RESEARCH



The educational gradient in dental caries experience in Northern- Norway: a crosssectional study from the seventh survey of the Tromsø study

Silje Navjord Moltubakk^{1*}, Birgitta Jönsson^{2,3}, Marko Lukic⁴ and Lina Stangvaltaite-Mouhat⁵

Abstract

Background Although, studies from Norway indicate a reduction in dental caries experience, in Northern-Norway this non-communicable oral condition is still prevalent. There is conflicting evidence of presence of social inequalities in dental caries in an adult population. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess an association between educational level and dental caries experience in adults in urban Tromsø municipality, Northern-Norway, using The World Health Organization (WHO) Commission on Social Determinants of Health (CSDH) framework of health determinants.

Methods Data from 3752 participants having recorded dental caries status and educational level in the seventh survey of the Tromsø Study: Tromsø7 were included. Dental status was examined clinically as decayed-, missing-, filled-teeth (DMFT score). For statistical analyses DMFT score was grouped into lower (DMFT < 19) and higher (DMFT ≥ 20). Educational level was obtained from a questionnaire and categorized as primary/partly secondary education, upper secondary education, tertiary education, short and tertiary education, long. Data on social and intermediary determinants was also self-reported. Univariable and multivariable binary logistic regression analyses were applied.

Result This study included 1939 (52%) women and the mean age of the participants was 57.11. The mean DMFT score was 18.03. The odds of having higher DMFT score followed a gradient based on educational level. Participants who reported lower than secondary education had 2.06 -fold increased odds of having higher DMFT score than those with tertiary education, long (OR: 2.06, 95% CI: 1.50–2.83). Those with upper secondary education had 60% higher odds of having higher DMFT score (OR: 1.60, 95% CI: 1.21–2.11), and those with tertiary education, short had 66% higher odds of having higher DMFT score (OR: 1.66, 95% CI: 1.24–2.22).

Conclusion The current cross-sectional study suggested an educational gradient in dental caries experience in an adult population of Northern- Norway. Further studies validating our results and investigating mechanisms of educational inequalities in oral health are warranted.

*Correspondence: Silje Navjord Moltubakk silje.n.moltubakk@uit.no

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dublic Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords Oral health, Dental caries, Educational gradient, Social inequality

Background

Dental caries is a non-communicable oral health condition and considered a major public health problem [1]. Untreated dental caries in the permanent dentition was reported as the most prevalent condition in the Global Burden of Diseases Study in 2017 [2]. The disease affects quality of life and may cause pain and discomfort, tooth loss and medical complications [3]. Furthermore, dental caries is an expensive condition to manage, both for the affected individuals and society [4]. Although, studies from Norway indicate a reduction in adult dental caries experience [5, 6], recent epidemiological studies from Northern- Norway found that dental caries is still prevalent among adults [7–9].

WHO CSDH have summarized evidence on health determinants in order to reduce health difference and promote equity [10]. In 2010, WHO CSDH published the conceptual framework for action on the social determinants of health. This action-oriented framework illustrates structural, social and intermediary determinants of health, and how these determinants affect health. Structural determinants, i.e., political, economic, and societal context, in which people live, generate stratification, and determine people's socioeconomic position (SEP). These structural mechanisms form health opportunities for groups based on their placement within the social hierarchy and produce social inequalities through shaping intermediary determinants, i.e., material and family circumstances, psychosocial, and behavioral factors. The most commonly used SEP indicators related to social health inequalities are educational attainment, income, occupation and social class [11]. These determinants have different causal pathways to health. It has been suggested that educational attainment is a basis and a contributor to processes which influences people's health [12]. Generally, the highest level of education is established early in the course of life and educational attainment is a relatively unchanging feature comparing for example to income.

Inequality in health often manifests in a gradient [13]. The social gradient in health describes the slope phenomenon when increasing quantities of resources, such as education, correspond with increasing levels of health, in a dose response relationship [14, 15]. Several systematic reviews demonstrated negative association between dental caries and SEP indicators, including educational attainment [16–18]. Some recent studies conducted in Northern- Norway showed association between education level and dental caries experience [7, 8], while one of the study where several social, economic and behavioral determinants was tested simultaneously in a theoretical

model failed to demonstrate a direct association [19]. These studies were performed mainly in suburban and rural areas and did not take into the consideration WHO CSDH conceptual framework on social and intermediary determinants of health.

