Effects of the menstrual cycle on sports- physiological performance in female team handball players

Abstract: Team handball is a physically demanding sport. Research has not kept up with the increasing number of women participating in exercise and sports. The menstrual cycle (MC) is complex and should be included when conducting sport and exercise research on female athletes. Therefore, the main aim of this study was to investigate the potential effects of three different phases of the menstrual cycle phases (early follicular phase, ovulation, and mid luteal phase) on handball performance-related factors. **Materials and methods:** A total of 22 female handball players (age: 20 ± 3.6 years, body fat percentage: 27.7 ± 3.3) were recruited and completed the baseline testing, and 10 (age: 19.9 ± 2.9, body fat percentage: 27.6 ± 3.1) completed the MC- phase specific testing. The testing protocol included 1RM back squat in a Smith machine, maximal handgrip strength (in Ibf), maximal vertical jumping ability (squat jumps [SJ] and countermovement jump [CMJ], a modified agility T-test, and a maximal 15-m sprint. Subjects were both users of oral contraceptives ($n = 2$), hormonal implants ($n = 3$), mini pills ($n = 1$), hormonal IUD ($n = 1$) and naturally menstruating ($n = 3$). The MC phases were confirmed through venous serum blood samples, salivary testing, urinary OV- test, and calendar-based counting. Data were analyzed using one-way repeated measures ANOVA.
Results: There were no statistically significant changes for the three different phases of the MC, in terms of almost all the physical performance for the whole group: maximal handgrip strength (Ibf): EFP (109.9 ± 11.2), the OV (109.2 ± 11.7) and MLP (108.2 ± 11.6) for the left hand, and for the right hand for EFP (118.4 ± 11.1), for OV (119.2 ± 9.1) and for MLP (119.2 ± 9.1). Maximal CMJ (cm): EFP (26.0 ± 4.4), for OV (24.9 ± 3.5) and for MLP (25.8 ± 3.0). Repeated CMJ (average height of five jumps [cm]): EFP (23.5 ± 3.0), OV (23.2 ± 2.5) and for MLP (23.4 ± 3.4). Maximal SJ (cm): EFP (24.5 ± 3.8), the OV (23.6 ± 2.5) and for the MLP (24.3 ± 3.5). 15-m sprint (s): EFP (2.70 ± 0.15), the OV (2.71 ± 0.15) and for the MLP (2.68 ± 0.13). Agility T-test (s): EFP (6.63 ± 0.52), the OV (6.68 ± 0.46) and for the MLP (6.64 ± 0.49). A statistically significant change was observed in the 1RM back squat: EFP (99.9 ± 14.6), the OV (110.9 ± 13.7) and the MLP (113.9 ± 14.8). **Conclusion:** No statistically significant changes for maximal handgrip, CMJ repeated, CMJ max, SJ, agility T-test and 15-m sprint were found for the three different phases of the MC. A statistically significant change was found in the 1RM back squat test during one MC (EFP: 99.9 ± 14.6, OV: 110.9 ± 13.7, MLP: 113.9 ± 14.8), probably caused by a learning effect.

Introduction

In recent decades, the numbers of women participating in recreational exercise and elite athletic training and competition have increased dramatically (Greydanus & Patel, 2002); (Goodman & Warren, 2005); (Javed et al., 2013); (Costello et al., 2014). Despite the decreasing gap between men and women in sport and exercise participation, women continue to be highly under-represented in sport and exercise research (Costello et al., 2014); (Bruinvels et al, 2016); (Sheel, 2016). It is unlikely that such research can be transferred directly to women when referring to the anatomical, physiological, and endocrine differences between women and men. Arguably one of the most important factors when conducting research with female athletes is the potential influence that the menstrual cycle (MC) may have on athletic performance (Julian et al., 2021). The MC is an important biological rhythm, whereby large cyclic fluctuations in endogenous sex hormones, such as estrogen and progesterone that create significantly different transient hormonal profiles, which are used to differentiate between MC phases (De Jonge, 2003; Mihm et al., 2011). Other than reproductive function, these hormones influence many other physiological systems, and their action during exercise may have implications for exercise performance, including cardiovascular, respiratory, metabolic, and neuromuscular parameters (Oosthuyse & Bosch, 2010; Davis & Hackney, 2017; Chrousos et al., 1998). When estrogen rises during the late follicular (LF) and ovulatory phases (OVP) and remains elevated in the mid-luteal phase (MLP), it is plausible that this influences muscular performance (Baltgalvis et al., 2010; Lowe et al., 2010). Progesterone, on the other hand, has anti-estrogenic effects (Oosthuyse & Bosch, 2010). Therefore, the MC can theoretically affect sporting performance in different ways and should be in consideration for optimizing training and performance as well and maintaining the general health and well-being of female athletes.

The knowledge of the effects of the MC is not fully understood. A recently published systematic review with meta-analysis examined the effects of the MC phases on exercise performance in eumenorrheic (non-users of hormonal contraceptives) women (McNulty et al., 2020). These data provide information that exercise performance might, on an average level, be reduced by a trivial amount during the early follicular phase (EFP) of the MC, compared with all other MC phases. They also identified large between-study variance in the effect of the MC on exercise performance, influenced by a range of methodological factors, small participant numbers (average $n = 14$), participants characteristics and exercise history. Mhenni

et al. (2017) investigated sports-specific physical performances in team handball female athletes during one MC in the luteal phase, between day 22-25 (monitored by menstrual calendar, basal body temperature charts and serum progesterone measurements), and examined the two different time-of-day effect (morning: 07:00-0830 am, evening: 0500-0630) had on the performance. They concluded that among young female team handball players, handgrip, ball throwing velocity and modified agility T-test performances of the players were better in the evening than the morning (Mhenni et al., 2017). Dasa et al. (2021) examined the MC's effect on handgrip strength, 20-m sprint, counter movement jump and leg press in both eumenorrheic and HC-users ($n = 29$) who were highly trained female team sport-athletes (soccer, handball, and volleyball). When comparing the results from tests conducted in the follicular phase and luteal phase (confirmed by serum hormonal levels through venous blood samples, no statistically significant changes were observed).

