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	Lactobacillaceae* and Streptococcaceae*	Huang et al., 2016
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	ni	<i>P. pentosaceus</i> ³ and <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	Adel et al., 2017a
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Lac. lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> ³ and <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.	Adel et al., 2017b
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Weissella</i> sp.	Chen et al., 2017b
	Aquaculture	GI tract content	C-IM	Allo	<i>Bifidobacterium</i> sp.	Cornejo-Granados et al., 2017
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	Duan et al., 2017
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	He et al., 2017

	Aquaculture	Gut content	C-IM	Allo	<i>Carnobacterium</i> sp., <i>Lactococcus</i> sp., <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp., <i>Leuconostoc</i> sp., and <i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	Suo et al., 2017
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	Lactobacillaceae*	Xiong et al., 2017
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	Zeng et al., 2017
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	ni	LAB and <i>Lb. pentosus</i> ³	Zheng and Wang, 2017
	Aquaculture	EI with content	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> and <i>Lac. lactis</i>	Chomwong et al., 2018
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Lactococcus</i> sp.	Duan et al., 2018
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	Hou et al., 2018
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Auto	<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Huynh et al., 2019
	Aquaculture	Gut content	C-IM	Allo	Lactobacillaceae*	Pinoargote et al. 2018
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	Lactobacillaceae*, Leuconostocaceae* and Streptococcaceae*	Xue et al., 2018
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	Fan et al., 2019
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	Gao et al., 2019b
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactococcus</i> sp.	Pei et al., 2019
White shrimp (<i>Penaeus vannamei</i>)	Natural	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> ³ and LAB*	Kongnum and Hongpattarakere, 2012

	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Lactococcus</i> sp.	Sun et al., 2016
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Lactococcus</i> sp., <i>Lac. garvieae</i> and Lactobacillaceae*	Gainza et al., 2018
Brown shrimp (<i>Farfantepenaeus californiensis</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>P. pentosaceus</i> and LAB*	Leyva-Madrigal et al., 2011
Indian white shrimp (<i>Penaeus indicus</i>)	ni	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	LAB* ⁴	Gopalakannan, 2006
	Natural/ wild	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Str. Phocae</i> PI80 ³	Kanmani et al., 2010
Kuruma shrimp (<i>Marsupenaeus japonicus</i>)	Natural/ wild	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>E. faecalis</i> , <i>E. faecium</i> , <i>E. pseudovium</i> , <i>E. raffinosus</i> , <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. <i>Lb. plantarum</i> , <i>Lb. nagelii</i> , <i>Lac. garvieae</i> , <i>Lac. lactis</i> , <i>P. pentosaceus</i> , <i>Vc. campiphilus</i> and <i>Vc. fluvialis</i>	Maeda et al., 2014
Giant tiger prawn (<i>Penaeus monodon</i>)	ni	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	LAB* ⁴	Gopalakannan, 2006
	ni	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Enterococcus</i> sp. S2 ³	Nimrat et al., 2013
	Natural/wild and aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Lactococcus</i> sp.	Rungrassamee et al., 2014

Yellow shrimp (<i>Metapenaeus brevicornis</i>)	Natural	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo	LAB*	Kongnum and Hongpattarakere, 2012
Chinese shrimp (<i>Fenneropenaeus chinensis</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>E. faecalis</i>	Liu et al., 2011 ¹
	Natural	MG	Cultivation	Allo+auto	LAB*	Sha et al., 2016 b
Banana shrimp (<i>Fenneropenaeus merguensis</i>)	Natural	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo	LAB*	Kongnum and Hongpattarakere, 2012
European lobster (<i>Homarus gammarus</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation and C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>W. confusa</i> and <i>W. cibaria</i>	Daniels et al., 2010 ¹
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>W. confusa</i> and <i>W. cibaria</i>	Daniels et al., 2013 ¹
Narrow clawed crayfish (<i>Astacus leptodactylus</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Auto	LAB*	Nedaei et al., 2019
Mud crab (<i>Scylla paramamosain</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Str. mutans</i> (diseased), <i>W. fabaria</i> (farmed) and bacterium Latobacillales 1247 (hatchery)	Li et al., 2012 ¹
Swimming crab (<i>Callinectes</i> sp.)	Natural/wild	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>S. agalactiae</i>	Uaboi-Egbenni et al., 2010 ¹
Blue swimming crab (<i>Portunus pelagicus</i>)	Natural/wild	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> ³ , <i>Lb. salivarius</i> ³ , <i>Lb. rhamnosus</i> ³ , <i>W. confusa</i> and <i>W. cibaria</i>	Talpur et al., 2012 ¹