The aim of this study was to assess an association between educational level and dental caries experience in adults in urban Tromsø municipality, Northern-Norway, using WHO CSDH conceptual framework of health determinants (Fig. 1).

Methods

Study design and population

This cross-sectional study included data from 3752 participants aged 40–92 years who had data on both dental caries experience and educational level in the seventh survey of the Tromsø Study: Tromsø7. All 32 591 inhabitants of Tromsø municipality aged 40 years or older were invited to participate in the Tromsø7 study [20]. Out of them, 21,083 (65%) attended. The data were collected from March 2015 to November 2016 and included both questionnaires and clinical examinations. A random sample of 3943 (19%) participants were invited to a clinical oral examination [9].

Variables and measurements

The variables in this study were pre-selected based on the conceptual framework of this study (Fig. 1).

Outcome variable

The outcome variable, dental caries experience, was expressed as DMFT score [21]. In the assessment of decayed teeth, the classification by Amarante and colleges was employed [22], a five-graded diagnostic scale was used (D_{1-2} : decay into enamel; D_{3-5} : decay into dentin). The calculation of DMFT score was performed after the clinical oral examination based on bite wing radiographs and intra-oral clinical photographs by seven calibrated dentists [9]. Two calibration tests were conducted, and the dentists had a mean inter- examiner agreement of Cohen's kappa 0.70 and intra-examiner agreement was 0. 81. For statistical analyses the DMFT score was dichotomized into lower DMFT score (0–19) and higher DMFT score (20–28). The cut-off point was the median DMFT score value in the study sample.

Exposure variable

Participant's educational level was self-reported and for the statistical analyses categorized in line with the international standard, 'primary/partly secondary education' (up to 10 years of schooling), 'upper secondary education'



Fig. 1 Conceptual framework of the study, based on the WHO CSDH framework on social and intermediary determinants of health

(a minimum of three years), 'tertiary education, short' (collage/university less than 4 years) and 'tertiary education, long' (collage/university 4 years or more) [23]. The last group was chosen to be the reference category in the binary logistic regression analysis.

Covariates

Social determinants

Social determinants included sex, age and household's gross taxable income last year. Household income was grouped into four categories: 'low' (\leq 450 000 NOK), 'lower middle' (451–750 000 NOK), 'upper middle' (751-1 000 000 NOK) and 'high' (>1 000 000 NOK).

Intermediary determinants

Family's circumstances during childhood were depicted by the parents' education level (categorized in line with the international standard), number of siblings (≤ 2 , 3–4 and >4) and family's financial situation during childhood ('difficult' - very difficult, difficult; and 'good' - very good, good). Civil status was recorded as having spouse or not.

Health-related behavior were represented by smoking status (never, yes; now, yes; previously), alcohol consumption ('never/seldom' - never, monthly, or more seldom; 'monthly' -2-4 times per month; and 'weekly' -2-3 times per week, 4 or more times per week) and physical activity 'never/seldom' - never, less than ones a week; 'often' - ones a week, 2-3 times a week; 'daily - approximately every day).

Oral health-related behaviors used in the model were frequency of soft drinks consumption ('never/rarely' - rarely/never and 1–6 times per week; and 'daily' – 1 per day, 2–3 per day, \geq 4 per day), tooth brushing ('weekly' ones a week or more seldom, a couple of times a week; and 'daily' - one time a day, two or more daily), use of fluoridated toothpaste (yes/no), interdental cleaning aids (yes/no), use of fluoride supplements (yes/no) and regular dental care attendance (yes/no). Psychosocial intermediary determinants were 'dental satisfaction', which was measured on a 5-point Likert scale and categorized into 'not satisfied' (1–3) and 'satisfied' (4, 5).

Statistical analysis

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Version 27.0 software was used for statistical analyses (IBM Corp Armonk, NY, USA). Chi- square test and independent sample t-test were used to analyze differences in distribution of determinants between participants having lower and higher DMFT scores. Univariable binary logistic regression analyses were used to explore association between DMFT score and educational level, as well as other social and intermediary determinants. Multivariable binary logistic regression model was used to explore association between DMFT score and educational level when adjusted for social and intermediary variables which were significant in Table 1. A sensitivity analysis, which included all the variables listed in Table 1, in the multivariable model, was conducted. The level of significance was set at p=0.05 and odds ratios (OR) are presented with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Table 1 Social and intermediary determinants of dental caries stratified by lower and higher DMFT score in the study sample