Several authors have attempted to investigate the effect of different menstrual phases on physical performance. Romero-Moraleda et al. (2019) investigated the fluctuations of muscle performance in the 1RM Smith machine half-squat exercise in resistance trained eumenorrheic women ($n = 13$) during three different phases of the MC (confirmed by logging via calendar app, measurement of tympanic body temperature, body mass changes and urinary luteinizing hormone measurement). Overall, they found no statistically significant changes in force, velocity, and power output in all MC phases (Romero-Moraleda et al., 2019). These findings are in line with other studies that did not find any differences in physical performance in muscle strength and power during the MC (Lebrun et al., 1995; Grü, 1997; Fridén et al., 2003; Montgomery & Schultz, 2010; Ansdell et al., 2019; Romero-Parra et al., 2020).

Moreover, the eumenorrheic MC is exposed to internal (e.g., amenorrhea, oligomenorrhea and menorrhagia) and external (e.g., hormonal contraceptives) disturbances, highlighting the diversity in ovarian hormone profiles between women (Elliott-Sale et al., 2020). Hormonal contraceptives (HC) are widespread among female athletes (De Jonge et al., 2019). Among endurance and team sports athletes in Norway, Denmark, Ireland, and United Kingdom, approximately half of the female athletes choose to suppress the production of endogenous sex hormones using HC (Engeseth et al., 2022; Brynhildsen et al., 1997; Solli et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2018). A review with meta-analysis by Martin et al. (2018) showed that of 430

elite female athletes, 213 of them were HC users, meaning that almost 50% of the population surveyed did not have a eumenorrheic MC (Martin et al., 2018), even though a review with meta-analysis observed that oral contraceptives (OCP) might on average result in slightly reduced exercise performance throughout the MC compared with a naturally MC (Elliot-Sale et al., 2020).

Furthermore, hormone status in physically active women is highly individual and commonly influenced by hormonal contraceptive use, anovulation, luteal phase deficiency, or menstrual disturbances such as amenorrhea (i.e., absence of menstruation) (Schaumberg et al., 2017). Over the last 30 years, considerable research has been undertaken to understand the cause(s) of menstrual dysfunction and low bone mineral density (BMD), both of which are frequently observed amongst high-performance female athletes. It is widely acknowledged that low energy availability (LEA) is the main factor underpinning these unfortunate health outcomes (Logue et al., 2018).

Team handball is a very strenuous body-contact sport with many high-intensity actions such as accelerations, decelerations, rapid changes of direction, sprints, and jumps (Michalsik et al., 2011). Handball is a physically demanding sport where success is determined by a complex interplay between many factors such as muscle strength and power, aerobic and anaerobic capacities, technical and tactical skills, throwing velocity as well as psychological factors (Hansen et al, 2018).

To date, there is strongly limited research to our knowledge on the influence of the menstrual cycle on female handball players' performance abilities. Therefore, the primary aim of this project was to analyze the effect of different hormonal phases across the menstrual cycle on physiological sports-specific capabilities of handball athletes, such as, maximal strength in lower extremities, maximal handgrip strength, maximal vertical jump ability, maximal sprinting speed and agility. The subjects were divided into a) natural menstruating group (NMG), b) hormonal oral contraceptive group (OCG) and c) hormonal implants group (HIG). The subjects were tested during the three 'critical' phases of the menstrual cycle: early follicular phase (EFP), ovulation (OV), and mid luteal phase (MLP).

Methods

Participants

Twenty-two subjects were recruited to this study (age = 20 ± 3.6) yrs; body mass = $69 \pm 6,1$ kg; body height = $167,8 \pm 6,8$ cm; body fat percentage = 27.7 ± 3.3). Subjects were both users and non – users of hormonal contraception, such as oral combined birth control pills ($n = 4$), mini-pills ($n = 3$), arm implant ($n = 3$), hormonal IUD ($n = 2$), non-hormonal IUD, copper IUD, ($n = 1$) and non – users of hormonal contraception ($n = 9$), who were considered as eumenorrheic. Subjects were active female handball players playing in 2nd (national) and 3rd (regional) division as well as age-specific series like 16yrs-series, in Northern-Norway. Twenty-two completed the baseline testing, and four subjects dropped out due to injury or motivational reasons, leaving the participant number at $n = 18$. In total, 10 subjects completed the MC-phase based testing, and were divided into three groups based on hormonal contraceptive status: normal menstruation group (NMG) ($n = 3$), oral contraceptive group (OCG) ($n = 2$) and hormonal implant group (HIG) ($n = 5$). The HIG included users of arm implant ($n = 3$), mini-pills ($n = 1$) and hormonal IUD ($n = 1$).

Overall study design

This study aimed to investigate the fluctuations of physiological sports-specific capabilities during three different phases of the menstrual cycle (MC) of female handball players. The three phases of the MC were EFP, OV and MLP, because they represent the main events occurring during the menstrual cycle (i.e., menses, pre-ovulation, and peak progesterone concentration, respectively (De Jonge, 2003).