Swimming crab (<i>Portunus trituberculatus</i>)	Natural/wild	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	<i>Carnobacterium</i> , <i>Lactococcus</i> , <i>Streptococcus</i> and <i>Vagococcus</i>	Kim et al., 2017
Chinese mitten crab (<i>Eriocheir sinensis</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	Uncultured <i>Lactococcus</i> sp.	Li et al., 2007
	Aquaculture	GI tract	C-IM	Auto	Latobacillales*	Chen et al., 2015
	Natural/wild	GI tract content	C-IM	Allo	<i>Lactococcus</i> sp.	Zhang et al. 2016
	Natural/wild	GI tract	C-IM	Allo+auto	Latobacillales*	Ding et al., 2017
	Natural/wild	GI tract (FG, MG and HG)	CI-M	Allo+auto	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Lactococcus</i> sp.	Dong et al., 2018
Abalone (<i>Haliotis asinina</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo		Sarkono et al., 2010 ¹
Giant lion`s paw scallop (<i>Nodipecten subnodosus</i>)	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	LAB strain NS61 ³	Nava-Hernández, 2008 ¹
	Aquaculture	GI tract	Cultivation	Allo+auto	<i>Lb. graminis</i> ⁴ and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> ⁴	Abasolo-Pacheco et al., 2016
Narrow clawed crayfish	Aquaculture	Hepatopancreas	Cultivation	Auto	Presumptive LAB	Safari and Paolucci, 2017
Giant freshwater prawn	Aquaculture	Muscle	Cultivation	_____	<i>E. seriolicida</i>	Cheng and Chen, 1998 ¹
	Aquaculture	Muscle	Cultivation	_____	<i>Lac. lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>	Wang et al., 2008 ¹

Shortnek clam (<i>Tapes philippinarum</i>)	Natural/wild	Muscle	Cultivation	_____	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. ⁴ and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> ⁴	Kang et al., 2016
Turbo (<i>Batillus cornutus</i>)	Natural/wild	Muscle	Cultivation	_____	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. ⁴	Kang et al., 2016
Chinese venus (<i>Cyclina sinensis</i>)	Natural/wild	Muscle	Cultivation	_____	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. ⁴	Kang et al., 2016
Blue mussel (<i>Mytilus edulis</i>)	Natural/wild	Muscle	Cultivation	_____	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. ⁴	Kang et al., 2016
Surf clam (<i>Mactra veneriformis</i>)	Natural/wild	Muscle	Cultivation	_____	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. ⁴	Kang et al., 2016
Pacific oyster (<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>)	Natural/wild	Muscle	Cultivation	_____	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. ⁴ and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> ⁴	Kang et al., 2016
White shrimp	Aquaculture	Raw shrimp	Cultivation	_____	<i>E. lactis</i> ⁴	Braïek et al., 2018

1699 Genera abbreviations: *E.* – *Enterococcus*; *Lac.* – *Lactococcus*; *Lb.* – *Lactobacillus*; *P.* – *Pediococcus*; *Str.* – *Streptococcus*; *Vc.* – *Vagococcus*; *W.* – *Weissella*

1700 ¹ studies discussed in the review of Merrifield et al. (2014); ² exopolysaccharides produced; ³ used as probiotics; ⁴ potential probiotics; *no further information

1701 was given; ni – no information available.