Determinants	Lower DMFT 0–19	Higher DMFT 20–28	Missing values n (%)	p-value
Social determinants				
Education level ^c				< 0.001 ^d
Primary/partly secondary education	290 (30.8)	652 (69.2)		
Upper secondary education	568 (51.7)	531 (48.3)		
Tertiary education, short	405 (55.3)	328 (44.7)		
Tertiary education, long	705 (72.1)	273 (27.9)		
Sex ^c				0.741 ^d
Female	1012 (52.2)	927 (47.8)		
Male	956 (52.7)	857 (47.3)		
Age ^a	51.8 (8.8)	65.1 (9.6)		< 0.001 ^b
Household income ^c			132 (3.5)	< 0.001 ^d
Low income	265 (32.8)	544 (67.2)		
Lower middle income	519 (48.4)	554 (67.2)		
Upper middle income	526 (60.7)	341 (39.3)		
High income	626 (71.9)	245 (28.1)		
Intermediary determinants				
Mother's education ^c			100 (2.7)	< 0.001 ^d
Primary/partly secondary education	1233 (45.2)	1494 (54.8)		
Upper secondary education	440 (71.5)	175 (28.5)		
Tertiary education, short	173 (82.0)	38 (18.0)		
Tertiary education, long	87 (87.9)	12 (12.1)		
Father's education ^c			135 (3.6)	< 0.001 ^d
Primary/partly secondary education	1019 (44.7)	1261 (55.3)		
Upper secondary education	506 (62.1)	309 (37.9)		
Tertiary education, short	234 (75.2)	77 (24.8)		
Tertiary education, long	163 (77.3)	48 (22.7)		
Siblings ^c			285 (7.6)	< 0.001 ^d
≤2	1071 (60.6)	696 (39.4)		
≤ 4	549 (50.2)	545 (49.8)		
>4	233 (38.5)	373 (61.5)		
Childhood financial ^c			88 (2.3)	< 0.001 ^d
situation				
Good	1487 (54.9)	1220 (45.1)		
Diffucult	449 (46.9)	508 (53.1)		
Spouse ^c			193 (5.1)	< 0.001 ^d
Yes	1501 (54.0)	1281 (46.0)		
No	365 (47.0)	412 (53.9)		
Smoking ^c			16 (0.4)	<0.001 ^d
Yes, now	239 (46.6)	274 (53.4)		
Yes, previously	774 (45.4)	932 (54.6)		
Never	950 (62.6)	567 (37.4)		e eed
Alcohol consumption ^e				<0.001 ^u
Weekly	601 (54.6) 919 (EE E)	499 (45.4)		
Never/seldom	549 (46 6)	629 (53.4)		
Physical activity ^c	5 15 (10.0)	029 (33.1)		< 0.001 ^d
Daily	574 (51 7)	536 (483)		
Weekly	1137 (54.6)	944 (45.4)		
Never/seldom	257 (45.8)	304 (54.2)		
Soft drinks ^c			133 (3.5)	0.942 ^d
Weekly	64 (52.9)	57 (47.1)		
Daily	1862 (53.2)	1636 (46.8)		

Table 1 (continued)

Determinants	Lower DMFT 0–19	Higher DMFT 20–28	Missing values n (%)	p-value
Tooth brushing ^c			72 (1.9)	< 0.001 ^d
Weekly	14 (27.5)	37 (72.5)		
Daily	1922 (53.0)	1707 (47.0)		
Fluoridated toothpaste ^c			127 (3.4)	< 0.001 ^d
No	149 (31.6)	322 (68.4)		
Yes	1776 (56.3)	1378 (43.7)		
Interdental cleaning aids ^c			130 (3.5)	0.066 ^d
No	455 (56.0)	357 (43.7)		
Yes	1472 (52.4)	1338 (47.6)		
Fluoride tablets ^c			374 (10.0)	0.002 ^d
No	1730 (53.4)	1512 (46.6)		
Yes	91 (66.9)	45 (33.0)		
Fluoride rinse ^c			243 (6.5)	0.520 ^d
No	1565 (53.5)	1363 (46.5)		
Yes	319 (54.9)	262 (45.0)		
Dental care attendance ^c			69 (1.8)	0.066 ^d
No	165 (48.0)	179 (52.0)		
Yes	1775 (53.2)	1564 (46.8)		
Dental satisfaction ^c			52 (1.4)	< 0.001 ^d
Satisfied	1224 (58.2)	879 (41.8)		
Not satisfied	729 (45.7)	868 (54.4)		