To investigate this topic 22 female handball players in Tromsø (Norway) were recruited to perform a testing of physiological sports-specific capabilities such as the maximal lifting weight in a 1 repetition maximum (1RM) back squat using a Smith machine, maximal handgrip strength, maximal vertical jumping ability, modified agility T-test and sprint ability, all tested in three critical phases (EFP, OV, MLP) of the MC. The subjects were divided into a) naturally menstruating group (NMG), b) oral contraceptive group (OCG) and c) hormonal implants group (HIG). In total, 10 subjects completed the MC-phase based testing. The MC phases were confirmed through serum hormonal levels determined from blood samples and

saliva tests taken each of three test days during one MC. The testing took place from April 2022 – May 2022, and due to Covid-reasons this was during the end of the season for most players.

Equipment and procedure

Baseline testing

At baseline, all subjects completed the LEAF-Q questionnaire: a screening tool for the identification of female athletes at risk for the female athlete triad (Melin et al., 2014). The questionnaire comprises questions about age, height, weight, and BMI, as well as more comprehensive information (sleep, nutrition, digestion, and metabolism) for purposes beyond the scope of this study.

All tests were performed indoors at the university's gym and laboratory located at Alfheim Stadion. Subjects went through a first session, independent of the MC with all the physical tests as a familiarization of the phase-specific MC related tests. The first session also included treadmill running to determine running economy, maximum oxygen uptake (VO_{2max}) and anaerobic capacity. In addition, a DXA scan (GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA) to measure body composition was completed on a separate day within approximately 2 weeks of the first session. Upon arrival to the laboratory, height and weight were measured. Before testing subjects performed a 10-minute warmup including 5 min cycling on a cycle ergometer and 5 minutes easy stretching through a standard protocol (see Figure 1). In the following order subjects preformed a 1RM back squat test in the Smith Machine, CMJ and SJ jumps on a force platform, a maximal handgrip strength test, a modified agility T-test, 15 m sprint, and a treadmill running test to determine running economy, VO_{2max} and anaerobic capacity (see Table 2 and Table 3 for results). The running test was excluded for the phase specific MC-testing. Although maximum oxygen uptake was not a MC- phase specific test, this physiology-based outcome was included as it can be used as an indicator of the subject's cardiovascular fitness. The performance time for the modified agility T-test and the 15-m sprint was measured via the use of electronic timing systems that were placed at the start and the finish lines. For the 15-m sprint, split-times at 5 and 10 m were also determined. Subjects were instructed to use preferable and appropriate clothing and shoes for the physical testing. There were 3-4 min rest between all the tests. Subjects were encouraged to avoid hard

resistance strength training two days prior to the testing day, and to have a normal food and liquid intake. Specific details related to the testing procedures for each specific test are described below.

Afterward, subjects preformed three experimental trials within their MC and repeated the same tests, i.e., apart from the LEAF-Q, DXA and the treadmill running test (see Figure 1 for overview of the testing day). The MC-phase based testing took place at the same time of the day for each subject, this to avoid variation in muscle function indicators (Mhenni et al., 2016).


Figure 1. *Flow chart display of the protocol followed in the MC-phase based testing for the physical testing in 1 repetition maximum back squat, maximal handgrip strength, countermovement jump (CMJ), squat jump (SJ), agility T-test and 15-m sprint. Abbreviations: MC, menstrual cycle; min, minutes. Abbreviations: MC, menstrual cycle; min, minutes.*

Determination of the menstrual cycle

The duration of the MC and the onset of each phase were determined using: 1) saliva hormone test, 2) venous blood sample tests, 3) an OV- test and 4) a menstrual cycle tracker application. To provide hormonal confirmation of MC phase, subjects provided fasted saliva tests and venous blood samples at the hospital in the morning, the same day as the physical testing. This was to avoid the effect of physical strain and nutritional intake on hormonal levels. Measurement of serum estrogen and progesterone concentration is recommended as the gold standard for research purposes (De Jonge, 2019). The eumenorrheic subjects (NMG) used a calendar app (Flo Fem®), to predict their menstruation and ovulation. All subjects were given a digital OV-test kit (Clearblue®) to determinate the day of ovulation. For this purpose, subjects were instructed to use the test kit from "day 9" after their first menstruation day. This method is a less invasive and less expensive method to get a more direct indication of hormone fluctuations over the MC by measurement of the luteinizing hormone (LH) surge in urine (De Jonge, 2019). It was important that they did not urinate 4 hours before testing and avoided any intake of fluid or food before testing for ovulation. When a positive result (a smiley) appeared, subjects informed the test leader, and the time and date were noted. The subjects that were on hormonal contraceptives were told it was expected and normal if they did not get any positive result. The NMG came in for testing the day after a positive OV-test. The subjects were given one bag with an OV test kit and tubes for the blood and saliva tests. This bag included smaller marked bags with tubes for the tests in EFP, OV, and MLP throughout the MC. When the menstrual cycle was detected, there was booked an appointment for the subjects to go to the hospital to take the blood- and saliva tests. Before eating breakfast or brushing their teeth they did the first saliva test at home and brought it for their hospital appointment. The appointments were between 0730-0930 am. Subjects were informed that after their hospital visit, they could go home and eat etc., and later in the afternoon they would complete the physical testing. The physical testing took place at the same time for every testing.

Figure 2. *Flow chart of the testing procedure for the naturally menstruating group (group 1), oral contraceptive group (group 2) and hormonal IUD group (group 3) during the three different phases of the MC i.e., the early follicular phase (EFP), ovulation (OV) and mid luteal phase (MLP). Abbreviations: MC, menstrual cycle; non-HC IUD, non-hormonal contraceptive intrauterine contraceptive device.*

DXA

Knowledge of physical characteristics and the physiological profile of athletes has been considered valuable in talent identification and training planning (Abdelkrim et al., 2010). DXA (GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA) is increasingly used to measure body composition in terms of fat and fat-free mass (Laskey, 1995). The subjects came in for the DXA scan in the morning between 0730-0900 am before having breakfast. This was done as a single test in connection with the baseline testing (within ~1-2 weeks). Subjects were told to use sports clothes without any metal and to remove eventual body piercings.