1702 FG - foregut; MG – midgut; HG - hindgut

1703

1704 Table 2. LAB used as probiotics in shellfish.

Species	Isolated from	Doses and duration	Shellfish species	Parameters investigated	References
LAB strains	National Collection, Pune, India	5×10^6 cells·g ⁻¹ , 4 weeks	<i>Penaeus indicus</i>	Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> ↑	Ajitha et al., 2004 ¹
LAB strain NS61	Giant lion`s paw scallop, <i>Nodipecten subnodosus</i>	1×10^4 and 1×10^5 CFU/mL	<i>Cortez oyster larvae, Crassostrea corteziensis</i>	Larval survival rate ↑ Larval final size →	Campa-Córdova et al., 2011
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	Intestine of <i>L. vannamei</i>	10^7 CFU g ⁻¹ 27 days	<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>	Digestive enzyme ↑ Body weight ↑ Resistance against WSSV ↑	Zuo et al., 2019
<i>Lb. acidophilus</i>	Homemade curd isolate	10^5 CFU g ⁻¹	<i>Penaeus monodon</i>	Resistance against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> ↑	Sivakumar et al., 2012 ¹
<i>Lb. bulgaricus</i>	Intestine of <i>L. vannamei</i>	10^7 and 10^9 cfu g ⁻¹ , 30 days	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Immune response and disease resistance ↑	Roomiani et al., 2018
<i>Lac. lactis</i>	Intestine, <i>Marsupenaeus japonicus</i>	10^5 cfu g ⁻¹	<i>Marsupenaeus japonicus</i>	Resistance to <i>Vibrio penaeicida</i> ↑	Maeda et al., 2014
<i>Lac. lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>	Intestine, <i>L. vannamei</i>	10^6 , 10^7 , and 10^8 CFU g ⁻¹	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Growth performance ↑ Activities of digestive enzymes ↑ <i>Lactobacillus</i> and <i>Bacillus</i> counts ↑ <i>Vibrio</i> counts ↓ Resistance against <i>V. anguillarum</i> ↑	Milad Adel et al., 2017
<i>Lb. pentosus</i>	Intestinal tract of abalone	10^3 , 10^5 , and 10^7 cfu g ⁻¹ 8 weeks	<i>Haliotis discus hannai</i>	SR, Food intake ↑ Shell length-specific growth rate ↑ FCR ↓ Antioxidant capacity ↑ Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> ↑	Gao et al., 2018

<i>Lb. pentosus</i>	Gut of <i>Chaeturichthys stigmatias</i>	5×10^8 CFU g feed ⁻¹ 4 weeks	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Digestion related enzymes Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> ↑ Induced stress response genes expression ↑	Du et al., 2019
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Intestine of <i>L. vannamei</i>	10^8 CFU mL ⁻¹ 60 days	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Shrimp survival → <i>Vibrio</i> spp. count → Total lactic bacteria ↑ Resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> ↑	Vieira et al., 2010 ¹
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Intestine of <i>L. vannamei</i>	$2-4 \times 10^8$ CFU g ⁻¹ feed 6 weeks	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Relative growth rate ↑, FCR ↓ Survival rate ↑ Hemocytes count ↑ Resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> ↑	Kongnum and Hongpattarakere, 2012
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Culture collection	10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 CFU g ⁻¹ diet 90 days	<i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i>	WG, SGR, FCE, PER ↑ FCR ↓, Carcass protein content ↑	Dash et al., 2014
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Culture collection	10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 CFU g ⁻¹ diet 90 days	<i>M. rosenbergii</i>	WG, SGR, FCE, PER ↑ FCR ↓, Carcass protein content ↑ Resistance against <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	Dash et al., 2015
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Culture collection	10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 CFU L ⁻¹ diet 90 days	<i>M. rosenbergii</i>	WG, SGR, FCE, PER ↑ FCR ↓, Carcass protein content ↑ Water quality →	Dash et al., 2016
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Shrimp intestine	1.0×10^7 CFU mL ⁻¹ 35 days	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Growth performance → Water quality →	Correa et al., 2018
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>		20×10^3 cells mL ⁻¹ and 1×10^8 (CFU) mL ⁻¹	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Improve water quality in biofloc system ↑ Reduce shrimp diseases and environmental impact ↓	Pacheco-Vega et al., 2018
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Commercial probiotic	10^9 CFU mL ⁻¹ 15 days	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Final weight, WG, SGR ↑, FCR ↓ Digestive enzyme activities ↑ Enterocytes height ↑	Zheng et al., 2018
<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Commercial probiotic	10^9 CFU mL ⁻¹ 45 days	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Final weight, WG, SGR ↑, FCR ↓ Improved the resistance against the stress of acute low salinity ↑	Zheng et al., 2017