Values in the table: ^a means (SD) for continuous variables and ^c number (%) for categorical variables

^b Independent sample t-test

^d Pearson's chi-square test

Subgroups may not be total due to missing values

Results

Sample characteristics

This study included 1939 (52%) women. Minimum age for the participants was 40 years and maximum – 92 years, the mean age was 57.11, standard deviation (SD) 11.3. The median DMFT score among all participants was 19, inter quartile range (IQR) 9 and mean 18.03, SD 6.41. Many participants (29%) reported having upper secondary education, 26% of the participants had tertiary education, long and 25% of the participants reported that they had primary/partly secondary education.

In total, 1968 (52.5%) of the participants had lower DMFT score (0–19) and 1784 (47.5%) had higher DMFT score (20–28). The participants having lower versus higher DMFT scores were different regarding their own education level, household income, parents' education level, number of siblings, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, and physical activity (Table 1). A higher proportion of participants having higher DMFT score were older, had no spouse, had a difficult childhood financial situation, reported to brush teeth weekly, did not use fluoridated toothpaste and fluoride tablets, and was not satisfied with their teeth.

Education level association with dental caries

According to the univariable binary regression analysis, education level was inversely associated with DMFT score. The participants with lower than secondary education had 5.8-fold increased odds, those with upper secondary education had 2.4-fold increased odds, and those with tertiary education, short had 2.09 -fold increased odds of having higher DMFT score compared to the participants with tertiary education, long (Table 2).

In the multivariable regression analysis, the associations between educational level and having higher DMFT score remained significant (Table 2). The clear educational gradient was not observed between intermediate educational levels. Participants who had lower than secondary education had 2.06 -fold increased odds of having higher DMFT score than those with tertiary education, long (OR: 2.06, 95% CI: 1.50-2.83). Those with upper secondary education had 60% higher odds of having higher DMFT score (OR: 1.60, 95% CI: 1.21-2.11), and those with tertiary education, short had 66% higher odds of having higher DMFT score (OR: 1.66, 95% CI: 1.24–2.22) than those with tertiary education, long, respectively. The results from the sensitivity analysis which included all pre-selected variables were similar to the results from the final multivariable binary logistic regression model (Supplementary Table 1). Supplementary Table 2 shows associations between all covariates and dental caries experience.

Table 2	Association	between e	ducation	level	and	dental	caries	experience	(lower	and high	ier DMF	[score)
									· · ·	· · ·		/

	Univariable regression		Multivariable regression	
Education level	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Tertiary education, long	Reference group		Reference group	
Lower than secondary education	5.81 (4.77–7.07)	< 0.001	2.06 (1.50–2.83)	< 0.001
Upper secondary education	2.41 (2.01-2.90)	< 0.001	1.60 (1.21–2.11)	0.001
Tertiary education, short	2.09 (1.70–2.56)	< 0.001	1.66 (1.24–2.22)	0.001

Odds ratio (OR) with 95% Confidence intervals (CI)

The multivariable regression model was adjusted for the following variables: Age, household income, parents' education level, siblings, childhood financial situation, spouse, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, tooth brushing, fluoride toothpaste, fluoride tablets and dental satisfaction

Discussion

The current study indicated educational gradient in dental caries experience among adults in Tromsø municipality, Northern- Norway, when using WHO CSDH conceptual framework for health determinants as a basis for analysis. Education level was inversely associated with dental caries experience even after adjustment for social and intermediary health determinants.

Our results are in line with systematic reviews concluding that lower SEP was associated with dental caries experience [16, 17]. One of the systematic reviews also demonstrated that this relation was significantly higher in countries with high compared to low human development index [17]. The present study was performed in Norway, a country with high human development index. Our result are in contrast to a cross- sectional study conducted in the United Kingdom, which did not establish a relationship between educational level and caries experience [24]. Of note, that the aspects of intermediary determinants were not included in the latter study, which might be important in the complex understanding of educational inequalities in dental caries. In addition, a study conducted in Northern- Norway which employed structural equation modelling did not find any direct associations between education and decayed teeth when simultaneously controlling for several social and intermediary factors [19]. However, they used another classification for education than the present study with three categories, middle school, high school or university. The authors argued that the relation between social determinants and dental caries experience might be more complex on an individual level. Indeed, dental caries is a result of a complex interplay between both social and intermediary determinants [3].