1RM back squat in Smith Machine

To exam the subjects' maximal leg strength, a 1RM test in 90° squat using a Smith-machine was performed. Two-three weeks before the onset of the experiment, participants performed a familiarization session to minimize any learning effects during the experiment, and to standardize the loads in the subsequent experimental sessions. Subjects were instructed to perform a squat to 90º of knee flexion until the barbell were touching or almost touching the safety stoppers on the side, and then ascend back to an upright position. The protocol for the familiarization test were ~40% predicted 1RM (6 REP), ~55% predicted 1RM (5 REP), ~70% (4 REP) predicted 1RM, ~80% predicted 1RM (3 REP), ~90% predicted 1RM (2 REP), ~95% (1 REP). This protocol was used because most of the subjects did not know their 1RM and for the subjects to get used to the Smith machine.

In the MC specific testing the subjects commenced the 1RM assessment with sets of increasing loads estimated to be ~20% 1RM (3-REPs), ~40% 1RM (3-REPs), ~60% 1RM (3-REPs), ~80% 1RM (1-REP), and ~90% 1RM (1-REP; Banyard, Nosaka and Haff, 2017). This was then followed by the first 1RM attempt with a maximum of five 1RM attempts permitted. After a successful 1RM attempt, the barbell load was increased, in consultation with the subject, between 1.25 and 2.5 kg. The last successful lift with a correct technique was classified as the 1RM load. The rest periods between all warm-up sets were ~2 minutes with ~3 minutes of rest between the 1RM attempts. One subject did not complete the 1RM-test throughout the MC because of injury, leaving the participant number for this test to ($n = 9$).

Handgrip strength

The maximal handgrip strength of both hands was measured with a calibrated hydraulic hand dynamometer. Grip strength in pounds was measured using a standardized protocol; subjects were standing with their arm straight down leaning towards the side of the body, the handle was adjusted according to hand size and subjects were squeezing the dynamometer for ~5 s max as hard as they could. The palm did not flex on the wrist joint (Visnapuu & Jüriäe, 2007). The left hand was measured first then the right hand and the dominant hand was noted. Time was taken by the test leader. Two trials were performed with 2 min rest in between both trials (Mhenni et al, 2017). The highest readings for the left and right hands were used for further

analyses.

Countermovement jump (CMJ)

The subjects preformed the vertical jumping tests on a force platform. Maximum vertical jumping ability was assessed using countermovement jump (CMJ) and squat jump (SJ). Subjects were instructed to keep their hands on the hip throughout the whole movement. CMJ was initialized with a squat down to preferred bottom, then jumping upward with maximum effort without letting go of the hands on the hip during the whole movement. Both of their feet should naturally land on the force plate. The protocol for the CMJ's was three jumps with ~30 s passive rest in-between, then followed by five repeated jumps with ~7 s passive rest in-between. Maximum jump height (cm) was assessed, based on force impulse, using an automated software (MuscleLab software; MuscleLab Technology, Langesund, Norway). All trials were recorded, and the highest of the three first jumps were noted as well as the average jump height of the five repeated jumps.

Squat jump

There was a ~2 min rest between CMJ and squat jump (SJ). The subject was standing on a force platform with both feet at shoulder width squatting down to 90° with both hands on the hip and holding the position for ~2 s, then jumping upwards with maximal effort without making a "drop" from the bottom position. Three jumps were performed with ~30 s passive rest in-between. Maximum jump height (cm) was assessed, based on force impulse using the same automated software as was used for the CMJ tests (MuscleLab software; MuscleLab Technology, Langesund, Norway). All trials were recorded, and the highest and jump were noted.

Modified agility T-test

The modified agility T-test (MAT) was used as a relevant test for handball players to determine speed with directional changes such as forward sprinting, left and right shuffling, and backpedaling (Mhenni et al., 2016). Based on the protocol outlined by Pauole et al. (2000), subjects began with both feet 30 cm behind cone A. Preferred forward foot was placed

at the line 30 cm in front of cone A. A pair of infrared Brower Timing System Photocells, model TRD- T175 (Draper, UT, USA) were placed by cone A facing each other. At her own discretion, each subject sprinted forward to cone B and touched the base of it. Facing forward and without crossing the feet, the subject shuffled to the left to cone C and touched its base, then shuffled to the right to cone D and touched its base and shuffled back to the left to cone B and touch its base. When touching cones, the preferred hand was used. Finally, subjects ran backward as quickly as possible and returned to line A. Any subject who failed to touch the base of the cone, and/or failed to face forward throughout had to repeat the test. The subjects preformed three test trials, with ~3 min of rest between trials. The recorded score for this test was the best of the three trials.


Figure 3. Illustration of the modified agility T-test.

15-m sprint

There was a ~ 4 min rest between the agility test and the 15-m sprint. The maximal 15-m sprint effort was measured by using a linear sprint test, i.e., forward sprinting over 15 m as fast as possible from the start position. Subjects were instructed to be in a preferred start position with the preferred forward foot in front of the line that was 30 cm from the first laser. They started on a "ready – set – go"- command from the test leader. The subjects preformed three test trials with a ~3 min rest between each trial. Time for 5 m, 10 m and 15 m meters

were determined by single-beam electronic photocells (ATU-X, IC control AB, Stockholm, Sweden) mounted to the floor and walls. The recorded time for this trial was the best of the three trials.