<i>Lb. sporogenes</i>	Commercial probiotic	0%, 1%, 2%, 3% and 4% 90 days	<i>M. rosenbergii</i>	SR, WG, SGR, FCE and PER ↑, FCR ↓ Total protein, total free amino acid, total carbohydrate, and total lipid content ↑ Feeding rate, absorption rate, conversion rate and excretory rate ↑	Seenivasan et al., 2014)
<i>P. acidilactici</i>	Commercial probiotic	10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ of feed 1 month	<i>Litopenaeus stylirostris</i>	Antioxidant status ↑ Resistance against <i>V. nigripulchritudo</i> ↑	Castex et al., 2010 ¹
<i>P. pentosaceus</i>	Intestine of <i>L. vannamei</i>	0, 10 ⁶ , 10 ⁷ , and 10 ⁸ CFU/g diet 8 weeks	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Final weight, final length, WG, SR, WG ↑ FCR ↓ Protease and amylase activities ↑ <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Bacillus</i> sp. intestinal count ↑	Adel et al., 2017
<i>E. faecium</i> and <i>Lb. pentosus</i>	Gut of <i>Fenneropenaeus chinensis</i>) and <i>Chaeturichthys stigmatias</i>	1 × 10 ⁷ CFU g feed ⁻¹	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> ↑	Sha et al., 2016b
<i>E. faecalis</i> and <i>E. faecium</i>	Intestine of Prawn and mullet	-	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Resistance against <i>A. hydrophila</i> and <i>V. vulnificus</i> ↑	Cui et al., 2017
<i>Lb. pentosus</i> , <i>Lac. fermentum</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Commercial probiotic	10 ⁷ , 10 ⁸ and 10 ⁹ CFU (kg diet) ⁻¹ 56 days	<i>L. vannamei</i>	Growth performance ↑ Survival rate ↑, Carcass composition → Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> ↑	Wang et al., 2019

1705 Genera abbreviations: *E.* – *Enterococcus*; *Lac.* – *Lactococcus*; *Lb.* – *Lactobacillus*; *P.* – *Pediococcus*; *Str.* – *Streptococcus*; *W.* – *Weissella*; *V.* – *Vibrio*.

1706 Weight gain (WG), Specific growth rate (SGR), Food conversion efficiency (FCE), Food conversion ratio (FCR), Protein efficiency ratio (PER), Survival rate (SR)

1707 ¹ studies discussed in the review of Hoseinifar et al. (2018)