Norway is a country known for its equality in educational opportunities, education is free-of-charge and those who would like to pursue higher education are eligible to receive state support. It is challenging to explain educational health inequalities in modern welfare states like Norway. Literature indicates that people with higher education have better living conditions, have more stable employments and less divorces [12]. It is plausible that these mechanisms also promote healthy choices and lifestyle, which is represented by intermediary determinants in the present study. Another possible mechanism may be that people with higher education have more flexible jobs that enable them to adopt healthpromoting behaviors like adequate dental hygiene [25]. It is expected that more educated individuals have higher income [26] which allows them to comply with healthy, from an oral perspective, nutrition recommendation. Indeed, the interplay between income and education on health inequalities is not well understood [27]. It has been demonstrated that income inequalities in periodontal health disappeared when the analysis was adjusted for education [24]. The authors argued that education may be a proxy for health behaviors. In our analysis, we followed the WHO CSDH conceptual framework for action on social determinants of health and adjusted for income as one of the social determinants as well as behaviors as intermediary determinant.

Education level may influence the way one chooses to interact with oral health services and use information [24]. Regular dental attendance have been suggested to have a positive impact on oral health [28, 29] and it has been indicated that access to dental care services may weaken the social gradient in oral health through oral health education [30]. In Norway, the government provides free dental services for children and adolescent, but the general adult population pay out of pocket for dental services themselves [31]. It has been demonstrated that there is a social gradient in the use of dental services in Norway [32] and the most important reason for unmet needs for dental care in Norwegian adults has been reported to be economy.

By applying a life course perspective, we might be able to explore how inequalities in health may arise [33]. Oral health inequality in adults might partly be determined by exposure to factors in the beginning of their life which in this study is represented by the variables explaining childhood material circumstances, parents' education level and numbers of siblings [34, 35]. A populationbased study in Northern- Norway demonstrated that adolescent's own study program was associated with inequalities in dental caries [36]. Consequently, the roots of inequalities in adulthood may lie in inequalities experienced in childhood and adolescence [37].

The recurring theme is the causal relationship between education level and health. The cross-sectional design of the present study, however, does not shed light on this aspect. The WHO CSHD framework suggests that education through intermediate determinants lead to health inequalities. Nevertheless, there are theories suggesting that inferior health may lead to a lower education [12].

The result of the present study supports educational inequalities in dental caries in adults in Northern- Norway and calls researchers and oral health policy makers to give a closer attention to investigations of social oral health inequalities. From a policy perspective, promoting regular dental attendance and reducing barriers to dental care services for lower SEP groups could flatten the slope of the gradient [19]. WHO CSHD highlights the need to focus not exclusively on reducing disease prevalence, but tackling its root, which involves addressing the structural and social mechanisms [10]. Moreover, several of the political, economic, and societal mechanisms lie outside the health sector and can solely be addressed by applying a multisectoral approach. Furthermore, non-communicable health conditions share the same determinants, hence oral health interventions should be integrated with general health promotion and disease prevention strategies [38].

Conclusion

The results of the current cross-sectional study suggested an educational gradient in dental caries experience in an adult population of Northern- Norway. However, there is a need for more studies to validate our results and investigate mechanisms of education inequalities in oral health.

Abbreviations

CI	Confidence interval
CSDH	The Commission on Social Determinants of Health
DMFT	Decayed, missing, filled, teeth (permanent teeth)
IQR	Inter quartile range
OR	Odds ratio
SD	Standard deviation
SEP	Socioeconomic position
WHO	World Health Organization

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12903-023-03487-w.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

The authors of this work acknowledge "Helsefak ISM Tromsøundersøkelsen" for sharing the data for this publication.

Authors' contributions

BJ planned the oral health part in seventh survey of the Tromsø study (clinical examination and questionnaire). Both BJ and SNM contributed to the data collection. SNM and LSM planned and designed the conception of this report. SNM and ML carried out the statistical analysis and interpreted the results together with LSM. SNM drafted the manuscript with input from LSM, BJ and ML. All authors have critically revised the manuscript and given final approval.

Funding

Open access funding provided by UiT The Arctic University of Norway (incl University Hospital of North Norway)

The project was financed by the authors' institutions, the Tromsø County Council, and the Norwegian Directorate of Health.