Statistical analyzes

The differences in the performance data between the three phases of the menstrual cycle (EFP, OV, MLP) were analyzed using one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). The statistical threshold was set at $p < 0.05$. The results are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). The one-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to analyze the results for the three MC-phases (EFP, OV and MLP). The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, Version 26, IBM, Armonk, NY, United States) was used for the statistical analyses.

Results

A total of 19 participants were included in the study, and 10 were included for the MC-phase based testing and were divided into three groups: a normally menstruating group (NMG) ($n = 3$), an oral contraceptive group (OCG) ($n = 2$) and a hormonal implant group (HIG) ($n = 5$). The descriptive data showing mean values $\pm$ standard deviation ($\pm$) of subject characteristics in the hormonal contraceptive groups and the non-hormonal contraceptive group as well as for all ten subjects combined are presented in Table 1. Nine out of ten subjects completed the 1RM-back squat test, with the results being presented in Figure 3A, and there was a statistically significant difference between the three phases of the MC phases with EFP showing significantly lower values than the OV and the MLP (both $P < 0.001$). For the maximal handgrip strength there were no statistically significant differences between the three phases of the MC for the left hand and the same goes for the right hand (see Figure 3B-C).

There no statistically significant differences between the three phases of the menstrual cycle phases in the maximal CMJ, for the EFP, OV and MLP, and the same goes for the results for EFP, OV and MLP for the repeated CMJ-s (see Figures 3D-E). There were no statistical differences between the three phases of the MC in maximal SJ jump height (see Figure 3F).

For the 15-m linear sprinting, there were no statistical differences between the three phases of the MC (see Figure 3G), and the same goes for the results for EFP, OV and MLP for the modified agility T-test.

Table 1: Descriptive data presented as mean values ± standard deviation of subjects (n = 10) characteristics in the non-hormonal contraceptive group (NMG), oral hormonal contraceptive group (OCG), hormonal implants contraceptive group (HIG) and in total.

	Total	NMG	OCG	HIG
(n)	10	3	2	5
Age (yrs)	19.9 ± 2.9	20.3 ± 3.0	18.0 ± 2.0	20.4 ± 2.8
Height (cm)	166.7 ± 6.0	169.6 ± 0.4	166.3 ± 7.1	165.0 ± 5.4
Body mass (kg)	67.7 ± 4.1	68.1 ± 2.9	63.2 ± 1.0	69.3 ± 3.4
VO2max (ml·kg·min)	46.4 ± 4.15	49.0 ± 3.8	42.2 ± 0.6	46.5 ± 2.0
Whole body fat (% of body mass)	27.6 ± 3.1	27.9 ± 3.4	24.7 ± 1.0	28.6 ± 3.2
Fat mass (kg)	18.7 ± 3.7	19.2 ± 3.3	15.3 ± 0.7	28.6 ± 3.2
Lean mass (kg)	46.3 ± 2.3	47.4 ± 0.8	44.0 ± 0.4	46.5 ± 2.8
Bone mineral content (g)	2623 ± 228	2619 ± 301	2527 ± 129	2663 ± 247

Table 2: Descriptive data presented as mean values ± standard deviation of subjects (n = 22) anthropometric characteristics.

Age (yrs)	Bodymass (kg)	Height (cm)	Whole body fat (%) of body mass	Fat mass (g)	Lean mass (kg)	Bone mineral content (g)
20	69	167.8	27,7	19176,1	46989	2683,6
± 3.6	± 6.1	± 6.8	± 3	± 3563,1	± 3357,7	± 282,7

Table 3: *Descriptive summary of test results in 1RM back squat, handgrip left hand (L), handgrip right hand (R), maximal countermovement jump (CMJ max), countermovement jumps repeated average (CMJ repeated AVG), maximal squat jump (SJ max), 15 m sprint, agility T-test and VO_{2max} from the baseline session presented as mean ± standard deviation. Subjects (n= 22) with age 20 ± 3.6 and with height and weight of 167.8 ± 6.8 cm and 69.0 ± 6.1 kg constituted this sample.*

Strength			Jump			Sprint		VO2max
Squat (kg)	**Handgrip L** (lb)	**Handgrip R** (lb)	**CMJ max** (cm)	**CMJ repeated AVG** (cm)	**SJ max** (cm)	**15-m** (s)	**Agility** (s)	**(mL/kg/min)** (mL)
89.4 ± 16.1	104.9 ± 10.8	113.2 ± 11.4	27.1 ± 4.0	23.1 ± 3.2	25.3 ± 3.6	2.72 ± 0.14	6.86 ± 0.49	45.14 ± 4.15

Table 4: *Descriptive summary of test results in 1RM back squat, handgrip left hand (L), handgrip right hand (R), maximal countermovement jump (CMJ max), countermovement jumps repeated average (CMJ repeated AVG), maximal squat jump (SJ max), 15 m sprint, agility T-test and VO_{2max} from the baseline session presented as mean ± standard deviation. Subjects (n = 10) who completed the MC-specific testing with height and weight of 166.7 ±6.0 cm and 67.7 ± 4.1 kg constituted this sample.*

| Strength			Jump			Sprint		VO2max
Squat (kg)	**Handgrip L** (lb)	**Handgrip R** (lb)	**CMJ max** (cm)	**CMJ repeated AVG** (cm)	**SJ max** (cm)	**15-m** (s)	**Agility** (s)	**(mL/kg/min)**
87.2 ± 15.1	105.6 ± 13.7	114.7 ± 12.8	25.9 ± 4.2	22.7 ± 3.4	24.4 ± 2.3	2.7 ± 0.1 s	7.0 ± 0.5	46.4 ± 3.7