1708

1709 Table 3. Changes in Immunological parameters of shellfish by LAB treatment.

Shellfish phylum	LAB species	Experimental animals (weight)	Administration routes and dose	Administration length	Immune parameter changes	References
Crustacean	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> 7-40	Juvenile mud crab (<i>Scylla paramamosain</i>) (0.97 ± 0.14 g)	Diet, 10 ⁹ CFU/kg feeding	28 days	Survival rate against <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> (10 ⁵ CFU/crab) ↑, Total hemocyte count ↑, Phenoloxidase activity ↑, Phagocytic activity ↑	Yeh et al., 2014
		Intermolt stage white shrimp (stage C) (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>) (Weight is not mentioned)	Diet, 10 ⁷ , 10 ¹⁰ CFU/kg feeding	14 days	Until 48 h: Total hemocyte count ↓, Phenoloxidase activity ↓, After 48 h: Respiratory burst ↑, Superoxide dismutase activity ↑, Clearance efficiency ↑, Prophenoloxidase mRNA ↑, Peroxinectin mRNA ↑	Chiu et al., 2007
	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> PPG-2-10-Talpur	Swimming crab larvae zoea 1 (Z-1) (<i>Portunus pelagicus</i>)	Immersion 1, 5, 10 x 10 ⁶ CFU/mL	14 days	Survival rate ↑	Talpur et al., 2013

		(Weight is not mentioned)				
	<i>Lb. pentosus</i> HC-2	White shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>) (3.5 ± 0.06 g)	Diet, 10 ⁷ CFU/g feeding	2 weeks and 4 weeks	Midgut: Penaedins-3α mRNA ↑, Prophenoloxidase mRNA ↑, Hepatopancreas: Prophenoloxidase mRNA ↑, Crustin mRNA ↑, Lysozyme mRNA ↑	Sha et al., 2016b
	<i>E. faecium</i> NRW-2				Midgut, Penaedins-3α mRNA ↑, Prophenoloxidase mRNA ↑, Lysozyme mRNA ↑, Crustin mRNA ↑, Hepatopancreas: Crustin mRNA ↑, Lysozyme mRNA ↑	
	<i>Lb. pentosus</i> BD6	Juvenile white shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>) (0.21 ± 0.01 g)	Diet, 4.1 x 10 ⁹ CFU/kg feeding	56 days	Survival rate against <i>Vibrio alginolyticus</i> (10 ⁵ CFU/shrimp) ↑, Phenoloxidase activity ↑, Respiratory burst ↑,	Wang et al., 2019

					Lysozyme activity ↑, Phagocytic activity ↑	
	<i>Lb. fermentum</i> LW2		Diet, 0.9 x 10 ⁹ CFU/kg feeding		Survival rate against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (10 ⁵ CFU/shrimp) ↑, Lysozyme activity ↑, Superoxide dismutase activity ↑, Phagocytic activity ↑	
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> P13		Diet, 1.6 x 10 ⁹ CFU/kg feeding		Survival rate against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (10 ⁵ CFU/shrimp) ↑, Phenoloxidase activity ↑, Phagocytic activity ↑	
	Multi-LABs (<i>Lb. acidophilus</i> , <i>Lb. casei</i> , <i>E. faecium</i> and <i>B. bifidum</i>) (strains are not mentioned)	Juvenile white shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus</i> <i>vannamei</i>) (0.47 ± 0.02 g)	Diet, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0 g/kg feeding	60 days	Prophenoloxidase mRNA ↑, Lysozyme mRNA ↑, Penaidian mRNA ↑, Crustin mRNA ↑	Miandare et al., 2016
	<i>Lb. plantarum</i>	Post-larvae white shrimp	Diet, 1.5 x 10 ⁸ CFU/g feeding.	60 days	Survival rate against <i>Vibrio harveyi</i> (2.5 x 10 ⁵ CFU/shrimp) ↑, Total hemocyte count ↑,	Vieira et al., 2010

		<i>(Litopenaeus vannamei)</i> (0.08 ± 0.01 g)			Phenoloxidase activity ↑, Agglutinating activity ↑	
	<i>Lac. lactis</i> D1813	Kuruma shrimp <i>(Marsupenaeus japonicus)</i> (4.7 ± 0.3 g)	Diet, 10 ⁵ , 10 ⁷ CFU/g feeding	7 days	Survival rate against <i>Vibrio penaeicida</i> (10 ⁸ CFU/mL) ↑, Intestine: Crustin mRNA ↑, Anti-LPS factor mRNA ↑, Lysozyme mRNA ↑, Superoxide dismutase mRNA ↑, Prophenoloxidase mRNA ↑, Toll-like receptor 1 mRNA ↑ Hepatopancreas: Anti-LPS factor mRNA ↑, Lysozyme mRNA ↑	Maeda et al., 2014
	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> MTCC1407	Juvenile giant freshwater prawn <i>(Macrobrachium rosenbergii)</i>	Diet, 10 ⁷ , 10 ⁸ , 10 ⁹ CFU/g feeding	90 days	Survival rate against <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> (10 ⁶ CFU/prawn) ↑, Total hemocyte count ↑, Phenoloxidase activity ↑,	Dash et al., 2014
Immersion, 10 ⁷ , 10 ⁸ , 10 ⁹ CFU/L			Dash et al., 2016			