Data Availability

The Tromsø study: Tromsø7 datasets used and analyzed during the current study were supplied by "Helsefak ISM Tromsøundersøkelsen" under the agreement and so cannot be made freely available. Requests for access to these data should be made to tromsous@uit.no.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Regional Committee of Medical and Health Research Ethics in Northern-Norway and the Norwegian Data Protection Authority approved the Tromsø Study [21]. All the methods were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and written informed consent was obtained from all the participants. The current cross-sectional study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics in Northern Norway (204416) and the Norwegian Center for Research Data (989599).

Consent for publication Not applicable.

...

Author details

¹Department of Clinical Dentistry, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

²The Public Dental Health Service Competence Centre of Northern Norway, Tromsø, Norway

³Department of Periodontology, Institute of Odontology, The Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

⁴Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

⁵Oral Health Centre of Expertise in Eastern Norway, Oslo, Norway

Received: 8 May 2023 / Accepted: 4 October 2023 Published online: 24 October 2023

References

- 1. Peres M, Macpherson L, Weyant R, Daly B, Venturelli R, Marthur M, et al. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. Lancet. 2019;394:249–60.
- Bernabe E, Marcenes W, Hernandez CR, Bailey J, Abreu LG, Alipour V, et al. Global, Regional, and national levels and Trends in Burden of oral conditions from 1990 to 2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of Disease 2017 study. J Dent Res. 2020;99(4):362–73.
- 3. Selwitz R, Ismail A, Pitts N. Dental caries. The Lancet. 2007;6(12):51–9.
- Petersen P. World Health Organization global policy for improvement of oral health- World Health Assembly 2007. Int Dent J. 2008;58:115–21.
- Skudutyte-Rysstad R, Eriksen HM. Changes in caries experience among 35-year-old Oslo citizens, 1973–2003. Acta Odontol Scand. 2007;65(2):72–7.
- Rødseth SC, Høvik H, Schuller AA, Bjertness E, Skudutyte-Rysstad R. Dental caries in a norwegian adult population, the HUNT4 oral health study; prevalence, distribution and 45-year trends. Acta Odontol Scand. 2022:1–9.
- Oscarson N, Espelid I, Jönsson B. Is caries equally distributed in adults? A population-based cross-sectional study in Norway – the TOHNN-study. Acta Odontol Scand. 2017;75(8):557–63.

- Mulic A, Tveit AB, Stenhagen KR, Oscarson N, Staxrud F, Jönsson B. The frequency of enamel and dentin caries lesions among elderly Norwegians. Acta Odont Scand. 2020;78(1):6–12.
- Solar O, Irwin AA, A Conceptual Framework for Action on the Social Determinants of Health. Social Determinants of Health Discussion Paper 2 (Policy and Practice). Report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010. Available from: https://www.who.int/sdhconference/resources/ConceptualframeworkforactiononSDH_eng.pdf.
- 11. Galobardes B, Lynch J, Smith G. Measuring socioeconomic position in health research. Br Med Bull. 2006;81–82(1):21–37.
- Elstad J. Utdanning og helseulikheter. Problemstillinger og forskningsfunn. Report. Oslo: The Norwegian Directorate of Health; 2008. Available from: https://www.helsedirektoratet.no/tema/ sosial-ulikhet-i-helse/sosial-ulikhet-pavirker-helse-tiltak-og-rad/ Utdanning%200g%20helseulikheter%20Problemstillinger%20og%20 forskningsfunn.pdf/_/attachment/inline/bb8ec8d1-1969-45 cd-9841-658591f93dc3:34522e71794e7eae398fefc30aee5887976e7bdf/Utdanning%20og%20helseulikheter%20Problemstillinger%20og%20forskningsfunn.pdf.
- Beckfeild J, Olafsdottir S, Bakhtiari E. Health Inequalities in Global context. Am Behav Sci. 2013;8(57):1012–39.
- Marmot MG, Stansfeld S, Patel C, North F, Head J, White I, et al. Health inequalities among british civil servants: the Whitehall II study. The Lancet. 1991;337(8754):1387–93.
- Marmot MG, Mcdowall ME. Mortality decline and widening social inequalities. The Lancet. 1986;328(8501):274–6.
- Costa S, Martins C, Bonfim M, Zina L, Paiva S, Pordeus I, et al. A systematic review of socioeconomic indicators and dental caries in adults. Int J Environ Res. 2012;9(10):3540–74.
- Schwendicke F, Dorfer C, Schlattmann P, Foster Page L, Thompson W, Paris S. Socioeconomic inequality and caries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Dent Res. 2015;94:10–8.
- Costa SM, Martins CC, Pinto MQC, Vasconcelos M, Abreu MHNG. Socioeconomic factors and caries in people between 19 and 60 years of age: an update of a systematic review and Meta-analysis of Observational Studies. Int J Environ Res. 2018;15(8):1775.
- 19. Jönsson B, Holde GE. The role of psychosocial factors and treatment need in dental service use and oral health among adults in Norway. Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2020;48(3):215–24.
- 20. UIT The Artic University of Norway. The Tromsø study [Webpage]. Tromsø: UIT The Artic University of Norway; [17.12.2022]. Available from: https://uit.no/research/tromsostudy.
- World Health Organization. Oral health surveys: basic methods. 4th ed. Report. Geneva: World Health Organization.; 1997. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/41905/9241544937. pdf?sequence=1.
- 22. Amarante ERM, Espelid I. Impact of diagnostic criteria on the prevalence of dental caries in norwegian children aged 5, 12 and 18. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1998;26(2):87–94.
- 23. Olsen JA, Lindberg M, Lamu A. Health and wellbeing in Norway: population norms and the social gradient. Soc Sci Med. 2020;259:1–11.