Figure 4. Mean and standard deviation results through phases of the menstrual cycle for **A)** 1RM back squat, **B)** handgrip strength left hand, **C)** handgrip strength right hand, **D)** maximal CMJ, **E)** repeated CMJ, **F)** squat jump, **G)** 15 m sprint and **H)** agility. Abbreviations: 1RM, one-repetition maximum; CMJ, counter movement jump; SJ, squat jump; EFP, early follicular phase; OV, ovulation; MLP, mid-luteal phase. ¤ Indicates a significant difference between MLP and EFP in the back squat 1RM.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to examine potential changes in performance in 1RM back squat, maximal hand grip strength, maximal vertical jumping ability, agility, and 15-m maximal linear sprinting during three critical phases of one MC in a group of female handball players. One-way ANOVA did not reveal any statistically significant changes for the three different phases of the MC (i.e., EFP, OV and MLP), in terms of physical performance in maximal handgrip, maximal vertical jumping, agility or maximal sprint for the whole group. To the authors knowledge this is the first study to investigate the effects of menstrual cycle phase on

different sport specific physical parameters in female handball players following the methodological recommendations in such research (De Jonge, 2019).

The findings in this study are consistent with the finding by Romero-Moraleda et al. (2019) who investigated the effect of the menstrual cycle on strength and power performance in resistance trained eumenorrheic females ($n = 13$), together with Lebrun et al. (1995), Grü (1997), Fridén et al. (2003), Montgomery & Schultz (2010), Ansdell et al. (2019) and Romero-Parra et al. (2020) who did not find any differences in physical performance in muscle strength and power. The mentioned studies included isokinetic muscle strength exercises in their experiments, which may not be a very sports specific choice of exercises, nor is it very transferable to everyday physical actions. In this study we choose to include more handball-specific physical exercises that athletes are familiar with, with standardized protocols for all tests. Mhenni et al. (2016) investigated jumping ability with CMJ-test during repeated shuttle sprints. Compared to isolated jump protocols this test is even more transferable and specific for handball. Wagner et al. (2014) developed and validated a game-based performance test that is suitable to measure team-handball specific agility and sprinting performance, VO_{2max} and HR_{max} under conditions similar to team-handball competition. To sum this up, it is difficult to directly compare this study with not only the mentioned studies, but also the majority of studies done in this research field of female athletes and the MC because of variating methodological methods detecting the MC, participant characteristics including age, hormone status, exercise level, and very different types of exercises.

Thus, one-way ANOVA did reveal statistically significant changes in the 1RM back squat throughout the MC (EFP: 99.9 ± 14.6, OV: 110.9 ± 13.7 and MLP: 113.9 ± 14.8). In terms of no statistically significant changes in the other respective physical performances, this increase in muscle strength performance in the 1RM back squat is probably caused by a learning effect. The baseline 1RM strength did not provide a strong predicative measure of strength adaptation for most subjects for the MC-phase testing. The protocol for 1RM back squat in the baseline testing had more repetitions and sets than the one used in the MC-phase specific testing. The subjects did complete one experimental attempt for every test, to minimize potential bias through a learning effect. A few more familiarization trials, especially for the 1RM back squat test using the MC-specific protocol, should have been included.

The subjects were all active handball players, but the training volume in both team practice and physiological exercising between the players, teams, and the levels they were playing at (16yrs series, regional 3^{rd,} and national 2nd division) were variating. Some subjects stated that they were not so familiar with doing heavy loaded back squats. We chose the 1RM back squat testing to be performed with the Smith machine because the decreased need for balance of the bar and weight plates may increase the safety through the movement and requires less balance of an external load, compared to a maximal lift with free weights (Cotterman et al., 2005).

Future research in team handball should include participants with similar training backgrounds and choose sports-specific exercises that can be used effectively in women's handball programs. Information on training-related issues of female handball-athletes such as anthropometric measurements, physiological attributes together with on-court performances can be used effectively for optimizing strength and condition programs (Manchado et al., 2013). The MC of female athletes should be taken into consideration by the players and coaches for optimizing training. Finally, because of the small participant number ($n = 10$) no analysis between-groups were made, and this might be a line of investigation interesting to follow in future studies.

Limitations

As with any scientific study, the present findings include some limitations. The handball season for the respective subjects was strongly affected by COVID-19, with a long break from the middle of December 2021 to the end of February 2022, where no team training or games were allowed, which lead to an extended season and therefore delayed the start of this study considerably, also the easter break made the testing more problematic. This is mentioned because it is likely that it would have been easier with the recruitment of more players if the study were performed after the season, which could have resulted in a larger participant number. Because of deadlines it was impossible to get all subjects recruited through the MC-phase based testing. The subjects were divided into three groups based on their HC status and they all completed the same procedures, and because of the small participant number no analysis between-groups were made. With a higher total sample of participants completing the MC-specific testing, it would be interesting to compare the sex hormone concentrations

between all the three groups. Unfortunately, the total final sample were quite low ($n = 10$), and due to the submission deadline, we were not able to get the results from the blood analyzes, so we could not confirm that the subjects were in the different stages of their respective cycles, i.e., changes in hormone values could not be observed.

A limitation of this study is that the order of the MC phases was not randomized, whereby all participants first completed testing in the EFP followed by the OV and then the MLP, this was due to the current method of cycle phase determination. Except for the NMG who first completed testing either between day 1 to day 4, or when a positive result appeared on the digital OV- kit. By tracking serum hormone concentrations to capture the hormone environments during the same day of testing we would be able to create individual hormone profiles for each participant (Montgomery & Schultz, 2010). Such information would be interesting as the fluctuation in sex hormones is highly individual during the MC (Dasa et al., 2021.