	Heat-killed <i>Lb. plantarum</i> MTCC1407	(0.54 ± 0.03 g)	Diet, 10 ⁷ , 10 ⁸ , 10 ⁹ CFU/g feeding		Respiratory burst ↑, Hemolymph clearance efficiency ↑	Dash et al., 2015
	<i>P. acidilactici</i> (strains are not mentioned)	Juvenile narrow-clawed crayfish (<i>Astacus</i>	Diet, 7.53 log CFU/g feeding	126 days	Survival rate against <i>A. hydrophila</i> (10 ⁸ CFU/mL) ↑, Total hemocyte count ↑, Phenoloxidase activity ↑, Superoxide dismutase activity ↑, Lysozyme activity ↑, Nitric oxide synthase activity ↑	Safari et al., 2017
	<i>E. faecalis</i> (strains are not mentioned)	<i>leptodactylus</i>) (6.17 ± 0.03 g)	7.53 log CFU/g feeding			
Mollusca	<i>Lb. graminis</i> RL5	Juvenile Kumamoto oyster (<i>Crassostrea sikamea</i>) (37.33 ± 0.07 mg)	Immersion, 10 ⁶ CFU/mL	35 days	<i>Vibrio</i> spp. Inhibitory activity ↑	Abasolo-Pacheco et al., 2016
	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> C					
	<i>Lb. graminis</i> RL5	Catarina scallop (<i>Argopecten ventricosus</i>) (13.3 ± 0.03 mg)	Immersion, 10 ⁶ CFU/mL	21 days	Survival rate against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (10 ⁷ CFU/mL) ↑, Superoxide dismutase activity ↓	Abasolo-Pacheco et al., 2017
	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> C					
		<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. JHLDc	New Zealand abalone (<i>Haliotis iris</i>)	Diet, 3 × 10 ⁹ CFU/g feeding	60 days	Survival rate ↑

	(mixed with <i>Exiguobacterium</i> spp., <i>Vibrio</i> spp.) (species are not mentioned)	(Weight is not mentioned)				
		New Zealand abalone (<i>Haliotis iris</i>) (2.14 ± 1.19 g)	Diet, 2 x 10 ⁹ CFU/g feeding	16 weeks	Total hemocyte count ↑, Hemocyte viability ↑, Reactive oxygen species resistant-hemocyte count ↑, Non-apoptotic cell ↑, Early, late apoptotic cell ↓	Grandiosa et al., 2018
Echinodermata	<i>Lactobacillus</i> , (mixed with <i>Sphingomonas</i> and <i>Acetobacter</i>) (species are not mentioned)	Sea cucumber (<i>Apostichopus japonicus</i>) (0.63 ± 0.13 g)	Diet, 6, 9 x 10 ⁷ CFU/g feeding	90 days	Superoxide dismutase activity ↑, Catalase activity ↑, Acid phosphatase activity ↑, Alkaline phosphatase activity ↑, Lysozyme activity ↑	Bao et al., 2017

1710

1711 General abbreviations: *E.* – *Enterococcus*; *Lac.* – *Lactococcus*; *Lb.* – *Lactobacillus*; *P.* – *Pediococcus*; *Str.* – *Streptococcus*; *W.* – *Weissella*; *B.* – *Bifidobacterium*.

1712

1713

1714