- Steele J, Shen J, Tsakos G, Fuller E, Morris S, Watt R, et al. The interplay between socioeconomic inequalities and clinical oral health. J Dent Res. 2015;94:19–26.
- Abegg CMW, Croucher R, Sheiham A. The relationship between tooth cleaning behaviour and flexibility of working time schedule. J Clin Periodontol. 1999;26:448–52.
- Kirkebøen LK, Eika L. Lang utdanning gir ikke nødvendigvis høyere inntekt gjennom livet [Webpage]. Statistics Norway; 2022 [20.12.2022]. Available from: https://www.sbb.no/inntekt-og-forbruk/inntekt-og-formue/artikler/ lang-utdanning-gir-ikke-nodvendigvis-hoyere-inntekt-gjennom-livet.
- Farmer J, Phillips RC, Singhal S, Quinonez C. Inequalities in oral health: understanding the contributions of education and income. Can J Public Health. 2017;108:240–5.
- Tsakos G, Demakakos P, Breeze E, Watt R. Social gradients in oral health in older adults: findings from the English Longitudinal Survey of Aging. Am J Public Health. 2011;101(10):1892–9.
- 29. Sanders AESA, Slade GD. Evaluating the role of dental behaviour in oral health inequalities. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2006;34:71–9.
- Donaldson AN, Everitt B, Newton T, Steele J, Sherriff M, Bower E. The Effects of Social Class and Dental attendance on oral health. J Dent Res. 2008;87(1):60–4.
- 31. Dental Health Service Act. LOV-1983-06-03-54 1983 [05.01.2023]. Available from: https://lovdata.no/dokument/NL/lov/1983-06-03-54.
- Lunde ES, Ramm J. Sosial ulikhet i bruk av helsetjenester 2. Udekket behov for helsetjenester og forebyggende helseatferd. Report. Statistics Norway; 2021. Available from: https://www.ssb.no/helse/helsetjenester/artikler/sosialulikhet-i-bruk-av-helsetjenester–2/_/attachment/inline/000f4984-ed11-42d3-b5cb-ba9f3ce43847:29074da6c77dc56a92e1780ded59ccb2a973ff19/ RAPP2021-23_web.pdf.
- Mackenbach JP. The persistence of health inequalities in modern welfare states: the explanation of a paradox. Soc Sci Med. 2012;75(4):761–9.
- Christensen LB, Twetman S, Sundby A. Oral health in children and adolescents with different socio-cultural and socio-economic backgrounds. Acta Odont Scand. 2010;68(1):34–42.
- Psoter WJ, Pendrys DG, Morse DE, Zhang H. Associations of ethnicity/race and socioeconomic status with early childhood caries patterns. J Public Health Dent. 2006;66:23–9.
- 36. Stangvaltaite-Mouhat L, Furberg AS, Drachev SN, Trovik TA. Common social determinants for overweight and obesity, and dental caries among adolescents in Northern Norway: a cross-sectional study from the Tromsø Study Fit Futures cohort. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21(1):53.
- Wadsworth MEJ. Health inequalities in the life course perspective. Soc Sci Med. 1997;44(6):859–69.
- Sheiham A, Watt RG. A common risk factor Approach: a rational basis for promoting oral health. Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2000;28:399–406.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.