A strength of this study is the determination of the MC by following recommendations from De Jonge (2019) by using calendar-based counting, salivary testing, serum venous blood sample measuring the concentrations of estrogen and progesterone, as well as measuring the LH surge in urine to get a direct indication of the OV (De Jonge, 2019). In contrast to this study where only measurements were conducted over one MC, measurements over more than one MC would increase the validity and might have resulted in significant changes in the physical performance variables due to a potentially lowered test-to test variation due to reduced "learning/training effects". However, such an approach would then have been even harder to fulfill as it would have been even more problematic with participant recruitment and increased the number of dropouts during testing.

Conclusion

This study detected no statistically significant changes for the three different phases of the MC, in terms of physical performance in maximal handgrip, CMJ, SJ, agility and 15-m sprint. However, a statistically significant change was found in the 1RM back squat test during one

MC (EFP: 99.9 ± 14.6, OV: 110.9 ± 13.7, MLP: 113.9 ± 14.8) which was probably caused by a learning effect and not affected by the phases of the MC.

Litterature

Abdelkrim N.D., Chaouachi A., Chamari K., Chtara M. & Castagna C. (2010). Positional role and competitive-level differences in elite-level men's basketball players. *J Strength Cond Res* 24(5): 1346-1355, 2010.

Ansdell P., Brownstein C.G., Škarabot J., Hicks K.M., Simoes, D., Thomas K., Howatson G., Hunter S.K. and Goodall S. (2019). Menstrual cycle-associated modulations in neuromuscular function and fatigability of the knee extensors in eumenorrheic women. Journal of Applied Physiology 2019 126:6, 1701-1712

Baltgalvis K.A., Greising S.M., Warren G.L. & Lowe DA (2010). Estrogen regulates estrogen receptors and antioxidant gene expression in mouse skeletal muscle. PLoS One. 2010;5(4):101–64. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010164. 25

Banyard H, Nosaka K, Haff G. Reliability and Validity of the Load-Velocity Relationship to Predict the 1RM Back Squat. J Strength Cond Res, 2017; 31: 1897–1904

Behre H.M., Kuhlage J., Gaβner C., Sonntag B., Schem C., Schneider H.P.G. & Nieschlag E. (2000). Prediction of ovulation by urinary hormone measurements with the home use ClearPlan® Fertility Monitor: comparison with transvaginal ultrasound scans and serum hormone measurements, Human Reproduction, Volume 15, Issue 12, 1 December 2000, Pages 2478–2482, https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/15.12.2478

Bruinvels G. (2016). "Sport, Exercise and the Menstrual Cycle: Where Is the Research?" British Journal of Sports Medicine 0(0): bjsports – 2016–096279.

Brynhildsen J., Lennartson H., Klemetz M., Dahlquist P., Hedin B. & Hammar M. (1997). Oral contraceptive use among female elite athletes and age-matched controls and its relation to low back pain. **ISSN:** 0001-6349, 1600-0412; **DOI:** 10.3109/00016349709024368. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica. ,1997, Vol.76(9), p.873-878

Chrousos GP, Torpy DJ & Gold PW. (1998). Interactions between the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the female reproductive system: clinical implications. Ann Intern Med. 1998;129(3):229–40.

Costello J.T., Bieuzen, F. & Bleakley, C.M. (2014). Where are all the female participants in Sports and Exercise Medicine research? *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 2014, *14*, 847–851

Cotterman M.L., Darby L. & Skelly W. (2005). Comparison of muscle force production using the smith machine and free weights for bench press and squat exercises. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research: February 2005 - Volume 19 - Issue 1 - p 169-176

Dasa M.S., Kristoffersen M., Ersvær E., Bovim L.P., Bjørkhaug L., Moe-Nilssen R., Sagen J.V. & Haukenes I. (2021). The Female Menstrual Cycles Effect on Strength and Power Parameters in High-Level Female Team Athletes. Front. Physiol. 12:600668. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.600668

Davis H.C. & Hackney A.C. (2017). The hypothalamic–pituitary–ovarian axis and oral contraceptives: regulation and function. (2017). In: Hackney AC, editor. Sex hormones, exercise, and women: scientifc and clinical aspects. London: Springer; 2017. p. 1–17

De Jonge, X.A.K.J. (2003). Effects of the Menstrual Cycle on Exercise Performance. Sports Medicine, 33(11), 833-851. doi:10.2165/00007256-200333110-00004

De Jonge X.A.J., Thompson B., & Han A. (2019). Methodological recommendations for menstrual cycle research in sports and exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51(12):2610–7. https://doi. org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002073.

Diaz A., Laufer M.R. & Breech L.L. (2006). "Menstruation in Girls and Adolescents: Using the Menstrual Cycle as a Vital Sign." Pediatrics 118(5): 2245–50.

Draper J.A and Lancaster M.G. (1985). The 505 test: A test for agility in the horizontal plane. Aust J Sci Med Sports 17: 15–18, 1985.

Elliott-Sale, K.J., McNulty K.L., Ansdell P., Goodall S., Hicks K.M., Thomas K., Swinton P.A. & Dolan E. (2020). The Effects of Oral Contraceptives on Exercise Performance in Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Sports Med* **50,** 1785–1812 (2020).

Engseth T.P., Andersson E.P., Solli G.S., Morseth B., Thomassen T.O., Noordhof D.A., Sandbakk Ø. & Welde B. (2022). Prevalence and Self-Perceived Experiences With the Use of Hormonal Contraceptives Among Competitive Female Cross-Country Skiers and Biathletes in Norway: The FENDURA Project. Front. Sports Act. Living 4:873222. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2022.873222

Fridén C., Sartook T. & Hirschberg A.L. (2003). Muscle Strength and Endurance Do Not Significantly Vary Across 3 Phases of the Menstrual Cycle in Moderately Active Premenopausal Women. Clinical journal of sport medicine: official journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine·August 2003. DOI: 10.1097/00042752-200307000-00007

Goodman L.R. & Warren M.P. (2005). "The Female Athlete and Menstrual Function." *Current opinion in obstetrics & gynecology* 17(5): 466–70.

Greydanus D.R., & Patel D.E. (2002). "The Female Athlete. Before and beyond pubertyNo Title." Pediatr Clin North Am 49: 553–80.

Fridén C., Sartook T. & Hirschberg A.L. (2003). Muscle Strength and Endurance Do Not Significantly Vary Across 3 Phases of the Menstrual Cycle in Moderately Active Premenopausal Women. Clinical journal of sport medicine: official journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine·August 2003. DOI: 10.1097/00042752-200307000-00007

Gür H. (1997). Concentric and eccentric isokinetic measurements in knee muscles during the menstrual cycle: A special reference to reciprocal moment ratios. Department of Sports Medicine, Medical School of Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9993(97)90164-7

Hansen M., Barner L. D., Zebis M.K, Gliemann L., Melin A. & Torstveit M.K. (2018). The Female Handball Player. *Handball Sports Medicine*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-55892-8_38

Javed A., Tebben P.J., Fischer P.R. & Lteif A.N. (2013). "Female Athlete Triad and Its Components: Toward Improved Screening and Management." Mayo Clinic Proceedings 88(9): 996–1009.

Julian R., Skorski S., Hecksteden A., Pfeifer C., Bradley P.S., Schulze E. & Meyer T. (2021). Menstrual cycle phase and elite female soccer match-play: influence on various physical

performance outputs, Science and Medicine in Football, 5:2, 97
04, DOI: 10.1080/24733938.2020.1802057

Laskey M, Phil D. (1995). Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry and body composition. *Nutrition.* 1996;12(1):45–51

Lebrun C.M., McKenzie D.C., Prior J.C., et al. Effects of menstrual cycle phase on athletic performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1995; 27:437–444.

Logue D., Madigan M.S., Delahunt E., Mc Donnell S.J. & Corish C.A. (2018). Low Energy Availability in Athletes: A Review of Prevalence, Dietary Patterns, Physiological Health, and Sports Performance.

Lowe D. A., Baltgalvis K. A. & Greising, S. M. (2010). Mechanisms behind estrogen's beneficial effect on muscle strength in females. Exerc Sport Sci Rev, 38(2), 61-67. doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e3181d496bc

Manchado C., Tortosa-Martinez J., Vila H., Ferragut C., & Platen P. (2013b). Performance factors in women's team handball: physical and physiological aspects--a review. J Strength Cond Res, 27(6), 1708-1719. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182891535

Martin D., Sale C., Cooper S.B., Elliott-Sale KJ. (2018). Period prevalence and perceived side efects of hormonal contraceptive use and the menstrual cycle in elite athletes. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2018;13(7):926–32

McNulty K.L., Elliott-Sale K.J., Dolan E., Swinton P.A., Ansdell P., Goodall S., Thomas K. & Hicks K.M. (2020). The Effects of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Exercise Performance in Eumenorrheic Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.600668

Melin A., Tornberg Å.B., Skouby S., Faber J., Ritz C., Sjödin, A. & Sundgot-Borgen J. (2014). The LEAF questionnaire: a screening tool for the identification of female athletes at risk for the female athlete triad. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 48, 540–545. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-093240

Mhenni T., Michalsik L.B., Mejri M.A., Yousfi N., Chaouachi A., Souissi N. & Chamari K. (2017). Morning–evening difference of team-handball-related short-term maximal physical

performances in female team handball players, Journal of Sports Sciences, 35:9, 912-920, DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1201212

Michalsik L.B., Aagaard P. & Madsen K. (2012). Locomotion characteristics and match-induced impairments in physical performance in male elite team handball players. *Internation Journal of Sports Medecine* 34, 590-599.

Montgomety M. & Schultz S. (2010). Isometric Knee-Extension and Knee-Flexion Torque Production During Early Follicular and Postovulatory Phases in Recreationally Active Women.

Oosthuyse, Tanja, and Andrew N. Bosch. (2010). "The Effect of the Menstrual Cycle on Exercise Metabolism." Sports Medicine 40(3): 207–27.

Romero-Moraleda, Blanca & Del Coso, Juan & Gutiérrez-Hellín, Jorge & Moreno, Carlos & Grgic, Jozo & Lara, Beatriz. (2019). The Influence of the Menstrual Cycle on Muscle Strength and Power Performance. Journal of Human Kinetics. 10.2478/hukin-2019-0061. DOI:10.2478/hukin-2019-0061

Romero-Parra N, Barba-Moreno L, Rael B, Alfaro-Magallanes VM, Cupeiro R, Díaz ÁE, Calderón FJ, Peinado AB. (2020). Influence of the Menstrual Cycle on Blood Markers of Muscle Damage and Inflammation Following Eccentric Exercise. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020; 17(5):1618. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051618

Schaumberg M., Jenkins D.G., Janse de Jonge A.K.X., Emmerton M.L., Skinner L.T (2017). Three-step method for menstrual and oral contraceptive cycle verification. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2016.08.013

Sheel W.A. (2016). "Sex Differences in the Physiology of Exercise: An Integrative Perspective." Experimental Physiology 101(2): 211–12.

Solli, G. S., Sandbakk, S. B., Noordhof, D. A., Ihalainen, J. K., and Sandbakk, Ø. B. (2020). Changes in self-reported physical fitness, performance, and side effects across the phases of the menstrual cycle among competitive endurance athletes. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 15, 1324–1333. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2019-0616

Visnapuu, M. & Jöriäe, T. (2007). Handgrip strength and hand dimensions in young handball and basketballplayers. J Strength Cond Res, 21(3), 923-929.